

A Note on Multiplicative (Generalized) - (α, β) - Reverse Derivations on Left Ideals in Prime Rings

Dr. C. Jaya Subba Reddy¹, N. Subbarayudu²,
C. Venkata Sai Raghavendra Reddy³

¹Associate professor, Dept. of Mathematics, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati, "*****"
Andhra Pradesh-517502, India.

²Research Scholar, Dept. of Mathematics, Sri Venkateswara University, Tirupati,
Andhra Pradesh-517502, India.

³Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, Bengaluru campus, Bangalur,
Karnataka, 560035, India.

Abstract

A mapping $G: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative right α -centralizer if $T(xy) = \alpha(x)T(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $G: R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation if there exists a map (neither necessarily additive or derivation) $g: R \rightarrow R$ such that $G(xy) = G(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)g(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$, where α and β are automorphisms on R . The main purpose of this paper is to study some algebraic identities with multiplicative (generalized)- (α, β) -reverse derivations and multiplicative right α -centralizer on the left ideal of a prime ring R . The main objective of the present paper is to investigate the following algebraic identities: (i) $G(xy) \pm T(x)T(y) = 0$ (ii) $G(xy) \pm T(xy) = 0$ (iii) $G(xy) \pm T(xy) \in Z(R)$ (iv) $G(xy) \pm G(x)T(y) \in Z(R)$ and (v) $G(xy) \pm T(x)G(y) = 0$ for all x, y in an appropriate subset of R .

Keywords: Prime ring, right ideal, multiplicative right α -centralizer, derivation, reverse derivation, generalized derivation, multiplicative (generalized) derivation, multiplicative (generalized) reverse derivation, Multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - derivation, Multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation.

INTRODUCTION:

Throughout R will represent an associative ring. A ring R is n -torsion free, if $nx = 0$ implies $x = 0$ for all $x \in R$, where $n > 1$ is an integer. A ring R is called prime if $aRb = (0)$ implies either $a = 0$ or $b = 0$ and is called semiprime if $aRa = (0)$ implies that $a = 0$. An additive mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. We make frequent use of following commutator identities:

$$[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z] \quad [x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z].$$

An additive mapping $G : R \rightarrow R$ is said to be generalized derivation if there exists an associated derivation $d : R \rightarrow R$ such that $G(xy) = G(x)y + xd(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. Of course, a generalized derivation is a generalization of derivation. Inspired by Martindale [6], in 1991, Daif [2] has given a generalization of derivation as multiplicative derivation which is defined as: a mapping $d : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is said to be multiplicative derivation if $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$.

Similar type of notation is defined in [5]. Later, in [3] Daif and Tammam have extended this notation to multiplicative generalized derivation as follows: a mapping $G : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative generalized derivation if there exists a derivation g such that $G(xy) = G(x)y + xg(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. If we consider g is any map neither necessarily derivation nor additive, then G is called multiplicative (generalized) derivation. The concept of multiplicative (generalized) derivation covers the concept of multiplicative generalized derivation and multiplicative centralizer (if $g = 0$). A mapping g from R to R is centralizing if $[g(x), x] \in Z(R)$ and particularly commuting if $[g(x), x] = 0$ for all $x \in R$. A mapping $T : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative left α -centralizer if $T(xy) = T(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. The multiplicative left α -centralizer covers the concept of multiplicative left centralizer, left α -centralizer and centralizers. Posner [8] has initiated to investigate the commutativity of rings with derivations. In details he proved that a prime ring R is commutative if there is a nonzero derivation d which is centralizing on R .

Ashraf and Rehman [1] showed that a prime ring R with a nonzero ideal I must be commutative if it admits a derivation d satisfying either of the properties $d(xy) + xy \in Z(R)$ or $d(xy) - xy \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in R$. A number of generalizations of such kind of commutativity ideas found in [4, 10]. Recently Rehman et al. in [9] introduced a concept of multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivations in rings as follows: A mapping $G : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivation if there exists a map (neither necessarily additive or derivation) $g : R \rightarrow R$ such that $G(xy) = G(x)\alpha(y) + \beta(x)g(y)$ for all $x, y \in R$. A mapping $G : R \rightarrow R$ (not necessarily additive) is called multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -reverse derivation if there exists a map (neither necessarily additive or derivation) $g : R \rightarrow R$ such that $G(xy) = G(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)g(x)$ for all $x, y \in R$, where α and β are automorphisms on R .

Lemma: (Muthana and Alkhamisi [7, Lemma2.1]). Let R be a semiprime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . suppose that $\alpha, \beta : R \rightarrow R$ are two mappings such that $\beta(I) \subseteq I$.

If either $[xy\alpha(z), \beta(z)] = 0$ or $x[y\alpha(z), \beta(z)] = 0$ for all $x, y, z \in I$, then $I[\alpha(z), \beta(z)] = (0)$ for all $z \in I$.

MAIN RESULTS:

Theorem 1: Let R be a prime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . Suppose that $G : R \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation associated with the map g and $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative right α - centralizer. If G and T satisfy $G(xy) \pm T(x)T(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then G is multiplicative right α - centralizer on I , where α and β are automorphisms on R .

Proof: We have

$$G(xy) \pm T(x)T(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{1}$$

Replacing y by xy , we get

$$G(xxy) \pm T(x)T(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

$$G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm T(x)\alpha(x)T(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

$$[G(xy) \pm T(x)T(y)]\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Using equation (1), we get

$$\beta(xy)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

$$\beta(x)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Replacing y by ry , we get

$$\beta(x)\beta(ry)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \beta(x)\beta(r)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Replacing r by $\beta^{-1}(r)$, we get

$$\beta(x)r\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Primeness of R implies that either $\beta(x) = 0$ or $\beta(y)g(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$.

If $\beta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $\beta(I) = (0)$. Since I is nonzero left ideal of R , then we get a contradiction. So $\beta(y)g(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then $G(xy) = \alpha(x)G(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, which is the result.

Theorem 2: Let R be a prime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . Suppose that $G : R \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation associated with the map g and $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative right α - centralizer. If G and T satisfy $G(xy) \pm T(xy) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then G is multiplicative right α - centralizer on I , where α and β are automorphisms on R .

Proof: We have

$$G(xy) \pm T(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{2}$$

Replacing y by xy , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(xxy) \pm T(xxy) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \\ G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm \alpha(x)T(xy) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \\ [G(xy) \pm T(xy)]\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Using equation (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(xy)g(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \\ \beta(x)\beta(y)g(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Replacing y by ry , we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(x)\beta(ry)g(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \\ \beta(x)\beta(r)\beta(y)g(x) &= 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Replacing r by $\beta^{-1}(r)$, we get

$$\beta(x)r\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I, r \in R.$$

Primeness of R implies that either $\beta(x) = 0$ or $\beta(y)g(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$.

If $\beta(x) = 0$ for all $x \in I$, then $\beta(I) = (0)$. Since I is nonzero left ideal of R , then we get a contradiction. So $\beta(y)g(x) = 0$, for all $x, y \in I$, then $G(xy) = \alpha(x)G(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, which is the result.

Theorem 3: Let R be a prime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . Suppose that $G : R \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation associated with the map g and $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative right α - centralizer. If G and T satisfy $G(xy) \pm T(xy) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[g(x), \alpha(x)] = (0)$, where α and β are automorphisms on R with $\beta(I) \subseteq I$.

Proof: We have

$$G(xy) \pm T(xy) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \quad (6)$$

Replacing y by xy , we get

$$\begin{aligned} G(xxy) \pm T(xxy) &\in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \\ G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm \alpha(x)T(xy) &\in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I, \end{aligned}$$

Which implies that

$$[G(xy) \pm T(xy)]\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Now, from equation (6), we get

$$[\beta(x)\beta(y)g(x), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$\beta(I) \subseteq I$ implies that

$$[xyg(x), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

An application of Lemma infer that

$$I[g(x), \alpha(x)] = (0) \text{ for all } x \in I,$$

which is the required result.

Theorem 4: Let R be a prime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . Suppose that $G : R \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative (generalized) - (α, β) - reverse derivation associated with the map g and $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative right α - centralizer. If G and T satisfy $G(xy) \pm G(x)T(y) \in Z(R)$ for all $x, y \in I$, then $I[g(x), \alpha(x)] = (0)$, where α and β are automorphisms on R with $\beta(I) \subseteq I$.

Proof: We have

$$G(xy) \pm G(x)T(y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{7}$$

Replacing y by xy , we get

$$G(xxy) \pm G(x)T(xy) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$$G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm G(x)\alpha(x)T(y) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

Which implies that

$$[G(xy) \pm G(x)T(y)]\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \in Z(R) \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Now, from equation (7), we get

$$[\beta(x)\beta(y)g(x), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$\beta(I) \subseteq I$ implies that

$$[xyg(x), \alpha(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

An application of Lemma yields that

$$I[g(x), \alpha(x)] = (0) \text{ for all } x \in I,$$

which is the result.

Theorem 5: Let R be a prime ring, I be a nonzero left ideal of R . Suppose that $G : R \rightarrow R$ is multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) - reverse derivation associated with the map g and $T : R \rightarrow R$ is a multiplicative right α - centralizer. If G and T satisfy $G(xy) \pm T(x)G(y) = 0$ for all $x, y \in I$, then either $G(xy) = \pm xG(y)$ or $G(xy) = G(x)\alpha(y)$, where α and β are automorphisms on R with $\beta(I) \subseteq I$.

Proof: We have

$$G(xy) \pm T(x)G(y) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I. \tag{8}$$

Replacing y by xy , we get

$$G(xxy) \pm T(x)G(xy) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$$G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm T(x)[G(y)\alpha(x) + \beta(y)g(x)] = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

Which implies that

$$G(xy)\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm T(x)G(y)\alpha(x) \pm T(x)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I,$$

$$[G(xy) \pm T(x)G(y)]\alpha(x) + \beta(xy)g(x) \pm T(x)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Now, from equation (8), we get

$$\beta(xy)g(x) \pm T(x)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$$\beta(x)\beta(y)g(x) \pm T(x)\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$$[\beta(x) \pm T(x)]\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

$$[\beta(x) \pm T(x)]\beta(y)g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Since $\beta(I) \subseteq I$, we can reword the above expression as

$$[x \pm T(x)]y g(x) = 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in I.$$

Since R is a prime ring, we conclude that either $T(x) = \bar{\tau}x$ or $g(x) = 0$ by Brauer's trick. If $T(x) = \bar{\tau}x$, use (8) to get $G(xy) = \pm xG(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$. Next if $g(x) = 0$, then $G(xy) = G(x)\alpha(y)$ for all $x, y \in I$, which is the result.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ashraf and N. Rehman, on derivations and commutativity in prime rings, East-West J. Math. 3 (2001), 87-91.
- [2] M. N. Daif, When is a multiplicative derivation additive? Internet. J. Math. Math. Sci. 14 (1991), 615-618.
- [3] M. N. Daif and M. S. Tammam El-Sayiad, Multiplicative generalized derivations which are additive, East-West J. Math. 9 (2007), 31-37.
- [4] V. D. Flippis, N. Rehman and A. Z. Ansari, Generalized Derivations on power values of Lie ideals in prime and semiprime rings, Internet. J. Math. Math. Sci. 4 (2014), 1-8.
- [5] H. Goldman and P. Semrl, Multiplicative derivations on $C(X)$, Montash. Math. 121(1996), 189-197.
- [6] W. S. Martindale, When are multiplicative mappings additive? Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 21 (1969), 695-698.
- [7] N. Muthana, and Z. Alkhamisi, On centrally-extended multiplicative (generalized)- (α, β) -derivations in semiprime rings, Hacet. J. Math. Stat. 49 (2020), 578-585.
- [8] E. C. Posner, Derivations in prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [9] N. Rehman, R. M. Al-Omary and N. H. Muthana, A note on multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) -derivations in prime rings, Annales Math. Silesianae 33 (2019), 266-275.

- [10] G. Scudo and A. Z. Asari, Generalized derivations on Lie ideals and power values on prime rings, *Math. Slovaca* 65 (2015), 975-980.
- [11] Faiza Shujat, Multiplicative (generalized) (α, β) – derivations on left ideals in prime rings, *J. Appl. & Pure Math.* Vol. 4 (2022), No. 1 – 2, pp. 1 – 7.

