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Abstract
In this article, we present results on affine non-equivalence of monomial bi-quadratic Boolean functions to Kasami bent functions. In addition, we also proved that there exists no monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function which is also negabent function.

INTRODUCTION
Boolean functions are the basic components for the design and security of cryptosystem. Cryptographic properties of Boolean function resist the system from various attacks. Among the desired characteristic of Boolean function, the most important requirement is the nonlinearity profile of a Boolean function. It is defined as the minimum Hamming distance between the Boolean functions and the set of all affine functions. For cryptographic use of Boolean functions, the nonlinearity must be as high as possible. For even number of variables, the Boolean function achieving the maximum possible nonlinearity is known as bent functions. Bent functions have been widely studied because of their importance in the coding theory, cryptography and graph theory. Several constructions of bent functions are presented in [1, 2, 6]. While dealing with the construction of Boolean functions, a Boolean function is considered to be new if it is not affine equivalent to any known function. Thus determining affine equivalence of Boolean function is quite observable. Partial solutions are obtained by dealing several characteristic of Boolean functions. But, the problem is most difficult while dealing with bent functions having same algebraic degree. In [4] Gangopadhyay et. al use the weight distribution to classify affine non-equivalent Boolean functions.

In this article, we induct the study of monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function of the form $f(x) = x_1^{d_1} + x_2^{d_2} + x_3^{d_3}$, for all $d_1, d_2, d_3$ are integers such that $1 > d_1 > d_2 > d_3 > 1$ and $n > 2i$. We investigate the affine non-equivalence of these functions by using the second derivative spectrum and prove that monomial bi-quadratic Boolean functions are affine non-equivalent to kasami bent functions. We also proved that there is no monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function which is negabent.

PRELIMINARIES
Boolean function is a function from $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ to $\mathbb{F}_2$, where $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ is an n-dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{F}_2$. The set of all Boolean functions is denoted by $\mathcal{B}_n$. The Hamming weight of $f \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined by the set $wt(f) = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : f(x) = 1\}$. The Hamming distance of two Boolean functions $f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n$ is defined by the set $\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n : f(x) \neq g(x)\}$.

The trace function, denoted by $tr^n_1(x)$ is a function from $\mathbb{F}_2^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_2$, which is defined by $tr^n_1(x) = x + x^2 + x^3 + \ldots + x^{2^{n-1}}$, for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$.

Affine Boolean functions are the functions with degree at most one. Affine Boolean functions can be written as $tr^n_1(\lambda x) + \epsilon$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ and $\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2$. The set of all n-variable affine Boolean functions is denoted by $\mathcal{A}_n$.

The Walsh transform of $f$ at any point $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$ is defined by $W_f(\lambda) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{F}_2} (-1)^{f(\epsilon) + tr^n_1(\lambda \epsilon)}$.

Nonlinearity and Walsh transform are related as $nl(f) = 2^n - 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} |W_f(\lambda)|$.

By using Parsevals equality $\sum_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} W_f(\lambda)^2 = 2^{2n}$, we have $nl(f) \leq 2^{n-1} - 2^{n-1}$.
A Boolean function \( f \in \mathcal{B}_n \) is said to be a Bent function if they possess maximum nonlinearity.

The nega-hadamard transform of \( f \) at \( u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \) is given by

\[
N_f(u) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + ux} \sqrt{-1}^{w(\langle x \rangle)}
\]

The nega spectrum of a Boolean function \( f \) contains all the values \( \{N_f(u) | u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\} \).

In terms of matrix, the nega-hadamard transform is defined by n-fold tensor product

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^n}} (N \otimes N \otimes \ldots N)
\]

Where

\[
N = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & I \\ 1 & -I \end{pmatrix}
\]

A function is said to be negabent if \( |N_f(u)| = 1 \), for all \( u \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \).

Suppose \( f(x) = \text{tr}_2^1(ax^2) \) for all \( x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \) such that

1. 3 does not divide \( n \).
2. \( k = 2^{2d} - 2^d + 1 \) with \( \gcd(n,d) = 1 \), where \( \gcd \) means greatest common divisor and \( 0 < d < n \).
3. \( \lambda \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\} \) does not belong to \( \{x^3 : x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\} \).

Then \( f \) is a bent function. The bent functions which can be written in this form are said to be kasami bent function. If a function \( f \) satisfy only condition 2 then it is called kasami Boolean function.

The derivative of \( f \in \mathcal{B}_n \) with respect to \( a \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \) denoted by \( D_a f(x) \) is defined as

\[
D_a f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a)
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \).

The second derivative of \( f \) at \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \) is defined by

\[
D_{a}D_{b} f(x) = f(x) + f(x + a) + f(x + b) + f(x + a + b)
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \).

Let

\[
S(f : a, b) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n} (f(x) + f(x + a) + f(x + b) + f(x + a + b))^{w}
\]

where \( a, b \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \). It is to be noted that the sum in \( D_a D_b (f) \) is over \( \mathbb{F}_2 \) and the sum in \( \sum \) is over integers. The multiset \( [S(f : a, b) : \{a, b\} \in J^2_8] \) is called the second derivative spectrum of \( f \). We use the following result proved in [4] to prove our result.

**Theorem 1.** Suppose \( f, g \in \mathcal{B}_n \) such that

\[
[S(f : a, b) : \{a, b\} \in J^2_8] \neq [S(g : a, b) : \{a, b\} \in J^2_8],
\]

then \( f \) is not affine equivalent to \( g \).

**MONOMIAL BI-QUADRATIC BOOLEAN FUNCTION ON 8-VARIABLES**

In this section, we consider 8-variable monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function with algebraic degree 4. We generate all bi-quadratic monomial Boolean function on 8 variables and compute \( [S(f : a, b) : \{a, b\} \in J^2_8] \) for each of them. It is observed that there are 10 different multisets. This proves that there exists at least 10 affine non equivalent bi-quadratic Boolean functions on 8 variables. In Table 1, the first row corresponds to the possible value of \( S(f : a, b) \) obtained by bi-quadratic Boolean functions. The remaining 10 rows contain different frequency distributions.

**Theorem 2.** There exist 8 variable monomial bi-quadratic Boolean functions which is not affine equivalent to kasami bent functions.

**Proof.** We compute the weight distribution of \( S(f : a, b) \) of all 8-variable kasami bent functions with algebraic degree 4. We get only one distinct multiset which is listed in Table 2. It is observed that the multiset in Table 1 does not match with the multiset in Table 2. Hence by Theorem 1 there exists no monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function on 8 variables which is affine equivalent to kasami bent functions.

**Table 1:** Weight distribution of second derivative spectrum of all 8-variable degree 4 bi-quadratic Boolean functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>0</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>128</th>
<th>144</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>192</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>750</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>2800</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>580</td>
<td>2480</td>
<td>4725</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>2680</td>
<td>4110</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>700</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>5295</td>
<td>1560</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>940</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>3885</td>
<td>2360</td>
<td>1220</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>494</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>4921</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>672</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>830</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>4380</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>688</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>744</td>
<td>2176</td>
<td>4945</td>
<td>2304</td>
<td>592</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1360</td>
<td>8245</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1190</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>2680</td>
<td>4110</td>
<td>2840</td>
<td>690</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2: Weight distribution of second derivative spectrum of all 8-variable degree 4 kasami Bent functions which do not have any second derivative zero

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>16</th>
<th>32</th>
<th>48</th>
<th>64</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>96</th>
<th>112</th>
<th>128</th>
<th>144</th>
<th>160</th>
<th>176</th>
<th>192</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>590</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>4380</td>
<td>2448</td>
<td>928</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Weight distribution of second derivative spectrum of all 10-variable degree 4 bi-quadratic Boolean functions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>256</th>
<th>384</th>
<th>448</th>
<th>480</th>
<th>512</th>
<th>544</th>
<th>576</th>
<th>640</th>
<th>768</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>12370</td>
<td>38496</td>
<td>72765</td>
<td>37600</td>
<td>12630</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11245</td>
<td>41080</td>
<td>69060</td>
<td>40936</td>
<td>11855</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>12045</td>
<td>36676</td>
<td>75560</td>
<td>36700</td>
<td>12855</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12735</td>
<td>37180</td>
<td>75930</td>
<td>36036</td>
<td>12065</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>12700</td>
<td>37776</td>
<td>76335</td>
<td>35520</td>
<td>13260</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>12100</td>
<td>38260</td>
<td>72735</td>
<td>38476</td>
<td>12560</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>11800</td>
<td>38076</td>
<td>74925</td>
<td>38020</td>
<td>11160</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>11200</td>
<td>40780</td>
<td>68775</td>
<td>41236</td>
<td>12140</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Weight distribution of second derivative spectrum of all 10-variable degree 4 kasami Bent functions which do not have any second derivative zero

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>384</th>
<th>448</th>
<th>480</th>
<th>512</th>
<th>544</th>
<th>576</th>
<th>640</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>11040</td>
<td>32576</td>
<td>88005</td>
<td>31680</td>
<td>10400</td>
<td>205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>11625</td>
<td>38100</td>
<td>70110</td>
<td>41676</td>
<td>12595</td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NEGABENT FUNCTION IN THE CLASS OF MONOMIAL BI-QUADRATIC BOOLEAN FUNCTIONS

In this section, we initiate the study of negabent function in the class of monomial bi-quadratic Boolean functions. We study negabent function in terms of negaperiodic autocorrelation. Using negaperiodic autocorrelation we completely enumerate negabent bi-quadratic Boolean function. Pott and Parker [5] compute the negaperiodic autocorrelation coefficient of Boolean functions as follows:

$$ n_y = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p} (-1)^{f(x) + f(x + a) + x \cdot y} $$

We use the following theorem presented in [5] to prove our result.

**Theorem 4.** A Boolean function is negabent if and only if all its nontrivial negaperiodic autocorrelation coefficients are 0.

**Theorem 5.** There exist no monomial bi-quadratic Boolean functions which is negabent.

**Proof.** We investigate the monomial bi-quadratic Boolean function which is negabent. We compute the negaperiodic autocorrelation values of these functions. We observe that all these values are not zero. Hence by Theorem 4 there exists no monomial negabent bi-quadratic Boolean function.
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