

Quantum Algorithm for Modified One-dimensional Random Walk Problem by Central Limit Theorem

Toru Fujimura

*Chemical Department,
Industrial Property Cooperation Center,
1-2-15, Kiba, Koto-ku, Tokyo 135-0042, Japan
E-mail: tfujimura8@gmail.com*

Abstract

A quantum algorithm for a modified one-dimensional random walk problem by the central limit theorem and its example are reported. When a random variable X_i [$1 \leq i \leq n$. i and n are integers.] becomes d_t and $-d_t$ [d_t is a distance. $1 \leq t \leq k$. t and k are integers.] as each probability $1/(2k)$, an example in paths that arrive at a point on a straight line is obtained. A computational complexity of a classical calculation is $(2k)^n$. The computational complexity becomes about $3(\log_2 2k)n$ by the quantum algorithm that uses quantum phase inversion gates, quantum inversion about mean gates, and the standard normal distribution. Therefore, a polynomial time process becomes possible.

AMS subject classification: Primary 81-08; Secondary 68R05, 68W40.

Keywords: Quantum algorithm, modified one-dimensional random walk problem, central limit theorem, computational complexity, standard normal distribution, polynomial time.

1. Introduction

A quantum computer can solve a problem at high speed by a parallel computation that uses quantum entangled states. Deutsch-Jozsa's algorithm for the rapid solution [1–3], Shor's algorithm for the factorization [2–4], Grover's algorithms for the database search [2,5,6], and so on are known. A quantum algorithm for the vertex coloring problem by the central limit theorem has recently been reported by Fujimura [7]. Its computational complexity becomes a polynomial time. In the one-dimensional random walk problem [8], its modified problem that is set up is examined this time. Therefore, its result is reported.

2. Modified One-dimensional Random Walk Problem

When a random variable X_i [$1 \leq i \leq n$. i and n are integers.] becomes d_t and $-d_t$ [d_t is a distance. $1 \leq t \leq k$. t and k are integers.] as each probability $1/(2k)$, an example in paths that arrive at a point on a straight line is searched.

3. Quantum Algorithm

It is assumed that X_i [$1 \leq i \leq n$. i and n integers.] becomes d_t and $-d_t$ [d_t is a distance. $1 \leq t \leq k$. t and k are integers.] as each probability $1/(2k)$, a mean is 0, and a dispersion is $(d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_k^2)/k$. Therefore, when a total mean is 0 and a total dispersion

$\sigma^2 = (d_1^2 + d_2^2 + \dots + d_k^2)n/k$, $(\sum_{i=1}^n X_i)/\sigma$ follows the normal distribution from the cen-

tral limit theorem. When the standard normal distribution $f(z)$ is $\int_0^z (e^{-z^2/2}/(2\pi)^{1/2})dz$,

and values of $\int_{u_p}^{v_p} (e^{-z^2/2}/(2\pi)^{1/2})dz$ are $1/2^2, 1/2^4, 1/2^6, 1/2^8, \dots$, and $1/2^{2p}$ [p is

a positive integer], each value of z is assumed u_p and v_p that these range are contained a value M of a point on a straight line that is searched. u_p and v_p are obtained from the table of $f(z)$. Each total number of the data between $u_p\sigma$ and $v_p\sigma$ is $(2k)^n/2^2, (2k)^n/2^4, (2k)^n/2^6, (2k)^n/2^8, \dots$, respectively. A height at m is $(2k)^n e^{-(m/\sigma)^2/2} / ((2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma)$ [= $H(m)$].

Next, a quantum algorithm is shown as the following.

First of all, quantum registers $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \dots, |a_n\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ are prepared. When α is a minimum integer that is $\log_2 2k$ or more, each of $|a_f\rangle$ that f is an integer from 1 to n is consisted of α quantum bits [= qubits]. States of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \dots, |a_n\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ are a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n, b , and c , respectively.

Step 1: Each qubit of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \dots, |a_n\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ is set $|0\rangle$.

Step 2: The Hadamard gate \boxed{H} [2, 3] acts on each qubit of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \dots, |a_{n-1}\rangle$, and $|a_n\rangle$. It changes them for entangled states. The total states are $(2^\alpha)^n$.

Step 3: It is assumed that a quantum gate (A) changes $|b\rangle$ for $|b+1\rangle$ at $a_f \geq 2k$, or it doesn't change $|b\rangle$ at $a_f < 2k$. As a target state for $|b\rangle$ is 0, quantum phase inversion gates (PI) and quantum inversion about mean gates (IM) [2,5,6] act on $|b\rangle$. When β is a minimum even integer that is $(2^\alpha/(2k))^{1/2}$ or more, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|b\rangle$ is β because they are a couple. Next, an observation gate (OB) observes $|b\rangle$. These actions are repeated sequentially from $|a_1\rangle$ to $|a_n\rangle$. Therefore, each state of $|a_f\rangle$ is 0, 1, \dots , $2k-2$, and $2k-1$, and the total states become $(2k)^n$ [= W_0].

Step 4: It is assumed that a quantum gate (B) changes $|b\rangle$ for $|b+d_1\rangle, |b-d_1\rangle, |b+d_2\rangle, |b-d_2\rangle, \dots, |b+d_k\rangle$, and $|b-d_k\rangle$ at $a_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots, 2k-2$,

and $2k - 1$, respectively. This action repeats to $|a_n\rangle$. Therefore, $|b\rangle$ becomes from $| -nd_{max}\rangle$ to $|nd_{max}\rangle$ [d_{max} is a maximum value in d_t].

Step 5: It is assumed that a quantum gate (C_1) doesn't change $|c\rangle$ in $u_1\sigma \leq b \leq v_1\sigma$, or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in the others of b . As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included in $u_1\sigma \leq b \leq v_1\sigma$ is $W_1 \approx (2k)^n/2^2$. When γ_1 is a minimum even integer that is $(W_0/W_1)^{1/2}$ or more, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_1 \approx 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|c\rangle$, and the data of W_1 remain.

Similarly, (C_R) [$2 \leq R \leq g - 1$. R is an integer. g that is an integer follows $W_0/H(M) = 1/(e^{-(M/\sigma)^2/2}/(2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma) \approx 2^{2g}$.] doesn't change $|c\rangle$ in $u_R\sigma \leq b \leq v_R\sigma$, or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in the others of b . As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included in $u_R\sigma \leq b \leq v_R\sigma$ is $W_R \approx (2k)^n/2^{2R}$. When γ_R is the minimum even integer that is $(W_{R-1}/W_R)^{1/2}$ or more, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_R \approx 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|c\rangle$, and the data of W_R remain. These actions are repeated sequentially from 2 to $g - 1$ at R .

(C_g) doesn't change $|c\rangle$ at $b = M$ [$u_g\sigma \approx M \leq b \leq v_g\sigma \approx M$], or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in $b \neq M$. As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included at $b = M$ is $W_g \approx (2k)^n e^{-(M/\sigma)^2/2}/((2\pi)^{1/2}\sigma) [= H(M)] \approx (2k)^n/2^{2g}$. When γ_g is the minimum even integer that is $(W_{g-1}/W_g)^{1/2} \approx (((2k)^n/2^{2(g-1)})/((2k)^n/2^{2g}))^{1/2} = 2 \leq 2 = \gamma_g$, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_g \approx 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, \dots, |a_n\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$, and one of the data of W_g remain. Therefore, one example of paths that arrive at the point M on the straight line is obtained.

4. Numerical Computation

It is assumed that there are $k = 3, d_1 = 1, -d_1 = -1, d_2 = 2, -d_2 = -2, d_3 = 3, -d_3 = -3, d_{max} = 3, n = 3, \sigma = 3.742, M = 5, H(5) \approx 9, g = 3, u_1 = 0.4103, u_2 = 1.023, u_3 = 1.246$, and $v_1 = v_2 = v_3 = 1.336$ [$u_1\sigma \approx 2, u_2\sigma \approx 4, u_3\sigma \approx 5, v_1\sigma = v_2\sigma = v_3\sigma \approx 5$].

First of all, $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, |a_3\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ are prepared. When α is the minimum integer that is $\log_2 2k = \log_2 6 \approx 2.6 \leq 3 = \alpha$, each of $|a_f\rangle$ that f is the integer from 1 to 3 is consisted of 3 qubits. States of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, |a_3\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ are a_1, a_2, a_3, b , and c , respectively.

Step 1: Each qubit of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, |a_3\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$ is set $|0\rangle$.

Step 2: \boxed{H} acts on each qubit of $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle$, and $|a_3\rangle$. It changes them for entangled states. The total states are $(2^3)^3$.

Step 3: (A) changes $|b\rangle$ for $|b+1\rangle$ at $a_f \geq 6$, or it doesn't change $|b\rangle$ at $a_f < 6$. As the target state for $|b\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|b\rangle$. When β is the

minimum even integer that is $(2^\alpha/(2k))^{1/2} = (2^3/6)^{1/2} \approx 1.2 \leq 2 = \beta$, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|b\rangle$ is $\beta = 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|b\rangle$. These actions are repeated sequentially from $|a_1\rangle$ to $|a_3\rangle$. Therefore, each state of $|a_f\rangle$ is 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5, and the total states become $6^3 [= W_0]$.

Step 4: (B) changes $|b\rangle$ for $|b+1\rangle, |b-1\rangle, |b+2\rangle, |b-2\rangle, |b+3\rangle$, and $|b-3\rangle$ at $a_1 = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$, and 5, respectively. This action repeats to $|a_3\rangle$. Therefore, $|b\rangle$ becomes from $| -nd_{max}\rangle = |-9\rangle$ to $|nd_{max}\rangle = |9\rangle$.

Step 5: (C_1) doesn't change $|c\rangle$ in $u_1\sigma \approx 2 \leq b \leq v_1\sigma \approx 5$, or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in the others of b . As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included in $2 \leq b \leq 5$ is $W_1 \approx 6^3/2^2$. When γ_1 is the minimum even integer that is $(W_0/W_1)^{1/2} \approx (6^3/(6^3/2^2))^{1/2} = 2 \leq 2 = \gamma_1$, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_1 \approx 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|c\rangle$, and the data of W_1 remain.

(C_2) doesn't change $|c\rangle$ in $u_2\sigma \approx 4 \leq b \leq v_2\sigma \approx 5$, or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in the others of b . As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included in $4 \leq b \leq 5$ is $W_2 \approx 6^3/2^4$. When γ_2 is the minimum even integer that is $(W_1/W_2)^{1/2} \approx (6^3/2^2/(6^3/2^4))^{1/2} = 2 \leq 2 = \gamma_2$, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_2 \approx 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|c\rangle$, and the data of W_2 remain.

(C_3) doesn't change $|c\rangle$ at $b = M = 5$ [$u_3\sigma \approx 5 \leq b \leq v_3\sigma \approx 5$], or it changes $|c\rangle$ for $|c+1\rangle$ in $b \neq 5$. As the target state for $|c\rangle$ is 0, (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$. The number of the data that is included at $b = 5$ is $W_3 \approx H(5) \approx 9$. When γ_3 is the minimum even integer that is $(W_2/W_3)^{1/2} \approx (13.5/9)^{1/2} \approx 1.2 \leq 2 = \gamma_3$, the total number that (PI) and (IM) act on $|c\rangle$ is $\gamma_3 = 2$. Next, (OB) observes $|a_1\rangle, |a_2\rangle, |a_3\rangle, |b\rangle$, and $|c\rangle$, and one of the data of W_3 remain. For example, when a_1, a_2, a_3, b , and c are 4, 1, 4, 5, and 0, respectively, it is obtained that an example of paths is $3 \rightarrow -1 \rightarrow 3$ [$3 - 1 + 3 = 5 = M$].

5. Discussion and Summary

The computational complexity of this quantum algorithm [$= S$] becomes the following. In the order of the actions by the gates, the number of them is αn at $\overline{[H]}$, n at (A) , $\beta n = 2n$ at (PI) and (IM) , n at (OB) , n at (B) , about g at (C_R) [$1 \leq R \leq g$. R is an integer.],

about $\sum_{R=1}^g \gamma_R = 2g$ at (PI) and (IM) , and about g at (OB) . Therefore, S becomes about

$(\alpha + 5)n + 4g$. In the example of the section 4, S is 36. The computational complexity of the classical computation [$= Z$] is $(2k)^n = 6^3 = 216$. After all, S/Z becomes $1/6$. When n is large enough, S becomes about $(\alpha + 5)n + 4g \approx \alpha n + 4g \approx (\log_2 2k)n + 2(\log_2 2k)n = 3(\log_2 2k)n$, where a maximum value of g is about $(n/2)\log_2 2k$, and S/Z is about $3(\log_2 2k)n/(2k)^n \approx n/(2k)^n$. For example, as for $n = 100$ and $k = 3$, S/Z is about $100/6^{100} \approx 100/10^{78} = 1/10^{76}$.

Therefore, a polynomial time process becomes possible.

References

- [1] Deutsch D., and Jozsa R., Rapid solution of problems by quantum computation, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A*, 439:553–558, 1992.
- [2] Takeuchi S., *Ryoshi Konpyuta (Quantum Computer)*, Kodansha, Tokyo, Japan, 2005 [in Japanese].
- [3] Miyano K., and Furusawa A., *Ryoshi Konpyuta Nyumon (An Introduction to Quantum Computation)*, Nihonhyoronsha, Tokyo, Japan, 2008 [in Japanese].
- [4] Shor P.W., Algorithms for quantum computation: discrete logarithms and factoring, *Proc. 35th Annu. Symp. Foundations of Computer Science*, IEEE, pp. 124–134, 1994.
- [5] Grover L.K., A fast quantum mechanical algorithm for database search, *Proc. 28th Annu. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, pp. 212–219, 1996.
- [6] Grover L.K., A framework for fast quantum mechanical algorithms, *Proc. 30th Annu. ACM Symp. Theory of Computing*, pp. 53–62, 1998.
- [7] Fujimura T., Quantum algorithm for vertex coloring problem by central limit theorem, *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 7:401–405, 2011.
- [8] Weisstein E.W., Random Walk–1-Dimensional, 2011, [Online], Available: <http://mathworld.wolfram.com/RandomWalk1-Dimensional.html>.