

## Unbranched Riemann domains over Stein spaces

Youssef Alaoui

*Département de mathématiques,  
Institut Agronomique et Vétérinaire, Hassan II.  
B.P.6202, Rabat-Instituts, 10101. Morocco.  
E-mail: [y.alaoui@iav.ac.ma](mailto:y.alaoui@iav.ac.ma), [comp5123ster@gmail.com](mailto:comp5123ster@gmail.com)*

### Abstract

In this article, we show that if  $\Pi : X \rightarrow \Omega$  is an unbranched Riemann domain with  $\Omega$  Stein and  $\Pi$  a locally 1-complete morphism, then  $X$  is Stein. This gives in particular a positive answer to the local Steinness problem, namely if  $X$  is a Stein space and, if  $\Omega$  is a locally Stein open set in  $X$ , then  $\Omega$  is Stein.

**AMS Subject Classification:** 32E10, 32E40.

**Keywords:** Stein spaces,  $q$ -convex functions,  $q$ -complete and  $q$ -Runge spaces.

### 1. Introduction

A holomorphic map  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  of complex spaces is said to be a locally  $r$ -complete morphism if for every  $x \in Y$ , there exists an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  such that  $\Pi^{-1}(U)$  is  $r$ -complete. When  $r = 1$ ,  $\Pi$  is called locally 1-complete or locally Stein morphism.

In [3] Coltoiu and Diederich proved the following

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complex spaces with isolated singularities and  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  an unbranched Riemann domain such that  $Y$  is Stein and  $\Pi$  is a locally Stein morphism. Then  $X$  is Stein.

In this article, we prove that the same result follows if we assume only that  $Y$  is an arbitrary Stein space.

An immediate consequence of this result is the

**Corollary 1.2.** Let  $X$  be a Stein space, and let  $\Omega \subset X$  be an open subset which is locally Stein in the sense that every point  $x \in \partial\Omega$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  in  $X$  such that  $U \cap \Omega$  is Stein. Then  $\Omega$  is itself Stein.

## 2. Preliminaries

We start by recalling some definitions which are important for our purposes.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with complex coordinates  $z_1, \dots, z_n$ . Then it is known that a function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  is  $q$ -convex if for every point  $z \in \Omega$ , there exists a complex vector subspace  $E(z)$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of dimension at least  $n - q + 1$  such that the levi form

$$L_z(\phi, \xi) = \sum_{i,j} \frac{\partial^2 \phi(z)}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} \xi_i \bar{\xi}_j$$

is positive definite at each point  $\xi \in E(z)$ .

A smooth real valued function  $\phi$  on a complex space  $X$  is called  $q$ -convex if every point  $x \in X$  has an open neighborhood  $U$  isomorphic to a closed analytic set in a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  such that the restriction  $\phi|_U$  has an extension  $\tilde{\phi} \in C^\infty(D)$  which is  $q$ -convex on  $D$ .

We say that  $X$  is  $q$ -complete if there exists a  $q$ -convex function  $\phi \in C^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$  which is exhaustive on  $X$  i.e.  $\{x \in X : \phi(x) < c\}$  is relatively compact for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ .

The space  $X$  is said to be cohomologically  $q$ -complete if for every coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  the cohomology groups  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F})$  vanish for all  $p \geq q$ .

An open subset  $D$  of  $\Omega$  is called  $q$ -Runge if for every compact set  $K \subset D$ , there is a  $q$ -convex exhaustion function  $\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$  such that

$$K \subset \{x \in \Omega : \phi(x) < 0\} \subset\subset D$$

It is shown in [2] that if  $D$  is  $q$ -Runge in  $\Omega$ , then for every  $\mathcal{F} \in \text{coh}(\Omega)$  the cohomology groups  $H^p(D, \mathcal{F})$  vanish for  $p \geq q$  and, the restriction map

$$H^p(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \longrightarrow H^p(D, \mathcal{F})$$

has dense image for all  $p \geq q - 1$ .

## 3. Main result

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be complex spaces and  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  an unbranched Riemann domain. Assume that there exists a smooth  $q$ -convex function  $\phi$  on  $Y$ . Then, for any real number  $c$  and every coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  if  $dih(\mathcal{F}) > q$  and  $X'_c = \{x \in X : \phi \circ \Pi(x) > c\}$ , the restriction map  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(X'_c, \mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism for  $p \leq dih(\mathcal{F}) - q - 1$ .

Here  $dih(\mathcal{F})$  denotes the homological dimension of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Let  $V$  be a closed analytic set in a domain  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and  $\phi \in C^\infty(V)$  a  $q$ -convex function. Let  $\xi \in V$  and suppose we can find a  $q$ -convex function  $\hat{\phi} \in C^\infty(D)$  with  $\hat{\phi}|_V = \phi$  and that  $n$  is equal to the dimension of the Zariski tangent space at  $\xi$ .

Then in order to prove lemma 1 we shall need the following result due to Andreotti-Grauert [2].

**Theorem 3.2.** For any coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $V$  with  $dih(\mathcal{F}) > q$ , there exists a fundamental system of Stein neighborhoods  $U \subset D$  of  $\xi$  such that if  $Y = \{z \in V :$

$\phi(z) > 0\}$ , then  $H^p(Y \cap U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $0 < p < \text{dih}_\xi(\mathcal{F}) - q$  and  $H^0(U \cap V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(U \cap Y, \mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $\xi \in X$  such that  $\phi \circ \Pi(\xi) = c$ , and let  $V \subset\subset X$  be a hyperconvex open neighborhood of  $\xi$ , biholomorphic by  $\Pi$  to the open subset  $W = \Pi(V) \subset Y$ . We may take  $V$  so that  $W$  is biholomorphic to a closed analytic subset of a domain  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  of minimal dimension and  $\phi|_W$  extends to a smooth  $q$ -convex function in a neighborhood  $W_1 \subset D$  of  $W$ . Let  $\psi : V \rightarrow ]-\infty, 0[$  be a continuous strictly plurisubharmonic function. Then it is clear that  $\psi_k = \frac{1}{k}\psi + \phi \circ \Pi$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is an increasing sequence of  $q$ -convex functions on  $V$ . If we put  $V_k = \{x \in V : \psi_k(x) > c\}$ , then  $\bigcup_{k \geq 1} V_k = V \cap X'_c$ .

Moreover, for any  $x \in V$ , there exists, by theorem 2, a fundamental system of connected Stein neighborhoods  $U \subset V$  such that  $H^r(U \cap V_k, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq r < \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$  and  $H^0(U, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(U \cap V_k, \mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism, or equivalently (See [4] or [1])  $H^r_{S_k}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $r \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$ , where  $\underline{H}^r_{S_k}(\mathcal{F})$  is the cohomology sheaf with support in  $S_k = \{x \in V : \psi_k(x) \leq c\}$  and coefficients in  $\mathcal{F}$ . Furthermore, there exists a spectral sequence

$$H^p_{S_k}(V, \mathcal{F}) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(V, \underline{H}^p_{S_k}(\mathcal{F}))$$

Since  $\underline{H}^p_{S_k}(\mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$ , then for any  $p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$  the cohomology groups  $\underline{H}^p_{S_k}(V, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  and, the exact sequence of local cohomology

$$\dots \rightarrow H^p_{S_k}(V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(V_k, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}_{S_k}(V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow \dots$$

implies that  $H^p(V_k, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(V, \mathcal{F})$  for all  $p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q - 1$ . Hence  $H^p(V_k, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q - 1$  and  $H^0(V_k, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^0(V, \mathcal{F})$  for every integer  $k$ . Since  $V \cap X'_c$  is an increasing union of  $V_k$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then, by ([2], lemma, p. 250), we deduce that  $H^p(V \cap X'_c, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for  $1 \leq p \leq n - q - 1$  and  $H^0(V, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^0(V \cap X'_c, \mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism. Since each point of  $X$  has a fundamental system of hyperconvex neighborhoods, then, if

$S = \{x \in X : \phi \circ \Pi(x) \leq c\}$ , the cohomology sheaf  $H^p_S(\mathcal{F})$  vanishes for all  $p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$ . Therefore the spectral sequence

$$H^p_S(X, \mathcal{F}) \leftarrow E_2^{p,q} = H^p(X, \underline{H}^p_S(\mathcal{F}))$$

shows that  $H^p_S(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for any  $p \leq \text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) - q$ , and from the exact sequence

$$\dots \rightarrow H^p_S(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^p(X'_c, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^{p+1}_S(X, \mathcal{F}) \dots$$

we see that  $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^r(X'_c, \mathcal{F})$  for any  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and all  $r \leq n - q - 1$ . ■

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $Y$  be a Stein space and  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  an unbranched Riemann domain and locally  $r$ -complete morphism. Then for any coherent analytic sheaf  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X$  with  $\text{dih}(\mathcal{F}) \geq r + 2$ , the cohomology group  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $p \geq r$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  is locally  $r$ -complete, it follows from [7] that  $H^p(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $p \geq r + 1$ . It is therefore enough to prove that  $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ .

We consider a covering  $\mathcal{V} = (V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $Y$  by open sets  $V_i \subset \Omega$  such that  $\Pi^{-1}(V_i)$  is  $r$ -complete for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . By the Stein covering lemma of Sthel  [6], there exists a locally finite covering  $\mathcal{U} = (U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $Y$  by Stein open subsets  $U_i \subset \subset Y$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is a refinement of  $\mathcal{V}$ ,  $\bigcup_{i \leq j} U_i$  is Stein for all  $j$ . Moreover, there exists for all

$j \in \mathbb{N}$  a continuous strictly plurisubharmonic function  $\phi_{j+1}$  on  $\bigcup_{i \leq j+1} U_i$  such that

$$\bigcup_{i \leq j} U_i \cap U_{j+1} = \{x \in U_{j+1} : \phi_{j+1}(x) < 0\}$$

Note also that  $\Pi^{-1}(U_i)$  is  $r$ -complete for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and, if  $X_j = \Pi^{-1} \bigcup_{i \leq j} U_i$  and

$X'_{j+1} = \Pi^{-1}(U_{j+1})$ , then  $X_j \cap X'_{j+1} = \{x \in X'_{j+1} : \phi_{j+1} \circ \Pi(x) < 0\}$  is clearly  $r$ -Runge in  $X'_{j+1}$ .

We shall first prove by induction on  $j$  that  $H^r(X_j, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . For  $j = 0$ , this is clear, since  $\Pi^{-1}(U_0)$  is  $r$ -complete. Assume that  $j \geq 1$ ,  $H^r(X_j, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  and put  $Y_j = \{x \in X_j : \phi_{j+1} \circ \Pi(x) > 0\}$  and  $Y'_{j+1} = \{x \in X'_{j+1} : \phi_{j+1} \circ \Pi(x) > 0\}$ . Then, by lemma 1,  $H^p(Y_j, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(X_j, \mathcal{F})$  and  $H^p(Y'_{j+1}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(X'_{j+1}, \mathcal{F})$  for  $p \leq r$ . Since  $Y_{j+1} = \{x \in X_{j+1} : \phi_{j+1} \circ \Pi(x) > 0\} = Y_j \cup Y'_{j+1}$  and  $Y_j \cap Y'_{j+1} = \emptyset$ , then we have

$$H^p(X_{j+1}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(Y_{j+1}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^p(Y_j, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H^p(Y'_{j+1}, \mathcal{F}) \text{ for all } p \leq r$$

This proves in particular that  $H^r(X_j, \mathcal{F}) = 0$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Moreover, since  $X$  is an increasing union of  $(X_j)_{j \geq 0}$  and  $H^{r-1}(X_{j+1}, \mathcal{F}) \cong H^{r-1}(X_j, \mathcal{F}) \oplus H^{r-1}(X'_{j+1}, \mathcal{F})$ , then, by [2, lemma, p. 250], the restriction map  $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow H^r(X_0, \mathcal{F})$  is an isomorphism, which implies that  $H^r(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ . ■

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be an unbranched Riemann domain with  $Y$  a Stein space of dimension  $n$  and  $\Pi$  a locally Stein morphism. Then  $X$  is Stein.

*Proof.* The proof is by induction on the dimension of  $Y$ .

In order to prove theorem 3 we have only to verify that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . (See [5]). Suppose that  $n = 2$ , and let  $\xi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$  be a normalization of  $Y$ . If  $\tilde{X}$  denotes the fiber product of  $\Pi : X \rightarrow Y$  and the normalization  $\xi : \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ , then  $\tilde{X} = \{(x, \tilde{y}) \in X \times \tilde{Y} : \Pi(x) = \xi(\tilde{y})\}$  and, it is clear that the projection  $\Pi_2 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow \tilde{Y}$  is an unbranched Riemann domain over the 2-dimensional Stein normal space  $\tilde{Y}$ . Moreover, since  $\Pi_2$  is obviously a locally Stein morphism, it follows from [3] that  $\tilde{X}$  is Stein. On the other hand, it is easy to verify that the projection  $\Pi_1 : \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$  is a finite holomorphic surjection, which implies that  $X$  is Stein. (See e.g. [8]).

We now suppose that  $n \geq 3$  and that the theorem has already proved if  $\dim(Y) \leq n - 1$ .

Since a complex space  $X$  is Stein if and only if each irreducible component  $X_i$  of  $X$  is Stein, then we may assume that  $Y$  is irreducible.

Let  $f$  be a holomorphic function on  $Y$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , but  $Z = \{f = 0\} \neq \emptyset$ . Then  $\Pi|_{Z'} : Z' = \Pi^{-1}(Z) \rightarrow Z$  is an unbranched Riemann domain and locally Stein. Therefore  $Z'$  is Stein by the induction hypothesis. Furthermore, if  $\mathcal{I}(Z')$  denotes the ideal sheaf of  $Z'$ , it follows from [2] that  $dih(\mathcal{I}(Z')) = dih(\mathcal{O}_{Z'}) + 1 \geq 3$  and, by lemma 2, we obtain  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}(Z')) = 0$ . Consider now the exact sequence of sheaves

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}(Z') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Z'} \rightarrow 0$$

Since  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I}(Z')) = 0$  and  $Z'$  is Stein, we deduce from the long exact sequence of cohomology that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = 0$ . ■

## References

- [1] Y. Alaoui, Cohomology of locally  $q$ -complete sets in Stein manifolds, *Complex Variables and Elliptic Equations*, Vol. 51(2):137–141, February 2006.
- [2] A. Andreotti and H. Grauert, Théorèmes de finitude de la cohomologie des espaces complexes, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 90:193–259, 1962.
- [3] M. Coltoiu and K. Diederich, The levi problem for Riemann domains over Stein spaces with isolated singularities, *Math. Ann.*, 338:283–289, 2007.
- [4] A. Grothendieck, Sur quelques points d'Algèbre homologique, *Tohoku Mathematical Journal*, IX, 119–221, 1957.
- [5] B. Jennane, Problème de Levi et morphisme localement de Stein, *Math. Ann.*, 256:37–42, 1981.
- [6] J.-L. Stehlé, Fonctions plurisousharmoniques et convexité holomorphe de certains fibrés analytiques, Séminaire Lelong, p. 155–179, Lecture Notes numéro 474, 1973, 74.
- [7] V. Vajaitu, Cohomology groups of locally  $q$ -complete morphisms with  $r$ -complete base, *Math. Scand.*, 79:161–175, 1996.
- [8] V. Vajaitu, Invariance of cohomological  $q$ -completeness under finite holomorphic surjections, *Manusc. Math.*, 82:113–124, 1994.

