

# On Some Fixed Point Theorems through Weak\*\* Commutative in 2-Metric Space

Sujatha Kurakula<sup>1,\*</sup>, Dr. V. Srinivaskumar<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Research Scholar in JNTUH, Hyderabad-500070, Telangana State, India.  
 (\*corresponding Author)

<sup>2</sup>Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, JNTU College of Engineering,  
 JNTU Hyderabad, Kukatpally -500044, Telangana State, India.

## Abstract

In this present research article, we prove the existence of a common fixed point for three self-mappings defined on a complete 2- metric space through weak \*\*commutativity of maps.

**Keywords:** Fixed point , 2- metric space , weak\*\* commuting mapping .

**AMS Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

## INTRODUCTION

The notion of 2-metric space was introduced by Gahler [1] in 1963 as a generalization of area function for Euclidean triangles. Many fixed point theorems were established by various authors like Brouwer, Banach, Schauder etc. A point  $x \in X$  is said to be a *fixed point* of a self-map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  if  $f(x) = x$ , where  $X$  is a non- empty set. Theorems concerning fixed points of self-maps are known as fixed point theorems. Most of the fixed point theorems were proved for contraction mappings. It is well known that every contraction on a metric space is continuous. The converse is not necessarily true. The identity mapping on  $[0,1]$  simply serves the counter example.

In this present work we consider Weak \*\* Commuting maps on a 2-metric space. Let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two mappings from a metric space  $(X, d)$  into itself.  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are said to commute if  $T_1T_2x = T_2T_1x$ , for all  $x$  in  $X$ .

Sessa [5] introduced the concept of weak commutativity in metric spaces. In subsequent years the condition of weak commutativity was again made weaker. Weak\* commutativity was introduced in metric space In recent years weak\*\* commutativity has been introduced and some theorems have been established. The existence of fixed point for weak\*\*commutative self-maps in 2-metric space are studied.

In this research article we present the concepts of weak\*\* commutativity in 2-metric space

## PRELIMINARIES

In this section we define weak\*\*commutativity, weak\* commutativity and weak commutativity. We also present an example to establish the fact that weak\*\* commutativity does not imply commutativity.

**1.1 Definition:** Two self-maps  $A$  and  $S$  of a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  are called *weak\*\* commutative*

$$(I) A(X) \subset S(X) \text{ and}$$

$$(II) d(A^2S^2x, S^2A^2x, a)$$

$$\leq d(A^2Sx, SA^2x, a) \leq d(AS^2x, S^2Ax, a)$$

$$\leq d(ASx, SAx, a) \leq d(S^2x, A^2x, a) \text{ for}$$

all  $x, a$  in  $X$ .

**1.2 Definition :** Two self-maps  $A$  and  $S$  define on a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be *weak\* commutative* if

$$(I) A(X) \subset S(X)$$

$$(II) d(A^2S^2x, S^2A^2x, a) \leq d(S^2x, A^2x, a)$$

for all  $x, a$  in  $X$ .

**1.3 Definition:** Two self-maps  $A$  and  $S$  define on a 2-metric space  $(X, d)$  are said to be *weak commutative* if

$$(I) A(X) \subset S(X)$$

$$(II) d(ASx, SAx, a) \leq d(Ax, Sx, a) \text{ for all } x, a \text{ in } X.$$

**1.4 Example:** let  $X = [0,1]$  with 2-metric  $d$ -defined as

$$d(x, y, z) = \min \{|x - y|, |y - z|, |z - x|\}$$

Let  $A$  and  $S$  be defined as

$$Ax = \frac{x}{x+4} \text{ and } Sx = \frac{x}{2} \text{ for all } x \text{ in } X$$

Then  $A$  and  $S$  are weak\*\* commutative but not weak commutative.

### COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR A WEEK \*\* COMMUTING PAIR OF MAPPINGS

In this section, we have some results on common fixed points for Three self-maps of a 2- complete metric space using the concept of week \*\*commuting maps

**Theorem 2.1:** let  $(X, d)$  be a complete 2-metric space and A, S, T be three mappings of X into X. let  $S^2(x) \cup T^2x \subseteq A^2x$  and the pairs of mappings A,S and A,T be

Weak\*\* commutative and

$$1. d(S^2x, T^2y, a) \leq \frac{\alpha [d(A^2x, S^2x, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2y, T^2y, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^2x, A^2y, a)]^w} + \beta [d(A^2x, A^2y, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2x, T^2y, a)]^{r+w}$$

For all x, y, a in X with  $AX \neq AY$  and for some  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$  with  $0 < (\alpha + \beta) < 1$  and  $2r + w = 1$  when  $w \neq 0$ . Then A,S,T have a unique common fixed point if either of A,S,T, is continuous.

**Proof:** For any  $x_0 \in X$ , we have  $x_1 \in X$ , such that  $S^2x_0 = A^2x_1$  for  $S^2(x) \subseteq A^2(x)$

Similarly for this  $x_1$  we get  $x_2 \in X$  such that  $T^2x_1 = A^2x_2$  for  $T^2(x) \subseteq A^2(x)$  and so on.

Inductively we have a common sequence  $\{y_n\}$  defined as  $y_{2n+1} = A^2x_{2n+1} = S^2x_{2n}$

$$y_{2n+2} = A^2x_{2n+2} = S^2x_{2n+1} \text{ For } n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a) = d(A^2x_{2n}, A^2x_{2n+1}, a) = d(T^2x_{2n-1}, S^2x_{2n}, a)$$

$$= d(S^2x_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}, a) \leq \frac{\alpha [d(A^2x_{2n}, S^2x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2x_{2n-1}, T^2x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^2x_{2n}, A^2x_{2n-1}, a)]^w}$$

$$+ \beta [d(A^2x_{2n}, A^2x_{2n-1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n-1}, a)]^{r+w}$$

$$d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a) \leq (\alpha + \beta) [d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w} [d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a)]^{1-r-w}$$

$$[d(y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r-w} \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{1-r-w}} d(y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}, a)$$

$$d_{2n} \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{1-r-w}} d_{2n-1}$$

Where  $d_{2n} = d(y_n, y_{2n+1}, a)$  and  $d_{2n-1} = d(y_n, y_{2n-1}, a)$

Again

$$d_{2n+1} = d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}, a) = d(A^2x_{2n+1}, A^2x_{2n+2}, a)$$

$$= d(S^2x_{2n}, Tx_{2n+1}, a) \leq \frac{\alpha [d(A^2x_{2n}, S^2x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2x_{2n+1}, T^2x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^2x_{2n}, A^2x_{2n+1}, a)]^w}$$

$$+ \beta [d(A^2x_{2n}, A^2x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2x_{2n}, T^2x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w}$$

$$d_{2n+1} = \alpha [d_{2n}]^r [d_{2n+1}]^{1-r} + \beta [d_{2n}]^{1-r-w} [d_{2n+1}]^{r+w}$$

case(I) When  $w \neq 0$  then we obtain

$$d_{2n} = (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{r}} d_{2n-1}$$

$$\text{And } d_{2n+1} = (\alpha + \beta) [d_{2n}]^r [d_{2n+1}]^{1-r}$$

$$\text{Or } [d_{2n+1}] = (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{r}} [d_{2n}]$$

case(II) When  $w = 0$ , we get

$$d_{2n} \leq (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} d_{2n-1}$$

$$\text{And } d_{2n+1} = \alpha [d_{2n}]^r [d_{2n+1}]^{1-r} + \beta [d_{2n}]^{1-r} [d_{2n+1}]^r$$

We claim that  $d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n}$

If it is not so suppose that  $d_{2n+1} \geq d_{2n}$  then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{2n+1} &\leq \alpha [d_{2n}]^r [d_{2n+1}]^{1-r} + \beta [d_{2n}]^{1-r} [d_{2n+1}]^r \\ &= (\alpha + \beta) d_{2n+1} \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction

Since  $(\alpha + \beta) \leq 1$

Therefore

$$d_{2n+1} \leq d_{2n} \leq q d_{2n-1} \leq q^2 d_{2n-2} \leq q^3 d_{2n-3} \leq \dots \leq q^n d_0 \rightarrow 0$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

$$\text{Since } q = (\alpha + \beta)^{\frac{1}{1-r}} < 1.$$

Thus in both cases the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Therefore  $\{A^2 x_{2n}\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and so converges to a point  $u$  in  $X$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also as } n \rightarrow \infty \{A^2 x_{2n}\} &= \{S^2 x_{2n-1}\} \text{ and} \\ \{A^2 x_{2n+1}\} &= \{T^2 x_{2n}\} \text{ converges to } u \end{aligned}$$

Because they are subsequences of the sequence  $\{A^2 x_{2n}\}$

First let  $A$  is continuous then the sequence  $\{A^4 x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{A^2 S^2 x_{2n}\}$  converges to a point  $A^2 u$

Since  $A$  is weak\*\* commute with  $S$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a) &\leq d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, A^2 S^2 x_{2n}) \\ &+ d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 S^2 x_{2n}, a) + d(A^2 S^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a) \end{aligned}$$

Which imply on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that  $\{S^2 A^2 x_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $A^2 u$ . i.e  $S^2 u = A^2 u$

Now we claim that  $T^2 u = A^2 u$ . Suppose not then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, T^2 u, a) &\leq \\ &\alpha \frac{[d(A^4 x_{2n}, S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^4 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a)]^w} \\ &+ \beta [d(A^4 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^{r+w} \end{aligned}$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that  $d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a) < 0$ , a contradiction. So  $T^2 u = A^2 u$ .

Now suppose that  $S^2 u \neq T^2 u$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a) &\leq \alpha \frac{[d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^4 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a)]^w} \\ &+ \beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 u, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^{r+w} \\ &= 0, \text{ a contradiction. So } S^2 u = T^2 u \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have  $S^2 u = T^2 u = A^2 u$ .

A similar conclusion we get if we assume that  $A$  is weak\*\* commute with  $S$ , we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} d(A^2 S x_{2n}, S u, a) &\leq d(A^2 S x_{2n}, S u, S^2 A x_{2n}) \\ &+ d(A^2 S x_{2n}, S^2 A x_{2n}, a) + d(S^2 A x_{2n}, S u, a) \end{aligned}$$

Which implies as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that  $\{A^2 S x_{2n}\}$  converges to  $S u$

Now using this we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S^2 A x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a) &\leq \\ &\alpha \frac{[d(A^3 x_{2n}, S^2 A x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2 x_{2n+1}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^3 x_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^w} \\ &+ \beta [d(A^3 x_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2 A x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r-w} \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(Au, u, a) \leq \beta d(Au, u, a), \text{ a contradiction}$$

Thus  $Au = u$  so  $A^2 u = u$

Similarly as done above  $Su = Tu = u$  Therefore  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$

Now suppose that  $S$  is continuous, then the sequence  $\{A^2 Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $Su$ .

$A$  being weak\*\* commute with  $S$  we have

$$d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, a) \leq d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, S^2 Ax_{2n}) + d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, S^2 Ax_{2n}, a) + d(S^2 Ax_{2n}, Su, a)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we observe that  $\{A^2 Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $Su$

Now

$$d(S^3 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a) \leq \alpha \frac{[d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, S^3 x_{2n}, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2 x_{2n+1}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^w} + \beta [d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^3 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^{r+w}$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d(Su, u, a) \leq \beta d(Su, u, a)$ , a contradiction.

Thus  $Su = u$  and so  $S^2 u = u$ .

As above we can show that  $T^2 u = A^2 u = S^2 u$ .

Thus again we have  $u = Tu = Su = Au$

i.e.  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S, T$ .

If the mapping  $T$  is continuous instead of  $S$  analogously we can show that  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S, T$ .

Now we claim that  $u$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

For this let  $v \neq u$  be another common fixed point, then

$$d(u, v, a) = d(S^2 u, T^2 v, a) \leq \alpha \frac{[d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{r+w} [d(A^2 v, T^2 v, a)]^{1-r}}{[d(A^2 u, A^2 v, a)]^w} + \beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 v, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(S^2 u, T^2 v, a)]^{r+w} = \beta [d(u, v, a)]^{1-r-w} [d(u, v, a)]^{r+w}$$

$d(u, v, a) \leq \beta d(u, v, a)$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus  $u$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

**Theorem 2.2:** Let  $A, S$  and  $T$  be three self mappings of a complete 2- metric space  $(X, d)$ . Let

$S^2(x) \cup T^2 x \subseteq A^2 x$  if  $A, S$  and  $A, T$  be Weak\*\* commutative pairs and the following condition holds.

$$1. d(S^2 x, T^2 y, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^2 x, S^2 x, a)]^{1-\beta-w} [d(A^2 y, T^2 y, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 x, A^2 y, a)]^\gamma$$

for all  $x, y, a$  in  $X$ ,  $0 \leq \alpha < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \beta < 1$ ,  $0 \leq \gamma$  with  $0 < \beta + \gamma \leq 1$ . If either of  $A, S$  and  $T$  is continuous Then  $A, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** For any arbitrary point  $x_0 \in X$ , construct the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  as in theorem (2.1). Using (1) it is easy to show that  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete, So there exist a point  $u$  in  $X$ .

Now, Let  $A$  is continuous, then the sequence  $\{A^4 x_{2n}\}$  and  $\{A^2 S^2 x_{2n}\}$  converge to a point  $A^2 u$ .

$A$  is weak\*\* commutative with  $S$ , we have

$$d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a) \leq d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, A^2 S^2 x_{2n}) + d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, A^2 S^2 x_{2n}, a) + d(A^2 S^2 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a)$$

Which imply on letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that  $\{S^2 A^2 x_{2n}\}$  also converges to  $A^2 u$ . i.e  $S^2 u = A^2 u$

Now we claim that  $T^2 u = A^2 u$ . Suppose not then we have

$$d(S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, T^2 u, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^4 x_{2n}, S^2 A^2 x_{2n}, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^\beta [d(A^4 x_{2n}, A^2 u, a)]^\gamma$$

When  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we deduce that  $d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a) \leq 0$ , a contradiction, So  $T^2 u = A^2 u$ .

Now suppose that  $S^2 u \neq T^2 u$ , then

$$d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 u, a)]^\gamma = 0, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

So  $S^2 u = T^2 u$

Thus we have  $S^2 u = T^2 u = A^2 u$ .

A similar conclusion we get if we assume that  $A$  is weak  $**$  commute with  $T$ .

$A$  being weak  $**$  commute with  $S$ , we deduce that

$$d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, a) \leq d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, S^2 Ax_{2n}) + d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, S^2 Ax_{2n}, a) + d(S^2 Ax_{2n}, Su, a) \text{ Which}$$

implies as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  that  $\{A^2 Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $Su$

Now using this we have

$$d(S^2 Ax_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^3 x_{2n}, S^2 Ax_{2n}, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^\beta [d(A^3 x_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^\gamma$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(Au, u, a) \leq \beta d(Au, u, a), \text{ a contradiction}$$

Thus  $Au = u$  so  $A^2 u = u$

Similarly as done above  $Su = Tu = u$

Therefore  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$

Now suppose that  $S$  is continuous, then the sequence

$\{A^2 Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to  $Su$ .

$A$  being weak  $**$  commute with  $S$  we have

$$d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, a) \leq d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, Su, S^2 Ax_{2n}) + d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, S^2 Ax_{2n}, a) + d(S^2 Ax_{2n}, Su, a)$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$  we observe that  $\{A^2 Sx_{2n}\}$  converges to

$Su$

Now

$$d(S^3 x_{2n}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, S^3 x_{2n}, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 x_{2n+1}, T^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 Sx_{2n}, A^2 x_{2n+1}, a)]^\gamma$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $d(su, u, a) \leq \beta d(su, u, a)$ ,

a contradiction.

Thus  $Su = u$  and so  $S^2 u = u$ .

Suppose  $S^2 u \neq T^2 u$  then

$$d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 u, a)]^\gamma = 0, \text{ a contradiction. So } S^2 u = T^2 u$$

Since  $A$  is weak  $**$  commutative with  $S$ , we have

$$S^2 Au = A^3 u = Au$$

Now

$$d(Au, u, a) = d(A^3 u, T^2 u, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^3 u, S^2 Au, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 u, T^2 u, a)]^\beta [d(A^3 u, A^2 u, a)]^\gamma = 0, \text{ which implies that } Au = u.$$

Therefore  $Au = Su = u$ .

Since  $A$  is weak  $**$  commutative with  $T$ , we have

$$A^2 Tu = TA^2 u \text{ and } T^2 Au = T^3 u$$

Now  $d(Tu, u, a) = d(T^3 u, S^2 u, a) = d(S^2 u, T^2 u, a)$

$$\leq \alpha [d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 Tu, T^3 u, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 Tu, a)]^\gamma = 0, \text{ which implies that } Tu = u.$$

Therefore  $Au = Su = Tu = u$

i.e.  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

If the mapping  $T$  is continuous instead of  $S$  analogously we can show that  $u$  is the common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$

Now we claim that  $u$  is the unique common fixed point of

For this let  $v \neq u$  be another common fixed point, then

$$d(u, v, a) = d(S^2 u, T^2 v, a) \leq \alpha [d(A^2 u, S^2 u, a)]^{1-\beta-\gamma} [d(A^2 v, T^2 v, a)]^\beta [d(A^2 u, A^2 v, a)]^\gamma$$

i.e.  $d(u, v, a) \leq 0$ , which implies that  $u = v$

Thus  $u$  is the unique common fixed point of  $A, S$  and  $T$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gahler, S., 2-metrische Raume und ihre Topologische structure, Math Nachr, Vol- 26, pp.115 –148, 1963.
- [2] Jungk, G. : commuting maps and fixed points .AmerMat.Monthly 83(1976), pp.261-263.
- [3] KubaikTomas: Common fixed points of pairwise commuting mappings, Math.Nachr.118(1984) 123-127.

- [4] Sarkar,A.K.: Extension of a common fixed point theorem for four Maps on a metric space. Bull.cal.math.soc.83 (1991) 559-564.
- [5] Sessa ,S.: On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in a fixed point considerations. publ. inst. Math 32 (46) (1982),149 -153.