

Coefficient Inequalities for Certain Classes of Analytic and Univalent Functions in the Unit Disk

Oladipo A. T.

*Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics,
Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Ogbomoso
P. M. B. 4000, Ogbomoso, Nigeria,
E-Mail: atlab_3@yahoo.com*

Ukeje EMelike

*Department of Mathematics,
Abia State University, Uturu, Abia State.
E-mail: ukejeemelike@yahoo.com*

Abstract

Let A denote the class of functions analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized with $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) - 1 = 0$. Recently, Hayami et al. [1] discussed some interesting results on coefficient inequalities for functions $f(z) \in A$ which are starlike, convex and λ -spiral of order α . The object of the present paper is to extend this results to the class $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ defined by Salagean derivative operator to derive some interesting coefficient inequalities and discuss their consequences. Also, relevant connections of our results with some of the existing ones are discussed.

AMS Subject Classification: Primary 30C45.

Keywords: Starlike, convex, λ -spiral, univalent functions, Salagean derivatives.

1. Introduction

Let A denote the class of function $f(z)$ of the form

$$f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the unit disk $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and normalized with $f(0) = 0$ and $f'(0) - 1 = 0$.

Furthermore, we let Ω denote the class of function $p(z)$ of the form

$$p(z) = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} p_k z^k \quad (1.2)$$

which are analytic in E and satisfies $Re p(z) > 0$.

Let $S \subset A$ be the class of functions univalent in $E = \{z : |z| < 1\}$. Moreover, the normalized analytic function defined by (1.1) is said to be starlike, convex, close - to convex with the provision that the geometric quantities

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}, 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}, f'(z)$$

are respectively belong to the family Ω .

In this work the authors wish to define the following:

Definition 1.1. A function $f(z) \in A$ is said to be in the class $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$Re \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} \right) > \alpha \left| \frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - 1 \right| + \beta \quad (1.3)$$

where $\alpha \geq 0, 0 \leq \beta < 1, z \in E$ and D^n in this work is the Salagean derivative operator defined as

$$D^0 f(z) = f(z), D^1 f(z) = zf'(z), \dots, D^n f(z) = z(D^{n-1} f(z))' \quad (1.4)$$

For clarity purpose we give

$$D^n f(z) = z + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^k, [2, 3, 4]$$

Also we shall need the following lemmas in our present investigation.

Lemma 1.2. [1] A function $p(z) \in E$ satisfy the following condition $Re p(z) > 0, z \in E$ if and only if $p(z) \neq \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1}, (z \in E, \psi \in C, |\psi| = 1)$.

2. Coefficient inequalities for the class $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$

For our main results we first derive the following:

Lemma 2.1. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_n(\alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0 \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{k^n[k + 1 - 2\beta - \alpha(k - 1) + (1 - \alpha)(k - 1)\psi]}{2(1 - \beta)} a_k$$

$0 \leq \beta < 1$ and $n \in N_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, $\alpha \geq 0$.

Proof. Let us set

$$p(z) = \frac{\frac{(1-\alpha)D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (\beta - \alpha)}{1 - \beta} \quad (2.2)$$

We find that $p(z) \in \Omega$ and $Rep(z) > 0$, $z \in E$. By applying Lemma 1.2, we have

$$\frac{\frac{(1-\alpha)D^{n+1}f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (\beta - \alpha)}{1 - \beta} \neq \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1} \quad (2.3)$$

which readily yields

$$\frac{(1 - \alpha)D^{n+1}f(z) - (\beta - \alpha)D^n f(z)}{(1 - \beta)D^n f(z)} \neq \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1} \quad (2.4)$$

$(f(z) \in S_n(\alpha, \beta), z \in E, \psi \in C, |\psi| = 1$.

Thus we find that

$$\frac{(1 - \beta) + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^{n+1} a_k z^{k-1} - (\beta - \alpha) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^{k-1}}{(1 - \beta) + (1 - \beta) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n a_k z^{k-1}} \neq \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1} \quad (2.5)$$

which readily gives

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{k^n[k + 1 - 2\beta - \alpha(k - 1) + (1 - \alpha)(k - 1)\psi] a_k z^{k-1}}{2(1 - \beta)} \neq 0 \quad (2.6)$$

which complete the proof of Lemma 2.1. ■

On setting $\alpha = 0$ in Lemma 2.1 we have

Corollary 2.2. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_n(0, \beta)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{k^n(k + 1 - 2\beta + (k - 1)\psi)}{2(1 - \beta)} a_k$$

which shows that $f(z) \in S_n(0, \beta) \equiv S_n(\beta)$ see [2].

On setting $n = 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $\alpha = 0$ in Lemma 2.1 we have

Corollary 2.3. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_0(0, \beta)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{k+1-2\beta+(k-1)\psi}{2(1-\beta)} a_k$$

$f(z) \in S^*(0, \beta) \equiv S^*(\beta)$ which is Lemma 2.1 of [1].

Also, putting $n = 1$, and $\alpha = 0$, $0 \leq \beta < 1$ in Lemma 2.1 we have

Corollary 2.4. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_1(0, \beta)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

where

$$A_k = \frac{k(k+1-2\beta+(k-1)\psi)}{2(1-\beta)} a_k$$

$f(z)$ is convex.

On setting $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ in Lemma 2.1 we have

Corollary 2.5. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_n\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

where

$$A_k = k^n(k+(k-1)\psi)a_k$$

In view of lemma 2.1 we state and proof the following.

Theorem 2.6. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (j+1-2\beta-\alpha(j-1)) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) (\gamma_{k-m}) \right| \right. \\ & \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (1-\alpha)(j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) (\gamma_{k-m}) \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2(1-\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

$0 \leq \beta < 1, \alpha \geq 0, \rho, \gamma \in R$ then $f(z) \in S_n(\alpha, \beta)$.

Proof. First we note that

$$(1 - z)^\rho \neq 0 \text{ and } (1 + z)^\gamma \neq 0, (z \in E, \rho, \gamma \in R)$$

Hence, if the following inequality

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1}\right) (1 - z)^\rho (1 + z)^\gamma \neq 0 (z \in E, \rho, \gamma \in R)$$

holds true, then we have that

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1} \neq 0$$

which is the relation (2.1) of Lemma 2.1 It is easy to see that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k z^{k-1}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k b_k z^k\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k\right) \neq 0 \quad (2.8)$$

where for convenience

$$b_k = \binom{\rho}{k+1}, \quad c_k = \binom{\gamma}{k+1}$$

Considering the Cauchy product of the first two factors of (2.8), (2.8) can be written as

$$\left(1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} H_k z^{k-1}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_k z^k\right) \neq 0 \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$H_k = \sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{k-j} A_j b_{k-j}.$$

Furthermore, by applying the same Cauchy product method in (2.9) we obtain

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^k H_m c_{k-m}\right) z^{k-1}, \quad z \in E$$

or written equivalently as

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} A_j b_{m-j} \right) c_{k-m} \right] z^{k-1} \neq 0, \quad z \in E$$

That is if $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} A_j b_{m-j} \right) c_{k-m} \right| \leq 1$$

that is, if

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2(1-\beta)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n [(j+1-2\beta-\alpha(j-1)) \right. \right. \\ & \quad \left. \left. + (1-\alpha)(j-1)\psi] T_j b_{m-j} \right) c_{k-m} \right| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)} \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (j+1-2\beta-\alpha(j-1)) T_j b_{m-j} \right] c_{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + |\psi| \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (1-\alpha)(j-1) T_j b_{m-j} \right] c_{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

$0 \leq \beta < 1, \alpha \geq 0, \psi \in C, |\psi| = 1$ then $f(z) \in S_n(\alpha, \beta)$. This complete the proof of Theorem 2.6. \blacksquare

On setting $\alpha = 0$, in Theorem 2.6, we have the following

Corollary 2.7. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (j+1-2\beta) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2(1-\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

then $f(z) \in S_n(0, \beta)$.

On setting $n = 0, \alpha = 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$ in Theorem 2.6, we have the following

Corollary 2.8. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} (j+1-2\beta) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} (j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2(1-\beta) \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

then $f(z) \in S_0(0, \beta)$ and it is starlike of order β which is the Theorem 1 in [1].

Setting $n = 0, \alpha = 0$ and $\beta = 0$ in Theorem 2.6 we have

Corollary 2.9. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} (j+1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} (j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2, \end{aligned} \tag{2.12}$$

$\rho, \gamma \in R$ then $f(z) \in S^*(0, 0) \equiv S^*$ which is Corollary 2.2 [1].

Setting $n = 0, \alpha = 0$ and $\beta = \frac{1}{2}$ we have

Corollary 2.10. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} (j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 1 \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

or equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k(k-1)a_k \leq 1$$

Setting $n = 1, \alpha = 0$ and $0 \leq \beta < 1$ in Theorem 2.6 we have

Corollary 2.11. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j(j+1-2\beta) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j(j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T^j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2(1-\beta) \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

then $f(z) \in S(0, \beta)$, $\rho, \gamma \in R$ and $f(z)$ is convex which is Theorem 2 of [1].

3. Coefficient inequality for functions in the class $S_n(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$

Here we consider the subclass $S_n(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$ of A which consist of function $f(z) \in A$ if and only if the following condition holds true

$$\operatorname{Re} \left[e^{i\theta} \left(\frac{D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - \left(\frac{\beta - \alpha}{1 - \alpha} \right) \right) \right] > 0, \quad \left(z \in E, 0 \leq \alpha < 1, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2} \right) \quad (3.1)$$

For $f(z) \in S_n(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$, we first derive the following

Lemma 3.1. A function $f(z) \in A$ is in the class $S_n(\theta, \alpha, \beta)$ if and only if

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} L_k z^{k-1} \neq 0 \quad (3.2)$$

where

$$L_k = \frac{k^n [(k-1)(1-\alpha) - 2(1-\beta)e^{-i\theta} \cos\theta + (1-\alpha)(k-1)\psi]}{2(1-\beta)e^{-i\theta} \cos\theta} a_k$$

Proof. Set

$$p(z) = \frac{e^{i\theta} \left(\frac{(1-\alpha)D^{n+1} f(z)}{D^n f(z)} - (\beta - \alpha) \right) - i(1-\beta)\sin\theta}{(1-\beta)\cos\theta} \quad (3.3)$$

we can easily see that $p(z) \in \Omega$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$, $z \in E$. It does follow from Lemma 1.2 that

$$\frac{e^{i\theta} (1-\alpha)(D^{n+1} f(z) - (\beta - \alpha)D^n f(z)) - i(1-\beta)\sin\theta D^n f(z)}{(1-\beta)\cos\theta D^n f(z)} \neq \frac{\psi - 1}{\psi + 1} \quad (3.4)$$

which after some simple computation yields

$$1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} k^n \left(\frac{(k-1)(1-\alpha) + 2(1-\beta)e^{-i\theta} \cos\theta + (1-\alpha)(k-1)\psi}{2(1-\beta)e^{-i\theta} \cos\theta} \right) a_k z^{k-1} \neq 0 \tag{3.5}$$

$0 \leq \alpha < 1, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, n = (0, 1, 2, \dots)$ which complete the proof of lemma 3.1. ■

In view of lemma 3.1 we state and proof the following

Theorem 3.2. If $f(z) \in A$ satisfies the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \left(\left| \sum_{m=1}^k \left[\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n ((j-1)(1-\alpha) - 2(1-\beta)e^{-2i\theta}) \cos\theta \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right] \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \left| \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m (-1)^{m-j} j^n (1-\alpha)(j-1) \binom{\rho}{m-j} T_j \right) \binom{\gamma}{k-m} \right| \right) \\ & \leq 2(1-\alpha) \cos\theta \end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

$0 \leq \alpha < 1, -\frac{\pi}{2} < \theta < \frac{\pi}{2}, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $f(z) \in S_n(\theta, \alpha)$.

Proof. The proof follows the same method as in Theorem 2.6.

When $n = 0, \rho - 1 = \gamma = 0$ or $n = 1, n, \rho = \gamma = 1$ or $\rho - 2 = \gamma = 0$. Theorem 3.2 yields some existing results and new interesting ones.

At $\theta = 0$ Theorem 3.2 implies Theorem 2.6. Also, putting $\theta = 0, \alpha = 0$ in Theorem 3.2 some existing results can be obtained. ■

4. Conclusions

With various choices of $\alpha, \beta, \rho, \gamma, \theta$ and n many existing results can be derived and new ones can be obtained.

References

- [1] Hayami T. Owa S. and Srivastava H. M., Coefficient inequalities for certain classes of analytic and univalent functions, *Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 8(4):95, 2007.
- [2] Salagean Gr.st., Subclasses of univalent functions, Complex Analysis-Fifth Romania Finish Sem. part Proc. Bucharest. Lecture Notes in Math., 1013:362–372, 1981.
- [3] Abduhalim S., On a class of analytic functions involving Salagean differential operator, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 23(1):51–58, 1992.

- [4] Opoola T. O., On a new subclass of univalent functions, *Matematicae Cluj*, (36) 59(2):195–200, 1994.
- [5] Babalola K. O. and Opoola T. O., Iterated integral transforms of Caratheodory functions and their applications to analytic and univalent functions, *Tamkang Journal of Mathematics*, 37(4):355–366, 2006.