# Operators induced by fuzzy stack on a fuzzy topological space

## Rashmi Singh

Assistant Professor Amity Institute of Applied Sciences Amity University Uttar Pardesh, India rsingh7@amity.edu

#### **Sonal Mittal**

Research Scholar Amity Institute of Applied Sciences Amity University Uttar Pardesh, India mittalsonal316@yahoo.com

## Abstract -

In the present paper we introduce operators  $\emptyset_s$ ,  $\psi_s$  induced by given fuzzy stack S and fuzzy topology  $\tau$ . With the help of operators and the collection  $\tau^s$ , a new fuzzy topology  $\tau^{S^*}$  is obtained. It is shown that  $\tau \subseteq \tau^s \subseteq \tau^{S^*}$ . We have also shown various relations between the fuzzy stack oriented collections and given fuzzy topology. A suitability condition is applied on fuzzy stack, which makes the fuzzy topology better defined.

**Key words -** Fuzzy grill,  $\emptyset_S$  - operator,  $\psi_S$  - operator

#### 1.INTRODUCTION

Choquet [2] introduced the notion of grills in general topology. In fuzzy setting, the notion of fuzzy grill and fuzzy stack was introduced by K.K. Azad[1]. Since then fuzzy grills and fuzzy stacks are studied by many authors in topological proximity and nearness spaces [4, 5, 8, 10, 12]. In 2007, Roy and Mukherjee [5] introduced an operator defined by a grill on a topological space. Das & Mukherjee [4] introduced an operator by fuzzy grill on fuzzy topological space and showed that it satisfy Kuratowski closure axioms. In 2012, Min and Kim [11] studied operators induced by stacks on a topological space. In the present paper, we introduced operators with the help of fuzzy stack on a given fuzzy topology. It is obviously a generalized notion of operator defined by fuzzy grill on a fuzzy topological space in [4]. In section 3, the operator  $\emptyset_s$  is defined with the help of a fuzzy stack S and fuzzy topology  $\tau$  and some of the basic properties are studied. Further the operator  $\psi_s$  is defined by operator  $\emptyset_s$ . The collection  $\tau^s$  of fuzzy sets induced by operator  $\psi_s$  are studied. In particular, we show that collection of fuzzy sets  $\tau^s$  induced by  $\psi_s$  is a super collection of given fuzzytopology  $\tau$ . In section 4, we applied some suitability condition on fuzzy stack. If S is a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$  and  $\tau$  is a fuzzy topology on  $I^X$  then, for  $V \in \tau$ ,  $B \notin S \Rightarrow V - B \in \tau^s$ , it is shown with the help of suitability condition that  $\tau^s = \{V - B : V \in \tau, B \notin S\}$  where  $V, B \in I^X$ .

# 2.PRELIMINARIES

A fuzzy set *A* in a set *X* is a function on *X* in to the closed unit interval [0,1] of the real line. Support of  $A = \{x \in X: A(x) > 0\}$  is denoted by Supp *A*. The fuzzy sets in *X* taking on the constant values 0 and 1 are denoted by  $O_X$  and  $I_X$  respectively. For  $A, B \in I^X$ ,  $A \leq B$  if  $A(x) \leq B(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . By AqB, we mean that *A* is quasi - coincident with

Bi.e.AqB implies A(x) + B(x) > 1 for some  $x \in X$ . The negations of these statements are denoted by  $A\overline{q}B$ . A fuzzy singleton or a fuzzy point with support X and value  $p(0 is denoted by <math>x_p$ . The collection of all open q - nbds of any fuzzy point  $x_p$  is denoted by  $Q(x_p)$ . A subfamily  $\beta$  of the fuzzy topology  $\tau$  of an fts  $(X,\tau)$  is a base for  $\tau$  iff for each fuzzy singleton  $x_p$  in  $(X,\tau)$  and for each open q - nbd U of  $x_p$ ,  $x_pqB$  for some  $B \in \beta$  with  $B \le U$ . A fuzzy point  $x_p$  is called an adherence point of fuzzy set A if every open q - nbd of  $x_p$  is a quasi - coincident with A and Cl(A) is the union of all adherence points of A.M(A) is set of molecules of  $I^X$  in  $A.VM(\downarrow A) = A$ , for  $A \in I^X$  where  $\downarrow A = \{b \in P: \exists a \in A s.t.b \le a\}$ , P is a Poset.

## 3 COLLECTION $\tau^s$ INDUCED BY FUZZY STACK S

**Definition 3.1** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A \in I^X$ , a mapping  $\emptyset_S : I^X \to I^X$  denoted by  $\emptyset_S(A) = \bigvee \{x_p : A * U \in S \text{ for all } U \in Q(x_p)\}$  is called operator associated with fuzzy stack S and fuzzy topology on  $I^X$ .

**Remarks 3.2** - For  $A \in I^{Y}$ ,  $x_p \nleq \emptyset_{S}(A)$  iff  $\exists U \in Q(x_p)$  such that  $U * A \notin S$ .

**Proposition 3.3 -** Let  $(I^{Y}, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^{X}$ .

- (i) For  $A, B \in I^X$ ,  $A \le B \Rightarrow \emptyset_S(A) \le \emptyset_S(B)$ .
- (ii) If  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  are two fuzzy stack on  $I^X$  with  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $\emptyset_{S_1}(A) \le \emptyset_{S_2}(A)$  for  $A \in I^X$ .
- (iii) For any fuzzy stack Son  $I^X$  and  $A \in I^X$ , if  $A \notin S$ , then  $\emptyset_S(A) = 0_X$ .

## Proof -

- (i) Let  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(A)$ , then for all  $U \in Q(x_p)$ ,  $A * U \in S$ . Since  $A \le B \Rightarrow A * U \le B * U$ ; but S is fuzzy stack so  $B * U \in S$  for all  $U \in Q(x_p)$ , this implies  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(B)$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $x_p \le \emptyset_{S_1}(A)$ , this implies that for all  $U \in Q(x_p)$ ,  $A * U \in S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $x_p \le \emptyset_{S_2}(A)$ .
- (iii) Let  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(A) \& A \notin S \Rightarrow$  for all  $U \in Q(x_p)$ ,  $A * U \in S$ , so we arrive at a contradiction. Thus  $\emptyset_S(A) = \theta_X$  if  $A \notin S$ .

**Remark 3.3.1** -  $\emptyset_S(\theta_X) = \theta_X$ 

International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 10, Number 19 (2015) pp 39979-39982 © Research India Publications. http://www.ripublication.com

**Example 3.3.2** -  $\emptyset_{S}(1_{X}) \neq 1_{X}$ 

We will prove  $\exists \ a \ x_p$  such that  $x_p \not< \emptyset_S(I_X)$  but  $x_p \le I_X$ . Let  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{c, 0_X, I_X\}$  where c(a) = 0.2, c(b) = 0.3. Let  $S = \{1_X all \ fuzzy \ sets \ T \ s.t. \ 0.3 \le T(a) \le 1, 0.4 \le T(b) \le 1\}$ , here, S is a fuzzy stack and  $\tau$  is a fuzzy topology.

Proposition 3.4 - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . Then, for  $A \in I^X$ .

- (i)  $\emptyset_{S}(A) \leq cl(A)$
- (ii)  $cl(\emptyset_S(A)) \leq \emptyset_S(A)$

## Proof -

- (i) Suppose that  $x_p \le cl(A)$ , then there exist an open  $q nbd\ U$  of  $x_p$  in X s.t.  $U\overline{q}A$ , that is,  $A(y) + U(y) \le 1$  for each  $y \in X$  and hence  $A*U = O_X \notin S$ , then  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(A)$ .
- (ii) Let  $x_p \le cl$  ( $\mathscr{O}_S(A)$  and  $U \in Q$  ( $x_p$ ), then  $\mathscr{O}_S(A)qU$  that is, there exist  $y \in X$  such that  $\mathscr{O}_S(A)(y) + U(y) > 1$ , let  $\mathscr{O}_S(A)(y) = t$ , this implies  $y_t \le \mathscr{O}_S$  (A) and  $U \in Q$  ( $y_t$ ) $\Rightarrow A*U \in S \Rightarrow x_p \le \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ .

**Corollary 3.4.1** - For a fuzzy topological space  $(I^X, \tau)$ ,  $\emptyset_{S}(\emptyset_{S}(A)) \leq \emptyset_{S}(A)$  where  $A \in I^X$ .

**Proof** - By 3.4 (i)  $\mathcal{O}_{S}(\mathcal{O}_{S}(A)) \leq cl(\mathcal{O}_{S}(A))$  and by 3.4 (ii)  $cl(\mathcal{O}_{S}(A)) \leq \mathcal{O}_{S}(A)$ , this implies  $\mathcal{O}_{S}(\mathcal{O}_{S}(A)) \leq \mathcal{O}_{S}(A)$ .

**Proposition 3.5** - For fuzzy topological space  $(I^X, \tau)$ ,  $\emptyset_S$  is closed for  $A \in I^X$ .

**Proof** - By 3.4 (ii),  $cl(\mathscr{O}_S(A)) \leq \mathscr{O}_S(A)$  and by definition of closure,  $\mathscr{O}_S(A) \leq cl(\mathscr{O}_S(A))$ . Then  $cl(\mathscr{O}_S(A)) = \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ . This shows that  $\mathscr{O}_S(A)$  is closed for  $A \in I^X$ .

**Definition 3.6** - Let S be a fuzzy stack on fuzzy topological space  $(I^X, \tau)$ . We define  $\psi_S : I^X \to I^X$  by  $\psi_S(A) = A \lor \mathcal{O}_S(A)$  where  $A \in I^X$ .

**Proposition 3.7** - The above defined operator  $\psi_S$  satisfy the following conditions

- (i)  $\psi_S(\theta_X) = \theta_X$
- (ii)  $A \le \psi_{S}(A)$  for  $A \in I^{X}$
- (iii) For  $A, B \in I^X, A \le B \Rightarrow \psi_S(A) \le \psi_S(B)$
- (iv) For  $A, B \in I^X$ ,  $\psi_S(A \wedge B) \le \psi_S(A) \wedge \psi_S(B)$

**Proof -** (i) & (ii) Follows from definition

- (iii) For  $A, B \in I^X$ ,  $\psi_S(A) = A \lor \emptyset_S(A) \le B \lor \emptyset_S(B) = \psi_S(B)$ , so  $A \le B$  implies  $\psi_S(A) \le \psi_S(B)$ .
- (iv) For A,  $B \in I^Y$ ,  $A \wedge B \leq A$ , B. Using proposition 3.7,  $\psi_S(A \wedge B) \leq \psi_S(A)$ ,  $\psi_S(B)$ , which gives  $\psi_S(A \wedge B) \leq \psi_S(A) \wedge \psi_S(B)$ .

**Example 3.7.1** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space where  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{c, \theta_X, I_X\}$ , c(a) = 0.5, c(b) = 0.2 S be a fuzzy stack containing  $I_X$  and all fuzzy set S s.t. S(a) > 0.1, S(b) > 0.2, we will prove that  $\psi_S(A \land B) \neq \psi_S(A) \land \psi_S(B)$ ,  $A, B \in I^X$  s.t. Let A(a) = 0.1, A(b) = 0.5, B(a) = 0.3, B(b) = 0.3. Here  $A \notin S$  so  $\emptyset_S(A) = \theta_X$ , thus  $\psi_S(A) = A \lor \emptyset_S(A) = A$ .  $A \land B \lor B \lor B$  so  $\emptyset_S(A) \land B \lor B$ 

B) =  $\theta_X$ , thus  $\psi_S(A \wedge B) = (A \wedge B)$ , B(a) = 0.3, B(b) = 0.3;  $\emptyset_S(B) = a_{p_1} \vee b_{p_2}$  where  $p_1 \leq 0.5$ ,  $p_2 \leq 0.8$ ,  $\psi_S(B)(a) = (B \vee \emptyset_S(B))(a) = 0.5$ ,  $\psi_S(B)(b) = 0.8$ ,  $\psi_S(A \wedge B) \neq \psi_S(A) \wedge \psi_S(B)$ .

**Example 3.7.2** - Let( $I^X$ ,  $\tau$ ) be a fuzzy topological space where  $X = \{a, b\}$ ,  $\tau = \{c, \theta_X, 1_X\}$ , c(a) = 0.5, c(b) = 0.2. Let S be a fuzzy stack containing  $I_X$  and all fuzzy set S such that S(a) > 0.1, S(b) > 0.2. We will prove that  $\psi_S(A \vee B) \neq \psi_S(A) \vee \psi_S(B)$ ,  $A, B \in I^X$  such that Let A(a) = 0.1, A(b) = 0.3, B(a) = 0.3,  $B(b) = 0.1 \cdot \psi_S(A) = A \vee \emptyset_S(A) = A \vee \theta_X = A$ , similarly  $\psi_S(B) = B \vee \emptyset_S(B) = B(\because B \notin S)$ . But  $(A \vee B)(a) = 0.3$ ,  $(A \vee B)(b) = 0.3$ ,  $(A \vee B)(b)$ ,  $(A \vee B)(b)$ , (A

**Definition 3.8 -** Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ , then  $\tau^S = \{U \in I^X : \psi_S(I_X - U) = (I_X - U)\}$ 

**Proposition 3.9.1 -** Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . Then

- (i)  $\theta_{X}, 1_{X} \in \tau^{S}$
- (ii) If  $U_p \in \tau^S$  for  $p \in J$ , then  $V_p \in \tau^S$

#### Proof -

- (i) Since  $\psi_S(\theta_X) = \theta_X$  and  $\psi_S(\theta_X) = \theta_X \Rightarrow \theta_X, \ \theta_X \in \tau^S$ .
- (ii) Suppose  $U_p \in \tau^S$  for all  $\propto \in J$ . Let  $U_p \in \tau^S$ , then  $\psi_S(1_X U_p) = 1_X U_p$  and so  $(1_X U_p) \vee \emptyset_S(1_X U_p) = (1_X U_p)$ . So  $\emptyset_S(1_X U_p) \leq I_X U_p$ . This shows  $\emptyset_S(1_X \vee U_p) \leq \emptyset_S(1_X U_p) \leq I_X U_p$ , which gives  $\emptyset_S(1_X \vee U_p) \leq \wedge (1_X U_p) = (1_X \vee U_p \cdot \text{So}\psi_S(1_X \vee U_p)) = (1_X \vee U_p \cdot \text{So}\psi_S(1_X \vee U_p))$ . Hence  $\vee U_p \in \tau^S$ .

**Remark 3.9.2** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ , elements of  $\tau^S$  are said to be  $\tau^S - open$ . If the complement of a fuzzy set is  $\tau^S - open$ , then fuzzy set is called  $\tau^S - closed$ .

**Theorem 3.10 -** Let( $I^X$ ,  $\tau$ ) be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . Then for  $V \in \tau$  and  $A \notin S$ ,  $V - A \in \tau^S$ .

**Proof -** Suppose that U = V - A s.t.  $V \in \tau$  and  $A \notin S$ . We show that  $\emptyset_S(I_X - U) \le I_X - U$ . Let there exists a fuzzy point  $x_p$  such that  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(I_X - U)$ , but  $x_p \le I_X - U$ , which implies that for each  $W \in Q(x_p)$ ,  $W + (I_X - U) - I \in S$ . Since  $W + I - U - I = W - U \in S$ ,  $W - (V - A) \in S$ , but  $x_p \le I_X - U \Rightarrow p > (I_X - U)(x) = I - (V - A)(x) \Rightarrow p + V(x) > I + A(x) \ge I$ . In fact  $V(x) \le A(x)$  is not possible since in that  $\cos(V - A)(x)$ .

[In fact  $V(x) \le A(x)$  is not possible since in that case(V - A)(x) = 0, this implies I - (V - A)(x) = 1 - 0 < p. Thus V(x) > A(x) and (V - A)(x) = V(x) - A(x). Then  $P > I - (V - A)(x) = I - V(x) + A(x) \Rightarrow p + V(x) > I + A(x) \ge I$ .]

Hence  $V \in Q(x_p)$ . As  $V - (V - A) \in S$  but  $V - (V - A) \le A \Rightarrow A \in S$ , contradiction to  $A \notin S$ . Then  $\emptyset(1_X - U) \le 1_X - U$ . Thus  $V - A \in \tau^S$ .

International Journal of Applied Engineering Research ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 10, Number 19 (2015) pp 39979-39982 © Research India Publications. http://www.ripublication.com

Corollary 3.10.1 - Let S be a fuzzy stack on  $(I^X, \tau)$ , then  $\tau \subseteq \tau^{S}$ 

## Definition3.11 -

we define  $i_{\tau S}: I^X \to I^X, c_{\tau S}: I^X \to I^X$ ,  $A \in I^X$ such that  $i_{\tau S}(A) = V\{U \in I: U \leq A \text{ and } U \tau^S\}, \quad c_{\tau S}(A) = \Lambda$  ${F \in I^X : A \leq F \text{ and } I_X - F \in \tau^S}.$ 

**Remark 3.11.1** - Let( $I^X$ ,  $\tau$ ) be a fuzzy topological space and Sbe a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A \in I^X$ ,  $x_p \leq i_{\tau^S}(A)$  if and only if there exists a  $\tau^S$  —open set U such that  $x_n \le U$  and  $U \le A$ .

**Corollary 3.11.2 -** Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . If for  $A \in I^X$ ,  $x_p \le i_r s(A)$ , then there exist  $W \in Q(x_n)$  such that  $(I_X - A) * W \notin S$ .

**Proof**- Let  $x_n \le i_{\tau^S}(A)$  then there exists a  $\tau^S$  -open set U such that  $x_p \le U$  and  $U \le A$ . Then  $I_X - A \le I_X - U =$  $\psi_S(I_X - U)$ . But  $x_p \le \emptyset_S(I_X - U) \le (1_X - U)$ . So, there exists  $W \in Q(x_p)$  such that  $(1_X - U) * W \notin S \Rightarrow (1_X - A) * W$ 

**Theorem 3.12** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A, B \in I^X$ 

- $i_{\tau}s(0_X) = 0_X, i_{\tau}s(1_X) = 1_X$ (i)
- (ii)  $i_{-S}(A) \leq A$
- if  $A \leq B$ , then  $i_{\mathcal{S}}(A) \leq i_{\mathcal{S}}(B)$ (iii)
- (iv)  $i_{\tau S}(i_{\tau S}(A)) = i_{\tau S}(A)$
- (v)  $i_{\tau}s(A \wedge B) = i_{\tau}s(A) \wedge i_{\tau}s(B)$

**Theorem 3.13** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and Sbe a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A, B \in I^X$ ,

- (i)  $c_{\tau}s(1_X) = 1_X$ ,  $c_{\tau}s(0_X) = 0_X$
- $A \leq c_{\tau} s(A)$ (ii)
- If  $A \leq B \Rightarrow c_{\tau}s(A) \leq c_{\tau}s(B)$ (iii)
- $c_{\tau}s(c_{\tau}s(A)) = c_{\tau}s(A)$ (iv)
- $c_{\tau S}(A \lor B) = c_{\tau S}(A) \lor c_{\tau S}(B)$ (v)

Remark 3.13.1 - c<sub>r</sub>s is a Kuratowski closure operator

**Theorem 3.14 -** Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and Sbe a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A, B \in I^X$ , A is  $\tau^S$  -closed iff  $\psi_{\varsigma}(A) = A$ 

**Proof** - For  $A \in I^X$ , A is  $\tau^S$  -closed iff  $I_X - A$  is  $\tau^S$  -open  $\inf \psi_{S}(1_{X} - (1_{X} - A)) = (1_{X} - (1_{X} - A)) \text{ iff } \psi_{S}(A) = A$ 

**Theorem 3.15** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A \in I^X$ ,

- $A \notin S \Rightarrow I_X A \in \tau^S$  $\emptyset_S(A) \text{ is } \tau^S closed$ (i)
- (ii)
- $\psi_S(A) \le c_{\tau^S}(A)$ (iii)

# Proof -

Let  $A \notin S$ , then  $\mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X$ ,  $\psi_S(I_X - (I_X - A)) = \psi_S(A) = A$ (i)  $\vee \mathscr{O}_{S}(A) = A = I_{X} - (I_{X} - A)$ , this implies  $I_{X} - A \in \tau^{S}$ .

- (ii) Since  $\psi_{S}(\emptyset_{S}(A)) = \emptyset_{S}(A) \vee \emptyset_{S}(\emptyset_{S}(A)) = \emptyset_{S}(A)$ , which gives  $\mathscr{O}_{S}(A)$  is  $\tau^{S}$  - closed.
- (iii) Since  $\psi_S(A) \leq \psi_S(c_{\tau^S}(A)),$  $\text{have}\psi_{\varsigma}(A) \leq$  $\psi_{S}(c_{\tau}s(A)) = c_{\tau}s(A)$

**Definition 3.16** - Define  $\tau^{S^*} = \{A \in I^X : c_{\tau^S}(I_X - A) = (I_X - A)\}$ A)} also $\tau^{S^*}$  is a fuzzy topology on  $I^X$ , where  $c_{\tau S}$  is a Kurotowski closure operator.

**Note 3.16.1** -  $\tau \subseteq \tau^{S^*} \subseteq \tau^S$ 

**Example 3.16.2** - In an fuzzy topological space  $(I^X, \tau)$ , the trivial fuzzy stack is  $I^{Y}/\{\theta_{Y}\}$ . If we take  $S=I^{Y}/\{\theta_{Y}\}$ , this implies for  $A \in I^X$ ,  $\emptyset_S(A) = \psi_S(A) = cl(A) = c_{rS}(A)$ , In this  $case \tau = \tau^{S^*} = \tau^S$ .

**Proposition3.17** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be fuzzy stack on  $I^X$ . For  $A \in I^X$ ,

- If  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $\tau^{S_2} \subseteq \tau^{S_1}$
- If  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , then  $c_{\tau S_1}(A) \le c_{\tau S_2}(A)$ (ii)
- $\tau^{S_2}^* \subseteq \tau^{S_1}^*$ , where  $\tau^{S^*} = \{A : c_* S(1_X A) = (1_X A)\}$ (iii)

## Proof -

- Since  $\tau^S = \{A: \psi_S(1_X A) = (1_X A)\}$ . Let  $U \in \tau^{S_2}$ , (i) this implies  $\psi_{S_1}(I_{X^-} U) = (I_{X^-} U) \Rightarrow \emptyset_{S_2}(I_{X^-} U)$  $U) \le (1_X - U) \text{but} S_1 \subseteq S_2$ , so  $\emptyset_{S_1} (1_X - U) \le \emptyset_{S_2} (1_X - U)$  $U) \leq (1_X - U) \Rightarrow \psi_{S_I}(1_X - U) = (1_X - U) \Rightarrow U \in \tau^{S_I}$
- Follows from definition. (ii)
- (iii) Let  $U \in \tau^{S_2}^*$ , then  $c_{\tau^{S_2}}(1_X - U) = (1_X - U)$ So,  $c_{\tau^{S_1}}(1_X - U)$  $U \le c_r s_2(1_X - U) = (1_X - U)$ , which gives  $c_r s_1(1_X - U)$  $U) \le (l_X - U) \text{but}(l_X - U) \le c_{\tau} s_1(l_X - U) \Rightarrow c_{\tau} s_1(l_X - U)$  $= (1_X - U) \Rightarrow U \in \tau^{S_I}^*$

# 4. FUZZY TOPOLOGY SUITABLE FOR FUZZY **STACK**

In this section, fuzzy stack satisfying a certain condition are considered. We applied some suitable condition on fuzzy stack S which makes collection  $\tau^{S}$  suitable and compatible. Under this suitability condition, imposed on concerned stack, the collection  $\tau^S$  will become  $\{V - \beta : V \in \tau, B \notin S\}$ .

**Definition 4.1** - Let S be a fuzzy stack on fuzzy on fuzzy topological space  $(I^{Y}, \tau)$ . Then the fuzzy topology  $\tau$  is suitable for fuzzy stack S, if corresponding to each  $x_{\alpha} \leq A$ , there exit  $U \in Q(x_n)$  such that  $A * U \notin S$ , then  $A \notin S$ , for  $A \in I^X$ .

**Definition 4.2** - For every fuzzy set A in X, we define fuzzy set  $\widetilde{A}$  by  $\widetilde{A} = \{x_{\alpha} \le A / x_{\alpha} \le \emptyset_{S}(A)\}.$ 

**Remark 4.2.1** -  $\widetilde{A} \wedge \mathscr{O}_{S}(A) = \mathcal{O}_{X}$ , for  $A \in I^{X}$ .

**Remark 4.2.2** -  $\widetilde{A} \wedge \mathscr{O}_{S}(\widetilde{A}) = 0_{X}$ , for  $A \in I^{X}$ .

**Proposition 4.3** - For any fuzzy set  $A, A = \widetilde{A} \lor (A \land \emptyset_S(A))$ .

**Proposition 4.4 -** Let S be a fuzzy stack on fuzzy topological

 $(I^X, \tau)$ . Then  $\tau$  is suitable for fuzzy stack S iff  $A \wedge \emptyset_S(A) = \theta_X$ , implies  $\emptyset_S(A) = \theta_X$ .

**Proof** - Since  $\tau$  is suitable for fuzzy stack S. Let  $A \land \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X$ , so for each  $x_\alpha \leq A$ , we have  $x_\alpha \not\leq \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ . Hence there exist  $U \in Q$   $(x_\alpha)$  such that  $A * U \notin S$ . Since  $\tau$  is suitable for S,  $A \notin S \Rightarrow \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X$ . Converselyif  $A \land \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X \Rightarrow A \notin S$ . So  $\tau$  is suitable for S. This completes the proof.

**Proposition 4.5** - If  $A \wedge \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X$ , then  $\mathscr{O}_S(\widetilde{A}) = \mathscr{O}_X$ .

**Remark 4.5.1** - If  $\tau$  is suitable for S, then  $\mathscr{Q}_S(\widetilde{A}) = \theta_X$ .

**Proposition 4.6** - For  $A \in I^X$ , if  $\mathscr{O}_S(A \wedge \mathscr{O}_S(A)) = \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ , Then if  $A \wedge \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathcal{O}_X \Rightarrow \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathcal{O}_X$ .

**Proof -** Let  $A \wedge \mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_X$ . By given  $\mathscr{O}_S(A) = \mathscr{O}_S(A \wedge \mathscr{O}_S(A)) = \mathscr{O}_S(\mathscr{O}_X)$ .

**Proposition 4.7** - For every set *A* in *X*, if *A* contains no non empty set *B* with  $B \leq \emptyset_S(B) \Rightarrow A \notin S$ . Then  $\widetilde{A} \notin S$  for  $A \in I^X$ .

**Proof** - For  $A \in I^X$ ,  $\widetilde{A} = \{x_\alpha \le A : x_\alpha \not\le \emptyset_S(A)\}$ . We claim that  $\widetilde{A}$ does not contain any non empty fuzzy set B s.t. $B \le \emptyset_S(B)$ . Then,  $\widetilde{A} \notin S$ , if possible, let B be non empty fuzzy set contained in  $\widetilde{A}$  such that  $B \le \emptyset_S(B)$ . Let  $x_\alpha \le B$ , then  $x_\alpha \le \widetilde{A}$ . But  $B \le \widetilde{A}$  and  $\sup_{\alpha \le S} \sup_{\alpha \le S} \sup$ 

**Theorem 4.8** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$  such that  $\tau$  is suitable of S, then  $\tau^S$  -closed fuzzy set can be expressed as a join of fuzzy set which is closed in  $(I^X, \tau)$  and a fuzzy set which is not in fuzzy stack S.

**Proof -** Suppose A is a  $\tau^S$  —closed set, then  $A = A \vee \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ , this implies  $\mathscr{O}_S(A) \leq A$ . Using proposition 4.3,  $A = \widetilde{A} \vee \mathscr{O}_S(A)$ , but  $\widetilde{A} \notin S$ .

**Theorem 4.9** - Let  $(I^X, \tau)$  be a fuzzy topological space and S be a fuzzy stack on  $I^X$  such that  $\tau$  is suitable for S. Let  $\sigma = \{0_X, I_X\}$  denote fuzzy indiscrete topology on  $I^X$ , then  $\tau^S = \sigma^S \mathbf{U} \tau$ .

**Proof -** Since  $\sigma = \{ \theta_X, I_X \}$  is fuzzy indiscrete topology on  $I^X$ . So  $I_X$  is only  $\sigma$  - qnbd of every fuzzy point  $x_\alpha$  in X. Then for  $A \in I^X$ ,  $x_\alpha \leq \emptyset_S(A) \Leftrightarrow A * I_X \in S \Leftrightarrow A \in S$ . Thus for every  $A \in S$ ,  $\emptyset_S(A) = I_X$  and for every fuzzy set  $A \notin S$ ,  $\emptyset_S(A) = \theta_X$ . This implies  $\psi_S(A) = A$  if  $A \notin S$ .  $\sigma^S = \{ U : I_X - U \notin S \}$ , clearly  $\sigma^S \subseteq \tau^S$  since for  $V \in \tau^S$ . Since  $\tau \subseteq \tau^S$ ,  $\sigma^S \subseteq \tau^S \Rightarrow \tau \cup \sigma^S \subseteq \tau^S$ . Conversely, let  $U \in \tau^S$ , so  $I - U = F \lor B$  where F is  $\tau$ -closed and  $B \notin S$  (using theorem 4.8). This gives,  $U = (I_X - F) \land (I_X - B)$  where  $I_X - F$  is  $\tau$ -open and  $I_X - B$  is  $\sigma^S$ -open so  $U \in \tau \cup T$ .

**Theorem 4.10 -** Let *S* be fuzzy stack on  $(I^X, \tau)$  with  $\tau$  is suitable for *S* then  $\tau^S = \{V - B : V \in \tau, B \notin S\}$ .

**Proof** Let A be  $\tau^S$  - closed fuzzy set then  $\tau^S$  - cl(A) = A = A  $V \not O_S(A) \Rightarrow \not O_S(A) \leq A$ . Since  $A = \widetilde{A} \lor (A \land O_S(A))$ , we have  $A = \widetilde{A} \lor (A \land O_S(A))$ . Using definition of  $\widetilde{A}$ ,  $\not O_S(A) \land \widetilde{A} = O_X$ . Since  $\tau$  is suitable for  $S \Rightarrow \widetilde{A} \notin S.A = \widetilde{A} \lor O_S(A)$  where  $O_S(A)$  is  $\tau$  - closed and  $\widetilde{A} \notin S.$  We can write  $A = O_S(A) + \widetilde{A}.I_X - A = I_X - [O_S(A) + \widetilde{A}] = \{[I_X - O_S(A)] - \widetilde{A}\}$ , here  $I_X - O_S(A) \in \tau$  and  $\widetilde{A} \notin S$ .

## References

- [1] K.K. Azad "Fuzzy grills and a characterization of fuzzy proximity" J. Math. Anal. Appl.79 (1981), 13 17.
- [2] G. Choquet, C.R. Acad "Sur les notions de felters et de grille" Sci. Paris 224 (1947), 171 173.
- [3] C. L. Chang "Fuzzy topological space" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 24 (1968), 182 190.
- [4] M. N. Mukherjee, Sumita Das"Fuzzy Grills and induced fuzzy topology"МАТЕМАТИ4КИ ВЕСНИК, 62, 4 (2010), 285 297.
- [5] B. Roy, M. N. Mukherjee"On a typical topology induced by grill" Soochow J. Math. 33 (4) 2007), 771 786.
- [6] L.A. Zadeh"Fuzzy sets, Inform"Control 8 (1965), 338 353.
- [7] P. Srivastava, R. L. Gupta "Fuzzy proximity structures and fuzzy ultra filters" J. Math. Anal. Appl. 94 (2)(1983), 297 311.
- [8] M. Khare, R Singh "L guilds and binary L merotopies" Novi Sad J. Math., 36(2), 2006, 57–64.
- [9] M. Khare, R Singh"L contiguities and their order structure" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 158(4), 2007, 399–408.
- [10] M. Khare, R Singh "Complete  $\xi$  grills and (L, n) merotopies" Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 159(5), 2008, 620–628.
- [11] W.k.Min, Y.K.Kim "Operators induced by stack on a topological space" International journal of pure & Applied mathematics, 78 (2012,), 887 894.
- [12] R Singh, S Mittal"fuzzy grill m space and induced fuzzy topology" International journal of research in information technology, volume 2, issue 6, June 2014, Pg 7 14.