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Abstract

In this paper we analyze a continuous time epidemic model and its discrete counterpart, where infection spreads both horizontally and vertically. We consider three cases: model with horizontal and imperfect vertical transmissions, model with horizontal and perfect vertical transmissions, and model with perfect vertical and no horizontal transmissions. Stability of different equilibrium points of both the continuous and discrete systems in all cases are determined. It is shown that the stability criteria are identical for continuous and discrete systems. The dynamics of the discrete system have also shown to be independent of the step size. Numerical computations are presented to illustrate analytical results of both the systems and their subsystems.
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1 Introduction

Mathematical models play significant role in understanding the dynamics of biological phenomena. System of nonlinear differential equations are frequently used to describe these biological models. Unfortunately, nonlinear differential equations in general can not be solved analytically. For this reason, we go for numerical computations of the
model system and discretization of the continuous model is essential in this process. Here we shall explore and compare the dynamics of a continuous time epidemic model and its subsystems with their corresponding discrete models.

Lipsitch et al. [1] have investigated the dynamics of vertically and horizontally transmitted parasites of the following population model, where the state variables $X$ and $Y$ represent, respectively, the densities of uninfected and infected hosts at time $t$:

$$\frac{dX}{dt} = \left[ b_x \left( 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right) - u_x - \beta Y \right] X + e \left( 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right) Y, \quad (1.1)$$

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = \left[ b_y \left( 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right) - u_y + \beta X \right] Y.$$

This model demonstrates the rate equations of a density dependent asexual host populations, where infection spreads through imperfect vertical transmission as well as horizontal transmission. Horizontal transmission of infection follows mass action law with $\beta$ as the proportionality constant. Vertical transmission is imperfect because infected hosts not only give birth of infected hosts at a rate $b_y$ but also produce uninfected offspring at a rate $e$. In case of perfect vertical transmission, however, infected hosts give birth of infected hosts only and in that case $e = 0$. Parasites may affect the fecundity and morbidity rates of its host population [2,3]. It is assumed here that the death rate of infected hosts is higher than that of susceptible hosts, i.e., $u_y > u_x$ and the birth rate of susceptible hosts is higher than that of infected hosts, i.e., $b_x \geq b_y + e$.

Standard finite difference schemes, such as Euler method, Runge–Kutta method etc. are frequently used for numerical solutions of both ordinary and partial differential equations. But the behavior of standard finite difference schemes depend heavily on the step size. They fail to preserve positivity of the solutions for all step size. These conventional discretized models also show numerical instability and exhibit spurious behaviors like chaos which are not observed in the corresponding continuous models. In other words, these discrete models are dynamically inconsistent. So it becomes important to construct discrete models which will preserve all the properties of its constituent continuous models without any restriction on the step size. Mickens in 1989 first proposed such nonstandard finite difference (NSFD) scheme [4] and was shown to have identical dynamics with its corresponding continuous model. It was also demonstrated that the dynamics is completely independent of step size. Successful application of this technique in subsequent time is observed in different biological models [5–12]. Here we will discretize a continuous time population model in which parasite transmitted both vertically and horizontally following dynamics preserving nonstandard finite difference (NSFD) method introduced by Mickens [4]. We present the local stability analysis of both the continuous and discrete systems and prove that the dynamic behaviour of both systems are identical with same parameter restrictions. Moreover, we prove that the proposed discrete models are positive for all step size and dynamically consistent.

The paper is organized as follows. We present the analysis of the continuous time model and its subsystems in the next section. Section 3 contains the corresponding
analysis in discrete system. In Section 4, we present extensive numerical simulations in favour of our theoretical results. Finally, a summary is presented in Section 5.

2 Analysis of Continuous Time Models

Lipsitch et al. [1] analyzed the system (1.1) with perfect vertical transmissions (the case $e = 0$) and horizontal transmission as well as the system with perfect vertical transmission but no horizontal transmission (the case $\beta = 0$, $e = 0$). The general case (when $e \neq 0$, $\beta \neq 0$) was analyzed numerically and its importance in the prevalence of infection was discussed. Here we first give stability analysis of equilibrium points of the general model (1.1) and deduce the results of subcases, whenever applicable.

The continuous system (1.1) has two boundary equilibrium points $E_0 = (0, 0)$, $E_1 = (\bar{X}, 0)$, where $\bar{X} = K \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right)$ and one interior equilibrium point $E^* = (X^*, Y^*)$, where the equilibrium densities of susceptible and infected hosts are given by

$$X^* = \frac{-B + \sqrt{B^2 - 4AC}}{2A} \quad \text{and} \quad Y^* = \frac{(\beta K - b_y)X^*}{b_y} + \frac{K(b_y - u_y)}{b_y},$$

with

$$\begin{align*}
A &= \frac{\beta K}{b_y} \left\{b_y(b_x - b_y - e) + \beta K(b_y + e)\right\}, \\
B &= -K(b_x - u_x) + K(b_x + \beta K + e)\left(\frac{b_y - u_y}{b_y}\right) \\
&\quad + 2eK \frac{(\beta K - b_y)(b_y - u_y)}{b_y} - eK(\beta K - b_y) \frac{b_y}{b_y}, \\
C &= -eK^2(b_y - u_y)\frac{u_y}{b_y^2}.
\end{align*}$$

(2.1)

The trivial equilibrium $E_0$ exists for all parameter values, but the infection free equilibrium $E_1$ exists if $b_x > u_x$. The coexisting equilibrium point $E^*$ exists if $b_x > u_x$, $b_y > u_y$, $b_y > \beta K$ and $\frac{K}{X^*} > \frac{b_y - \beta K}{b_y - u_y}$.

We have the following theorem for the stability of different equilibrium points.

**Theorem 2.1.** System (1.1) is locally asymptotically stable around the equilibrium point $E_0$ if $b_x < u_x$ and $b_y < u_y$.

(i) If $b_x > u_x$ and $R_0 < 1$, where $R_0 = V_0 + H_0$ with $V_0 = \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x u_y}$, $H_0 = \frac{\beta K}{u_y} \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right)$ and it is unstable whenever $R_0 > 1$. 


(iii) \( E^* \) if \( b_x > u_x, b_y > u_y, b_y > \beta K \) and \( \frac{K}{X^*} > \frac{b_y - \beta K}{b_y - u_y} \).

**Proof.** Local stability of the system around an equilibrium point is performed following linearization technique. For it, we compute the variational matrix of system (1.1) at an arbitrary fixed point \((X, Y)\) as

\[
V(X, Y) = \begin{pmatrix}
a_{11} & a_{12} \\
a_{21} & a_{22}
\end{pmatrix},
\]

where

\[
\begin{align*}
a_{11} &= b_x \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - \frac{b_x X}{K} - u_x - \beta Y - eY, \\
a_{12} &= -\frac{b_x X}{K} - \beta X + e \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - \frac{eY}{K}, \\
a_{21} &= -\frac{b_y Y}{K} + \beta Y, \\
a_{22} &= b_y \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - \frac{b_y Y}{K} - u_y + \beta X.
\end{align*}
\]

At the trivial fixed point \( E_0 \), the variational matrix is

\[
V(E_0) = \begin{pmatrix}
b_x - u_x & e \\
0 & b_y - u_y
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Corresponding eigenvalues are given by \( \lambda_1 = b_x - u_x \) and \( \lambda_2 = b_y - u_y \). \( E_0 \) will be locally asymptotically stable if \( \lambda_1 = b_x - u_x < 0 \) and \( \lambda_2 = b_y - u_y < 0 \); i.e., if \( b_x < u_x \) and \( b_y < u_y \). Thus, if the birth rates of susceptible hosts and infected hosts are less than their respective death rates, then both populations goes to extinction and the trivial equilibrium will be stable.

At the axial equilibrium point \( E_1 \), the variational matrix is computed as

\[
V(E_1) = \begin{pmatrix}
-b_x \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right) & -(b_x + \beta K) \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right) + \frac{e u_x}{b_x} \\
0 & \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x} - u_y + \beta K \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

The corresponding eigenvalues are \( \lambda_1 = -b_x \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right) \) and \( \lambda_2 = \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x} - u_y + \beta K \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right) \). It is to be noted that \( \lambda_1 < 0 \) whenever \( E_1 \) exists. The other eigenvalue can be rearranged as \( \lambda_2 = u_y \left\{ \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x u_y} + \beta K \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right) - 1 \right\} \). Thus \( \lambda_2 < 0 \) whenever \( R_0 < 1 \), where \( R_0 = V_0 + H_0 \). Note that \( V_0 = \left(\frac{b_y}{b_x}\right) \left(\frac{u_x}{u_y}\right) \) is the basic reproduction
number due to vertical transmission and $H_0 = \frac{\beta}{u_y}$ is the basic reproduction number due to horizontal transmission.

At the interior equilibrium point $E^*$, the variational matrix is

$$V(E^*) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^* & a_{12}^* \\ a_{21}^* & a_{22}^* \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} a_{11}^* = -\frac{eY^*}{X^*} \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X^* + Y^*)}{K} \right\} - \frac{b_x X^*}{K} - \frac{eY^*}{K}, \\
 a_{12}^* = \frac{b_x X^*}{K} - \beta X^* + e \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X^* + Y^*)}{K} \right\} - \frac{eY^*}{K}, \\
 a_{21}^* = -\frac{b_y Y^*}{K} + \beta Y^*, \\
 a_{22}^* = -\frac{b_y Y^*}{b_y K}. \end{cases} \quad (2.5)$$

$E^*$ will be stable if and only if $\text{trace}(V(E^*)) < 0$ and $\text{det}(V(E^*)) > 0$. From the existence condition of $E^*$, one can observe that both $a_{11}^*$ and $a_{22}^*$ are negative. Thus, $\text{trace}(V(E^*)) < 0$. After some simple algebraic manipulation, one gets

$$\text{det}(V(E^*)) = \frac{e u_y Y^*}{X^*} \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right) + \frac{\beta X^* Y^*}{K} (b_x - b_y - e) + \beta^2 X^* Y^* + \frac{e \beta^2 X^* Y^*}{b_y}.$$ 

Thus, whenever $E^*$ exists and $b_x \geq b_y + e$, we have $\text{det}(V(E^*)) > 0$ and $E^*$ becomes locally asymptotically stable. This completes the proof.

2.1 Model with Horizontal and Perfect Vertical Transmissions

The vertical transmission is perfect if infected hosts give birth to infected offspring only. In this case $e = 0$ and the system (1.1) becomes

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{dX}{dt} &= b_x X \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - u_x X - \beta XY, \\
\frac{dY}{dt} &= b_y Y \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - u_y Y + \beta XY. \end{align*} \quad (2.6)$$

The continuous system (2.6) has four equilibrium points, viz. $E^H_0 = (0, 0)$, $E^H_1 = (\bar{X}, 0)$, $E^H_2 = (0, \bar{Y})$ and interior equilibrium point $E^H_* = (X^*_H, Y^*_H)$, where

$$\bar{X} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right), \quad \bar{Y} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$X^*_H = \frac{b_x u_y - b_y u_x - \beta K (b_y - u_y)}{\beta (K + b_x - b_y)}, \quad Y^*_H = \frac{b_y u_x - b_x u_y + \beta K (b_x - u_x)}{\beta (K + b_x - b_y)}. $$
The trivial equilibrium point $E_0^H$ always exists, $E_1^H$ exists if $b_x > u_x$, $E_2^H$ exists if $b_y > u_y$ and the interior fixed point $E^*_H$ exists if $b_x > u_x$, $b_y > u_y$, \( \frac{b_y u_y}{b_x} > u_x + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right) \) and $R_0 > 1$, where $R_0 = V_0 + H_0$ with $V_0 = \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x u_y}$, $H_0 = \frac{\beta u_y}{b_y} K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right)$. The following results are known [1].

**Theorem 2.2.** System (2.6) is locally asymptotically stable around the equilibrium point

(i) $E_0^H$ if $b_x < u_x$ and $b_y < u_y$,

(ii) $E_1^H$ if $b_x > u_x$ and $R_0 < 1$,

(iii) $E_2^H$ if $b_y > u_y$ and $\frac{b_x u_y}{b_y} < u_x + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right)$,

(iv) $E^*_H$ if $b_x > u_x$, $b_y > u_y$, $\frac{b_x u_y}{b_y} > u_x + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right)$ and $R_0 > 1$.

### 2.2 Model with Perfect Vertical Transmission and no Horizontal Transmission

In this case $e = 0$, $\beta = 0$, and the system (1.1) reduces to

\[
\frac{dX}{dt} = b_x X \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - u_x X, \quad (2.7) \\
\frac{dY}{dt} = b_y Y \left\{ 1 - \frac{(X + Y)}{K} \right\} - u_y Y.
\]

The continuous system (2.7) has three equilibrium points, viz. $E_0^V = (0, 0)$, $E_1^V = (\bar{X}, 0)$ and $E_2^V = (0, \bar{Y})$, where $\bar{X} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right)$ and $\bar{Y} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right)$. The existence conditions for $E_1^V$ and $E_2^V$ are $b_x > u_x$ and $b_y > u_y$, respectively. It is to be noted that no interior equilibrium does exist here. The following results are known [1].

**Theorem 2.3.** System (2.7) is locally asymptotically stable around the equilibrium point

(i) $E_0^V$ if $b_x < u_x$ and $b_y < u_y$,

(ii) $E_1^V$ if $b_x > u_x$ and $\frac{b_y}{u_y} < \frac{b_x}{u_x}$.

(iii) The equilibrium point $E_2^V$ is always unstable.
3 Discrete Models

In this section, we construct three discrete models corresponding to the continuous models (1.1), (2.6) and (2.7) following nonstandard finite difference method. The objective is to show that all the discrete models have the same dynamic properties corresponding to its continuous counterpart and the dynamics does not depend on the step size.

The NSFD procedures are based on just two fundamental rules [13–15]:

(i) The discrete first derivative has the representation

\[ \frac{dx}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{x_{k+1} - \psi(h)x_k}{\phi(h)}, \quad h = \Delta t, \]

where \( \phi(h), \psi(h) \) satisfy the conditions \( \psi(h) = 1 + O(h^2), \phi(h) = h + O(h^2) \);

(ii) Both linear and nonlinear terms may require a nonlocal representation on the discrete computational lattice.

For convenience, we first express the continuous system (1.1) as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dX}{dt} &= b_x X - \frac{b_x X^2}{K} - \frac{b_x XY}{K} - u_x X - \beta XY + eY - \frac{eXY}{K} - \frac{eY^2}{K}, \\
\frac{dY}{dt} &= b_y Y - \frac{b_y XY}{K} - \frac{b_y Y^2}{K} - u_y Y + \beta XY.
\end{align*}
\]

(3.1)

We now employ the following nonlocal approximations term wise for the system (3.1)

\[
\begin{cases}
\frac{dX}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{X_{n+1} - X_n}{\phi_1(h)}, \\
dY \rightarrow \frac{Y_{n+1} - Y_n}{\phi_2(h)}, \\
b_x X \rightarrow b_x X_n, \\
b_y Y \rightarrow b_y Y_n, \\
b_x X^2 \rightarrow b_x X_n X_{n+1}, \\
b_y Y^2 \rightarrow b_y Y_n Y_{n+1}, \\
XY \rightarrow X_{n+1} Y_n, \\
b_y XY \rightarrow b_y X_n Y_{n+1}, \\
u_x X \rightarrow u_x X_{n+1}, \\
u_y Y \rightarrow u_y Y_{n+1}, \\
eY \rightarrow eY_n, \\
\beta XY \rightarrow \beta X_n Y_n,
\end{cases}
\]

(3.2)

where \( h (> 0) \) is the step size and denominator functions are chosen as

\[ \phi_1(h) = \frac{b_y \left\{ 1 - \exp\left( -\frac{\beta K u_y h}{b_y} \right) \right\}}{\beta K u_y}, \quad \phi_2(h) = h. \]

(3.3)

Note that \( \phi_i(h), i = 1,2 \), are positive for all \( h > 0 \).
By these transformations, the continuous system (3.1) is converted to

\[
\frac{X_{n+1} - X_n}{\phi_1(h)} = b_x X_n - \frac{b_x}{K} X_n X_{n+1} - \frac{b_x}{K} X_{n+1} Y_n - \beta X_{n+1} Y_n + e Y_n
\]

\[
- \frac{e}{K} X_{n+1} Y_n - \frac{e}{K} Y_{n+1}^2,
\]

\[
\frac{Y_{n+1} - Y_n}{\phi_2(h)} = b_y Y_n - \frac{b_y}{K} X_n Y_{n+1} - \frac{b_y}{K} Y_n Y_{n+1} - u Y_{n+1} + \beta X_n Y_n.
\]

System (3.4) can be rearranged to obtain

\[
X_{n+1} = \frac{X_n(1 + \phi_1(h) b_x) + \phi_1(h) e Y_n}{1 + \phi_1(h) \left( \frac{b_x}{K} X_n + \frac{b_x}{K} Y_n + u_x + \beta Y_n + \frac{e}{K} Y_n + \frac{e}{K} Y_n^2 \right)},
\]

\[
Y_{n+1} = \frac{Y_n \left( 1 + \phi_2(h) (b_y + \beta X_n) \right)}{1 + \phi_2(h) \left( \frac{b_y}{K} X_n + \frac{b_y}{K} Y_n + u_y \right)}.
\]

where \(\phi_1(h)\) and \(\phi_2(h)\) are given in (3.3).

The model (3.5) is our required discrete model corresponding to the continuous model (1.1). It is to be noted that all terms in the right hand side of (3.5) are positive, so solutions of the system (3.5) will remain positive if they start with positive initial value. Therefore, the system (3.5) is said to be positive [16].

The fixed points of (3.5) can be calculated by setting \(X_{n+1} = X_n = X\) and \(Y_{n+1} = Y_n = Y\). One thus get the fixed points as \(E_0 = (0, 0)\), \(E_1 = (\bar{X}, 0)\), where \(\bar{X} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right)\) and \(E^* = (X^*, Y^*)\). Note that the equilibrium values and the existence conditions remain same as in the continuous system.

The variational matrix of system (3.5) evaluated at an arbitrary fixed point \((X, Y)\) is given by

\[
J(X, Y) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix},
\]

(3.6)
where

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{11} &= \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)b_x}{1 + \phi_1(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_x + \beta Y + \frac{e}{R} Y + \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right)} \cdot \{X(1 + \phi_1(h)b_x) + \phi_1(h)e\} \phi_1(h) \left(\frac{b_y}{R} - \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right) \\
&- \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_x + \beta Y + \frac{e}{R} Y + \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right)}{\phi_1(h)e} \cdot \{1 + \phi_1(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_x + \beta Y + \frac{e}{R} Y + \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right)\}^2,
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{12} &= \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_x + \beta Y + \frac{e}{R} Y + \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right)}{\phi_1(h)\beta Y} \cdot \{1 + \phi_1(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_x + \beta Y + \frac{e}{R} Y + \frac{e}{R} Y^2\right)\}^2, \\
&- \frac{Y(1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)}{\{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)\}^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{21} &= \frac{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)}{1 + \phi_2(h)(b_y + \beta X)} \cdot \{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)\}^2, \\
&- \frac{Y(1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)}{\{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)\}^2},
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{22} &= \frac{1 + \phi_2(h)(b_y + \beta X)}{1 + \phi_2(h)(b_y + \beta X)} \cdot \{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)\}^2, \\
&- \frac{Y(1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)}{\{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y}{R} X + \frac{b_y}{R} Y + u_y\right)\}^2}.
\end{align*}
\]

Let $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ be the eigenvalues of the variational matrix (3.6) and we have the following definition [18] in relation to the stability of the system (3.5).

**Definition 3.1.** A fixed point $(x, y)$ of the system (3.5) is called stable if $|\lambda_1| < 1$, $|\lambda_2| < 1$ and a source if $|\lambda_1| > 1$, $|\lambda_2| > 1$. It is called a saddle if $|\lambda_1| < 1$, $|\lambda_2| > 1$ or $|\lambda_1| > 1$, $|\lambda_2| < 1$ and a nonhyperbolic fixed point if either $|\lambda_1| = 1$ or $|\lambda_2| = 1$.

**Lemma 3.2** (See [17, 18]). Let $\lambda_1$ and $\lambda_2$ be the eigenvalues of the variational matrix (3.6). Then $|\lambda_1| < 1$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$ if (i) $1 - \det(J) > 0$, (ii) $1 - \text{trace}(J) + \det(J) > 0$, and (iii) $0 < a_{11} < 1$, $0 < a_{22} < 1$.

One can then prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.3.** System (3.5) is locally asymptotically stable around the fixed point

(i) $E_0$ if $b_x < u_x$ and $b_y < u_y$.

(ii) $E_1$ if $b_x > u_x$ and $R_0 < 1$, where $R_0 = V_0 + H_0$ with $V_0 = \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x u_y}$, $H_0 = \frac{\beta}{u_y} K \left(1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x}\right)$ and it is unstable whenever $R_0 > 1$.

(iii) $E^*$ if $b_x > u_x$, $b_y > u_y$, $b_y > \beta K$ and $\frac{K}{X^*} > \frac{b_y - \beta K}{b_y - u_y}$. 

Proof. At the fixed point $E_0$, the variational matrix is given by

$$J(E_0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 + \phi_1(h)b_x & \phi_1(h)e \\ \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)u_x}{1 + \phi_1(h)b_x} & \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)u_x}{1 + \phi_1(h)b_x} \\ 0 & \frac{1 + \phi_2(h)y}{1 + \phi_2(h)u_y} \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

The corresponding eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)b_x}{1 + \phi_1(h)u_x}$ and $\lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \phi_2(h)y}{1 + \phi_2(h)u_y}$. Clearly $|\lambda_1| < 1$ if $b_x < u_x$ and $|\lambda_2| < 1$ if $b_y < u_y$, for $h > 0$. Therefore, $E_0$ will be stable if $b_x < u_x$ and $b_y < u_y$ hold simultaneously. One can similarly compute the eigenvalues corresponding to the fixed point $E_1$ as

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 + \phi_1(h)u_x}{1 + \phi_1(h)b_x} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y + \beta X^*}{1 - \frac{b_x}{b_y}}\right)}{1 + \phi_2(h)\left(\frac{b_y - b_y u_x}{b_y} + u_y\right)}.$$

Note that $|\lambda_1| < 1$ whenever $E_1$ exists and $|\lambda_2| < 1$ whenever $R_0 < 1$. Thus, $E_1$ is stable if $b_x > u_x$ and $R_0 < 1$.

At the interior fixed point $E^*$, the variational matrix is given by

$$J(E^*) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}^* & a_{12}^* \\ a_{21}^* & a_{22}^* \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\begin{align*}
a_{11}^* &= 1 - \frac{X^* \phi_1(h)}{G} \left\{ \frac{b_x X^* + e Y^*}{K} \left(1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K}\right) + \frac{e Y^*}{K} \right\}, \\
a_{12}^* &= \frac{\phi_1(h)X^*}{G} \left\{ e \left(1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K}\right) - \frac{b_x X^*}{K} - \beta X^* - \frac{e Y^*}{K} \right\}, \\
a_{21}^* &= \frac{\phi_2(h)Y^* \beta K}{K H} - \frac{\phi_2(h) Y^* b_y}{K H}, \\
a_{22}^* &= 1 - \frac{1}{K H},
\end{align*}$$

with

$$G = X^*(1 + \phi_1(h)b_x) + \phi_1(h)e Y^* \quad \text{and} \quad H = 1 + \phi_2(h)(b_y + \beta X^*).$$
One can easily verify that $0 < a_{11}^* < 1$ and $0 < a_{22}^* < 1$. Next,

$$
1 - \det(J(E^*)) = \frac{\phi_1(h)X^*}{KGH} \left\{ b_x X^* + \frac{e Y^* K}{X^*} \left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right) + e Y^* \right\}
$$

$$
+ \frac{\phi_1(h)\phi_2(h)X^*Y^*b_y}{KGH} \left\{ \frac{b_x X^*}{Y^*} + \frac{e K}{X^*} \left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right)^2 + e \right. 
$$

$$
+ \frac{\beta b_x X^*}{b_y Y^*} + \frac{2\beta K}{b_y} \left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right) + \frac{e \beta X^*}{b_y} + b_x \left( 1 - \frac{\beta X^*}{b_y} \right) 
$$

$$
+ \frac{e Y^*}{X^*} \left( 1 - \frac{\beta X^*}{b_y} \right) + \beta X^* + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right) \right\}
$$

$$
+ \frac{\phi_2(h)b_y X^* Y^*}{KGH} \left( 1 - \frac{\phi_1(h)\beta K u_y}{b_y} \right),
$$

$$
1 - \text{trace}(J(E^*)) + \det(J(E^*)) = \frac{\phi_1(h)\phi_2(h)X^* Y^* b_y}{KGH} 
$$

$$
\times \left\{ \frac{e K}{X^*} \left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right) \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right) + \beta X^* \left( \frac{b_x}{b_y} - 1 \right) + \frac{\beta^2 K X^*}{b_y} + \frac{e \beta Y^*}{b_y} \right\}. 
$$

From the existence condition, we have $\left( 1 - \frac{X^* + Y^*}{K} \right) = \frac{u_x X^* + \beta X^* Y^*}{b_x X^* + e Y^*} > 0$. Thus, $X^* + Y^* < K$, i.e., $X^* < K$. Also, from $b_y > \beta K$, we have $b_y > \beta X^*$ and $\left( 1 - \frac{\beta X^*}{b_y} \right) > 0$. It is easy to observe that $\phi_1(h) < \frac{b_y}{\beta K u_y}$. Thus, $1 - \det(J(E^*)) > 0$ and $1 - \text{trace}(J(E^*)) + \det(J(E^*)) > 0$. Hence $E^*$ is locally asymptotically stable whenever it exists. This completes the proof.

**Remark 3.4.** It is interesting to note that the dynamic properties of the discrete system (3.5) are identical with its continuous counterpart (1.1). So the discrete model is dynamically consistent. The stability of the fixed points also does not depend on the step size. Since all solutions of the discrete model (3.5) remain positive when starts with positive initial value, there is no possibility of numerical instabilities and the model will not show any spurious dynamics.

### 3.1 Discrete Model for Horizontal and Perfect Vertical Transmissions

Here we rewrite the continuous model (2.6) as

$$
\frac{dX}{dt} = b_x X - \frac{b_x X^2}{K} - \frac{b_x XY}{K} - u_x X - \beta XY,
$$
\[
\frac{dY}{dt} = b_y Y - \frac{b_y X Y}{K} - \frac{b_y Y^2}{K} - u_y Y + \beta XY. \tag{3.7}
\]

Now we employ the same nonlocal approximations (3.2) with \( e = 0 \) term wise to have the following system:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{X_{n+1} - X_n}{\phi_1(h)} &= b_x X_n - \frac{b_x}{K} X_n X_{n+1} - \frac{b_x}{K} X_{n+1} Y_n - u_x X_{n+1} - \beta X_{n+1} Y_n, \\
\frac{Y_{n+1} - Y_n}{\phi_2(h)} &= b_y Y_n - \frac{b_y}{K} X_n Y_{n+1} - \frac{b_y}{K} Y_{n+1} Y_n - u_y Y_{n+1} + \beta X_n Y_n. \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
\]

The required discrete model is obtained after simplification as follows:

\[
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} &= \frac{X_n (1 + \phi_1(h) b_x)}{1 + \phi_1(h) (\frac{b_y}{K} X_n + \frac{b_x}{K} Y_n + u_x + \beta Y_n)}, \\
Y_{n+1} &= \frac{Y_n (1 + \phi_2(h) (b_y + \beta X_n))}{1 + \phi_2(h) (\frac{b_y}{K} X_n + \frac{b_y}{K} Y_n + u_y)}, \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \phi_1(h) \) and \( \phi_2(h) \) have the same expression as in (3.3). It is worth mentioning that the discrete model (3.9) is positive.

One can find the same four fixed points of (3.9) as it were in the continuous case. The stability properties of each fixed point are presented in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** The system (3.9) is stable around the fixed point

(i) \( E_0^H = (0, 0) \) if \( b_x < u_x \) and \( b_y < u_y \).

(ii) \( E_1^H = (\bar{X}, 0) \) if \( b_x > u_x \) and \( R_0 < 1 \), where

\[
\bar{X} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad R_0 = \frac{b_y u_x}{b_x u_y} + \frac{\beta}{u_y} \bar{X}.
\]

(iii) \( E_2^H = (0, \bar{Y}) \) if \( b_y > u_y \) and \( \frac{b_x u_y}{b_y} < u_x + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right) \), where

\[
\bar{Y} = K \left( 1 - \frac{u_y}{b_y} \right).
\]

(iv) \( E_3^H \) if \( b_x > u_x, b_y > u_y, \frac{b_x u_y}{b_y} > u_x + \beta K \left( 1 - \frac{u_x}{b_x} \right) \) and \( R_0 > 1 \).
3.2 Discrete Model for Perfect Vertical and no Horizontal Transmission

For convenience, we first express the continuous system (2.7) as
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dX}{dt} &= b_x X - \frac{b_x X^2}{K} - \frac{b_x XY}{K} - u_x X, \\
\frac{dY}{dt} &= b_y Y - \frac{b_y XY}{K} - \frac{b_y Y^2}{K} - u_y Y.
\end{align*}
\]
(3.10)

In this case, we consider the nonlocal approximations (3.2) with \( e = 0, \beta = 0 \). Note that here \( \phi_1(h) \to h \) when \( \beta \to 0 \). Then the system (3.10) reads
\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{X_{n+1} - X_n}{h} &= b_x X_n - \frac{b_x X_n X_{n+1}}{K} - \frac{b_x X_{n+1} Y_n}{K} - u_x X_{n+1}, \\
\frac{Y_{n+1} - Y_n}{h} &= b_y Y_n - \frac{b_y X_n Y_{n+1}}{K} - \frac{b_y Y_{n+1} Y_n}{K} - u_y Y_{n+1}.
\end{align*}
\]
(3.11)

On simplifications, we obtain our desired discrete model as
\[
\begin{align*}
X_{n+1} &= \frac{X_n(1 + h b_x)}{1 + h \left( \frac{b_x}{K} X_n + \frac{b_y}{K} Y_n + u_x \right)}, \\
Y_{n+1} &= \frac{Y_n(1 + h b_y)}{1 + h \left( \frac{b_x}{K} X_n + \frac{b_y}{K} Y_n + u_y \right)}.
\end{align*}
\]
(3.12)

This system also does not contain any negative terms, so solutions remain positive for all step size as long as initial values are positive.

As in the continuous system (2.7), the discrete system (3.12) has same three fixed points. The stability of each fixed point can be proved similarly and has been summarized in the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.6.** The system (3.12) is stable around the fixed point

(i) \( E^V_0 = (0, 0) \) if \( b_x < u_x \) and \( b_y < u_y \).

(ii) \( E^V_1 = (\bar{X}, 0) \) if \( b_x > u_x \) and \( \frac{b_y}{u_y} < \frac{b_x}{u_x} \).

(iii) The fixed point \( E^V_2 = (0, \bar{Y}) \) is always unstable.
4 Numerical Simulations

Figure 4.1: Phase portraits of the continuous system (1.1) (left panel) and discrete system (3.5) (right panel). Figs (a) and (b) show that all solutions converge to the disease free equilibrium point $E_1 = (0.8333, 0)$ for $\beta = 0.1$. Figs (c) and (d) depict that all solutions converge to the endemic equilibrium point $E^* = (0.1818, 0.4545)$ for $\beta = 0.3$. Other parameters are $b_x = 0.6, b_y = 0.4, u_x = 0.1, u_y = 0.2, K = 1, e = 0.02$ as in [1]. Step size for the discrete model is considered as $h = 0.1$.

In this section, we present some numerical simulations to validate the similar qualitative behavior of our discrete models with its corresponding continuous models. For this, we consider the same parameter set as in Lipsitch et al. [1]: $b_x = 0.6, b_y = 0.4, u_x = 0.1, u_y = 0.2, K = 1, e = 0.02$. We consider different initial values $I_1 = (0.1, 0.1), I_2 = (0.2, 0.4), I_3 = (0.7, 0.6), I_4 = (1, 0.4)$ and $I_5 = (1.2, 0.15)$ for both continuous and discrete systems. Step size $h = 0.1$ is kept fixed in all simulations for the discrete systems. If $\beta$ takes the value 0.1, the parameter set satisfies conditions of Theorems 2.1(ii) and 3.3(ii). In this case, all solutions starting from different initial points converge to the infection free point $E_1 = (0.8333, 0)$ in case of both the continuous system (1.1) (Fig. 4.1(a)) and the discrete system (3.5) (Fig. 4.1(b)). For $\beta = 0.3$, conditions
of Theorems 2.1(iii) and 3.3(iii) are satisfied and all solution trajectories reach to the coexistence equilibrium point $E^* = (0.1818, 0.4545)$ for both the systems as shown in Fig. 4.1(c)–4.1(d). These figures indicate that the behavior of the continuous system (1.1) and the discrete system (3.5) are qualitatively similar.

Figure 4.2: Phase portraits of the continuous system (2.6) (left panel) and discrete system (3.9) (right panel). Figs. (a) and (b) show that all solutions converge to the disease free point $E^H_1 = (1, 0)$ for $\beta = 0.1$. Figs. (c) and (d) depict that all solutions converge to the endemic point $E^* = (0.0476, 0.5952)$ for $\beta = 0.3$. Figs. (e) and (f) show that all solutions converge to the susceptible free point $E^H_2 = (0, 0.6)$ for $\beta = 0.42$. Other parameters are $b_x = 0.6$, $b_y = 0.4$, $u_x = 0.1$, $u_y = 0.2$, $K = 1.2$ as in [1]. Step size for the discrete model is considered as $h = 0.1$.

To show dynamic consistency of the continuous system (2.6) and discrete system
(3.9), we plotted the phase portraits of both systems in Fig. 4.2. We considered the same initial points, the same set of parameter values as in [1] with $e = 0$ and the same step size as in Fig. 4.1. The conditions of Theorem 2.2(ii) and Theorem 3.5(ii) are satisfied when $\beta = 0.1$. In this case all solutions of both the systems converge to the point $E_{1H}^* = (1, 0)$ (Figs. 4.2(a)–4.2(b)). For $\beta = 0.3$, conditions of Theorem 2.2(iv) and Theorem 3.5(iv) are satisfied. Consequently, all solutions reach to the interior point $E_*^H = (0.0476, 0.5952)$ (Figs. 4.2(c)–4.2(d)). If we take $\beta = 0.42$ then all conditions of Theorem 2.2(iii) and Theorem 3.5(iii) are satisfied. All solutions in this case converge to the susceptible free equilibrium point $E_{2H}^* = (0, 0.6)$ in both cases (Figs. 4.2(e)–4.2(f)).

To observe dynamical consistency of the discrete system (3.12) with its corresponding continuous system (2.7), we plotted phase diagrams of both systems in Fig. 4.3. The same parameter set as in [1] with $e = 0, \beta = 0$ was considered and the initial points, step size remained unchanged. Phase portraits of the continuous system (Fig. 4.3(a)) and that of the discrete system (Fig. 4.3(b)) show that all solutions reach to the infection free point $E_1^V = (1, 0)$, indicating the dynamic consistency of both systems.

Figure 4.3: Phase portrait of the continuous system (2.7) (Fig. a) and that of the discrete system (3.12) (Fig. b) indicate that all solutions converge to the infection free point $E_1^V = (1, 0)$ in each case. The parameters are $b_x = 0.6, b_y = 0.4, u_x = 0.1, u_y = 0.2$ and $K = 1.2$ as in [1]. Step size for the discrete model is considered as $h = 0.1$.

5 Summary

We here considered a continuous time epidemic model, where infection spreads through imperfect vertical transmission and horizontal transmission in a density dependent asexual host population. Stability of different equilibrium points are presented with respect to the basic reproduction number and relative birth & death rates of susceptible & infected hosts. A discrete version of the continuous system is constructed following non-local approximation technique and its dynamics has been shown to be identical with that
of the continuous system. The proposed discrete model is shown to be positive, implying that its solutions remains positive for all future time whenever it starts with positive initial value. The dynamics of the discrete model have been shown to be independent of the step size. Our simulation results also show dynamic consistency of the discrete models with its corresponding continuous model. Two submodels of the general discrete model have also been shown to have the identical dynamics with their continuous counterparts.
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