

## Behaviour of Kuratowski Operators on Some New Sets in Topology

Dr. G. Hari Siva Annam<sup>1</sup> and N.Raksha Ben<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics Kamaraj College, Tuticorin, India.

<sup>2</sup> Research Scholar, Manonmaniam Sundaranar University, Tirunelveli, India.

### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to introduce  $q_k$  – sets using Kuratowski’s operator and to study its basic properties. In Topology, the Kuratowski’s operator plays a pivotal role to define a topological structures on a set. As the closure and interior operator plays a major role in rough set theory, here we introduce  $q_k$ -closure and  $q_k$ -interior some of its properties are discussed.

AMS Subject Classification(2010) : 54A05

**Keywords:**  $q_k$  – sets,  $q_k$ - closure , $q_k$ - interior

### 1. Introduction

In Topology, The Kuratowski’s operator has a great role to define a topological structure on a set. Chandrasekhara Rao and P. Thangavelu introduce  $q$ -set and studied its basic properties. As an application of Kuratowski operator on  $q$  set, we introduce  $q_k$  sets, the basic properties of the  $q_k$  sets, interior and closure operations are introduced . We introduce  $q_k$  interior,  $q_k$ closure and its properties are investigated. The concepts of  $q$  sets are studied in [9]. Throughout this paper  $X$  is a topological space and  $A, B$  are the subsets of  $X$ . The  $cl A$  and  $Int A$  are the notations which represents closure of  $A$  and Interior of  $A$  respectively . The following concepts bring backs the basics which are used in this paper to our memory. A subset of a topological spaces  $X$  is clopen if it is both open and closed. Let  $A$  be the subset of  $X$  then  $cl^*A$  is the intersection of all  $g$ -closed set containing  $A$  and then  $int^*A$  is the union of all  $g$ -open set contained in  $A$ .

## 2. $q_k$ - Sets and Its Basic Properties.

### Definition 2.1:

A set  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $q_k$ - set if  $cl^*(int A) \supseteq int^*(cl A)$ .

### Definition 2.2 :

A set  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$  is said to be  $p_k$ - set if  $cl^*(int A) \subseteq int^*(cl A)$ .

### Result 2.3 :

If  $A$  is  $g$ - clopen then  $A$  is a  $p_k$ - set.

### Result 2.4 :

If  $A$  is clopen then  $A$  is both  $q_k$  and  $p_k$

### Result 2.5 :

Union of  $q_k$ - sets need not be  $q_k$ . The result is described with the help of the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$  be a topology on  $X$ . Here  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b, c\}$  are  $q_k$ - sets but their union  $\{a\} \cup \{b, c\} = \{a, b, c\}$  which is not a  $q_k$ -set.

### Result 2.6:

Intersection of  $q_k$ - sets need not be  $q_k$ -set. The result is explained in the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X\}$  be a topology on  $X$ . Here  $\{a, b, c, e\}$  and  $\{a, b, d\}$  are two  $q_k$ - sets but their intersection  $\{a, b, c, e\} \cap \{a, b, d\} = \{a, b\}$  which is not a  $q_k$ - set.

### Result 2.7:

Union of  $p_k$ - sets need not be  $p_k$ -set. The result is discussed using the example shown below. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  and  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X\}$  be the topology on  $X$ . Here  $\{a\}$  and  $\{b\}$  are  $p_k$ - set but their union  $\{a\} \cup \{b\} = \{a, b\}$  which is not a  $p_k$ - set.

### Result 2.8:

Intersection of  $p_k$ - sets need not be  $p_k$  set. The result is explained by the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X\}$  be the topology on  $X$ . Here  $\{a, c\}$  and  $\{a, b\}$  are  $p_k$  sets but their intersection is  $\{a\}$  which is not a  $p_k$  set.

### Theorem 2.9 :

Every  $q_k$ - sets is a  $q$  set.

**Proof :** Let  $A$  be a  $q_k$ -set . Clearly, we say that  $cl (int A) \supseteq cl^*(int A) \supseteq int^*(cl A) \supseteq int (cl A)$  . Since  $A$  is a  $q$ -set.

**Remark 2.10:**

The converse of the above theorem is not true that is “Every  $q$ -set need not be  $q_k$ -set . It is shown in the following example. Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{ \varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, X \}$  be the topology on  $X$ . Here  $\{a, c\}$  and  $\{a, d\}$  are  $q$ -sets but they are not  $q_k$ -sets .

**Theorem 2.11 :**

$A$  is a  $q_k$ -set if and only if  $X-A$  is a  $q_k$ -set.

Proof : Suppose  $A$  is a  $q_k$ -set .

Then ,  $cl^*(int X - A) \subseteq X - int^*(cl A)$

$$\supseteq X - cl^*(int A)$$

$$= int^*cl (X - A)$$

$\Rightarrow X - A$  is a  $q_k$ -set .

Conversely, We assume that  $X - A$  is a  $q_k$ -set

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow cl^*(int A) &= cl^*(int (X - (X - A))) &= X - int^*cl(X - A) \\ & &\supseteq X - cl^*int (X - A) \\ & &= int^*cl (X - (X - A)) \\ & &= int^*cl A \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A$  is a  $q_k$ -set .

**Theorem 2.12:**

Every  $p$  set is a  $p_k$ -set .

**Proof :**

Suppose  $A$  be a  $p$ -set.

$$\Rightarrow cl (int A) \subseteq int (clA)$$

Now,  $cl^*(int A) \subseteq cl (int A) \subseteq int(cl A) \subseteq int^*(cl A)$

$$\Rightarrow cl^*(int A) \subseteq int^*(cl A)$$

Hence,  $A$  is a  $p_k$ -set.

**Remark 2.13:**

The Converse of the above theorem is not true .It is shown in the following example:

If  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{ \varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X \}$  be a topology on  $X$ . Here , every subset of  $X$  i.e.  $P(X)$  is a  $p_k$ - set which are behaving like  $q$  sets. Hence, none of them are  $p$  sets.

**Result 2.14:**

The proper sets of  $X$  is neither  $p_k$  sets nor  $q_k$  sets. It is shown in the following example:

Let  $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $\tau = \{ \varphi, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{a, b\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}, X \}$  be a topology on  $X$  .

Let  $A = \{ a \}$  and  $B = \{b\}$  which are neither  $p_k$  set nor  $q_k$  set. Since,  $cl^*(int A) = \{a,d\}$  and  $int^*(cl A) = \{a,c\}$ . Similarly,  $cl^*(int B) = \{b,d\}$  and  $int^*(cl B) = \{b,c\}$  from this we conclude that the proper sets of  $X$  neither  $p_k$  sets nor  $q_k$  sets.

**Lemma 2. 15 :**

If  $A$  is a subset of the topological space  $X$  then  $\alpha^{S^*} cl \alpha^{S^*} int A = cl int A$  Where  $\alpha^{S^*}$  interior of  $A$  is denoted by  $\alpha^{S^*} int A$  and  $\alpha^{S^*}$  closure of  $A$  is denoted by  $\alpha^{S^*} cl A$  respectively.

**Proof :** Suppose  $x \in cl int A \Rightarrow x \in \cap F$ ,  $F$  is closed set such that  $F \subseteq int A \subseteq \alpha^{S^*} int A$ . Since every closed set is  $\alpha^{S^*}$  closed ,  $x$  belongs to intersection of all  $\alpha^{S^*}$  closed set  $F$  such that all  $\alpha^{S^*}$  closed set  $F$  contained in  $\alpha^{S^*} int A$  which implies us that  $x \in \alpha^{S^*} cl \alpha^{S^*} int A$  Hence ,  $cl int A \subseteq \alpha^{S^*} cl \alpha^{S^*} int A$  . Now , suppose  $x \notin cl int A \Rightarrow x \notin \cap F$ ,  $F$  is the closed set such that  $F \subseteq int A \Rightarrow x \notin F$ , for some  $F$  is  $\alpha^{S^*}$ -closed such that  $F \subseteq int A \subseteq \alpha^{S^*} int A$  . This implies that  $x \notin \alpha^{S^*} cl \alpha^{S^*} int A$  . Hence ,  $\alpha^{S^*} cl \alpha^{S^*} int A = cl int A$ .

**Theorem 2.16:**

If  $A$  is a  $p_k$  – set if and only if  $X - A$  is a  $p_k$  – set.

**Proof :**

Suppose  $A$  is a  $p_k$  – set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then , } cl^*(int X - A) &= X - int^*(cl A) \\ &\subseteq X - cl^*(int A) \\ &= int^* cl (X - A) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow X - A$  is a  $p_k$  – set .

Conversely, We assume that  $X - A$  is a  $p_k$ -set

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow cl^*(int A) &= cl^*(int (X - (X - A))) = X - int^* cl(X - A) \subseteq X - \\ cl^*int (X - A) \\ &= int^* cl (X - (X - A)) \\ &= int^* cl A \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $A$  is a  $p_k$ -set .

**Proposition 2.17 :**

If  $A$  is clopen ,  $A$  and  $B$  is a  $p$ -set then  $A \cap B$  is also a  $p_k$ -set.

**3.  $q_k$ - Interior and  $q_k$ - Closure:**

**Definition 3.1:**

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ . The  $q_k$ - Interior of  $A$  is denoted by  $q_k$ -int and is defined as the union of all  $q_k$ -sets contained in  $A$  .

Let  $A$  be a subset of a topological space  $X$ . The  $q_k$ - Closure of  $A$  is denoted by  $q_k$ -cl and is defined as the intersection of all  $q_k$ -sets containing  $A$  .

As the collection of  $q_k$ -sets is not closed under union and intersection it follows that  $q_k$ -int  $A$  need not be a  $q_k$ -set and also  $q_k$ -cl  $A$  need not be a  $q_k$ -set. But ,  $q_k$ -int  $A \subseteq A \subseteq q_k$ -cl  $A$  is always true for any subset  $A$  of a topological space.

**Proposition 3.2:**

- (i)  $q_k$ -int  $\varphi = \varphi$ ;  $q_k$ -cl  $\varphi = \varphi$  .
- (ii)  $q_k$ -int  $X = X$  ;  $q_k$ -cl  $X = X$  .
- (iii)  $q_k$ -int  $A \subseteq A \subseteq q_k$ -cl  $A$
- (iv)  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow q_k$ -int  $A \subseteq q_k$ -int  $B$  &  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow q_k$ -cl  $A \subseteq q_k$ -cl  $B$
- (v)  $q_k$ -int  $(A \cap B) \subseteq q_k$ -int  $A \cap q_k$ -int  $B$
- (vi)  $q_k$ -cl  $(A \cap B) \subseteq q_k$ -cl  $A \cap q_k$ -cl  $B$
- (vii)  $q_k$ -cl  $(A \cup B) \supseteq q_k$ -cl  $A \cup q_k$ -cl  $B$
- (viii)  $q_k$ -int  $(A \cup B) \supseteq q_k$ -int  $A \cup q_k$ -int  $B$
- (ix)  $q_k$ -int  $(q_k$ -int  $A) \subseteq q_k$ -int  $A$
- (x)  $q_k$ -cl  $(q_k$ -cl  $A) \subseteq q_k$ -int  $A$
- (xi)  $q_k$ -int  $(q_k$ -cl  $A) \supseteq q_k$ -int  $A$
- (xii)  $q_k$ -cl  $(q_k$ -int  $A) \subseteq q_k$ -cl  $A$

Proof:

The proof of (i) and (ii) are obvious. In order to prove (iii) we assume  $x \notin A$  which implies that  $x$  does not belong to any  $q_k$ -sets contained in  $A$ . Hence,  $x \notin q_k\text{-int } A$  which gives us  $q_k\text{-int } A \subseteq A$  and suppose,  $x \notin q_k\text{-cl } A$  then  $x$  does not belong to any  $q_k$ -sets containing  $A$ . Hence we get that  $A \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } A$ . Using the above two inclusions we get that  $q_k\text{-int } A \subseteq A \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } A$ . (iv) The result is obvious. (v) we have  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$  using these results we get  $q_k\text{-int } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-int } A$  and  $q_k\text{-int } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-int } B$  which implies us that

$q_k\text{-int } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-int } A \cap q_k\text{-int } B$  (vi) Also since we have  $A \cap B \subseteq A$  and  $A \cap B \subseteq B$  using these results we get  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } A$  and  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } B$  which implies us that  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cap B) \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } A \cap q_k\text{-cl } B$ . (vii) We know that  $A \cup B \supseteq A$  and  $A \cup B \supseteq B$  it follows that  $q_k\text{-int } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-int } A$  and  $q_k\text{-int } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-int } B$  which implies that  $q_k\text{-int } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-int } A \cup q_k\text{-int } B$ . (viii) We know that  $A \cup B \supseteq A$  and  $A \cup B \supseteq B$  it follows that  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-cl } A$  and  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-cl } B$  which implies that  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B$ . Since we have  $q_k\text{-int } A \subseteq A$   $A \subseteq q_k\text{-cl } A$  with the help of these inclusions we can easily establish the proof of (ix)(x) (xi) and (xii). Hence, the proof of the proposition.

**Remark :**

However, the reverse inclusions of (v)(vi)(vii)(viii)(ix)(x)(xi) and (xii) of proposition 3.2 are not true in general.

The following results can be established easily.

The inclusions may be strict in some cases they are explained with the help of the following example.

Lets us see *for the following inclusion*  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow q_k\text{-int } A \subseteq q_k\text{-int } B$

Let  $X$  be the topological spaces. Let  $\tau = \{ \varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X \}$ . Suppose  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{a, b\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int } A = \varphi$  and  $q_k\text{-int } B = \{a\}$  it follows as  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow q_k\text{-int } A \subset q_k\text{-int } B$ . If suppose let  $A = \{b\}$  and  $B = \{b, d\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int } A = \varphi$  and  $q_k\text{-int } B = \varphi$  which gives us  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow q_k\text{-int } A = q_k\text{-int } B$

Next we check for the inclusion  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B$  For that Let  $X$  be the topological spaces. Let  $\tau = \{ \varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X \}$ . Suppose  $A = \{a, b\}$  and  $B = \{c, d\}$  then  $A \cup B = \{a, b, c, d\}$  here  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$  which follows that  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B$ . Also if  $A = \{a\}$  and  $B = \{a, b\}$ ,  $A \cup B = \{a, b\}$   $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) = \{a, b, e\}$   $q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B = \{a, b, e\}$  which implies us that  $q_k\text{-cl } (A \cup B) = q_k\text{-cl } A \cup q_k\text{-cl } B$ .

Next we go for the explanation of strict inclusions of  $q_k\text{-int}(A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-int} A \cup q_k\text{-int} B$ .

For this we consider Let  $X$  be the topological spaces. Let  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X\}$

Here, Suppose  $A = \{a, d\}$  and  $B = \{a, b, c\}$   $A \cup B = \{a, b, c, d\}$   $q_k\text{-int}(A \cup B) = \{a, b, c, d\}$   $q_k\text{-int} A \cup q_k\text{-int} B = \{a, b\}$  which implies that  $q_k\text{-int}(A \cup B) \supseteq q_k\text{-int} A \cup q_k\text{-int} B$

Also if suppose  $A = \{c, d, e\}$   $B = \{b, c, d, e\}$   $A \cup B = \{b, c, d, e\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int}(A \cup B) = \{c, d, e\}$  and  $q_k\text{-int} A \cup q_k\text{-int} B = \{c, d, e\}$  hence we get that  $q_k\text{-int}(A \cup B) = q_k\text{-int} A \cup q_k\text{-int} B$

Next we see the examples for the strict inclusion of  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-int} A) \subseteq q_k\text{-int} A$ . Let  $X$  be the topological spaces. Let  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X\}$  be the topology on  $X$ . Here, Suppose  $A = \{a, b\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int} A = \{a, b\}$  and  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-int} A) = \{a, b\}$  which implies us  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-int} A) = q_k\text{-int} A$ . Also if suppose  $A = \{b, d, e\}$   $q_k\text{-int} A = \{e\}$  and  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-int} A) = \varphi$  which follows that  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-int} A) \subset q_k\text{-int} A$ .

Next we see the examples of strict inclusions for  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A) \supseteq q_k\text{-int} A$ . Let  $X$  be the topological spaces. Let  $\tau = \{\varphi, \{a, b\}, \{c, d\}, \{a, b, c, d\}, X\}$  be the topology on  $X$ . Suppose  $A = \{a, c\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A) = \{a, b, c, d\}$  and  $q_k\text{-int} A = \varphi$  which implies us that  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A) \supseteq q_k\text{-int} A$ . Also if suppose  $A = \{e\}$  then  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A) = \{e\}$  and  $q_k\text{-int} A = \{e\}$  which follows that  $q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A) = q_k\text{-int} A$ .

**Proposition 3.3:**

If  $A$  is a  $q_k\text{-}$  set then  $q_k\text{-int} A = A = q_k\text{-cl} A$ .

**Proposition 3.4:**

If  $A$  is a  $q_k\text{-}$  set then  $q_k\text{-cl}(q_k\text{-int} A) = A = q_k\text{-int}(q_k\text{-cl} A)$ .

**Proposition 3.5 :**

If  $A$  is  $\text{pre}^*$ -open and  $A$  is  $q_k\text{-}$  set then  $A$  is  $\text{semi}^*$ -open.

**Proof :**

Suppose  $A$  is  $\text{pre}^*$ -open and  $A$  is  $q_k\text{-}$  set which implies that  $A \subseteq \text{int}^* \text{cl} A$  and if  $\text{cl}^*(\text{int} A) \supseteq \text{int}^*(\text{cl} A)$  it follows that  $A \subseteq \text{cl}^* \text{int} A \Rightarrow A$  is  $\text{semi}^*$ -open.

**Proposition 3.6 :**

If  $A$  is  $\text{semi}^*$ -open and  $A$  is  $p_k\text{-}$  set then  $A$  is  $\text{pre}^*$ -open.

**Result 3.7 :**

Every  $\alpha^{S^*}$ -open is  $\text{pre}^*$ -open

**Proposition 3.7 :**

If  $A$  is  $\alpha^{S^*}$ -open and  $A$  is  $q_k$ -set then  $A$  is semi\*-open .

**Proof :** The proof of the proposition follows from the above result and proposition.

**Proposition 3.8:**

For any subset  $Y \subseteq X$  ,  $q_k\text{-int } Y = X - q_k\text{-cl } (X - Y)$ .

**Proposition 3.9:**

Suppose  $A \subseteq B$  with  $cl A = cl B$ . If  $A$  is a  $q_k$ -set then  $B$  is a  $q_k$ -set .

**Proof:**

Suppose  $A \subseteq B$  and  $A$  is a  $q_k$ -set which implies us that  $int^*cl B = int^*cl A \subseteq cl^*int A \subseteq cl^*int B$  . Hence  $B$  is a  $q_k$ -set .

**Proposition 3.10:**

Suppose  $A \subseteq B$  with  $cl A = cl B$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $p_k$ -set then  $A$  is a  $p_k$ -set .

**4. Algorithm of  $p_k$  &  $q_k$ -sets**

The objectives of the algorithms are to generate  $p_k$  or  $q_k$ -sets if any one of the sets is clopen

**Theorem 4.1:**

In a topological space,  $(X, \tau)$ , where  $\tau = \{ \varphi, U, V, U', U' \cup V, X \}$  where  $U'$  is a subset of  $U$  and if  $A$  is clopen Then  $A$  is both  $p_k$  &  $q_k$ -sets .

**Proof:**

**Case (i)** If  $A$  is clopen then  $A$  is both  $p_k$  &  $q_k$ -sets.

**Case (ii)****Sub case (i)**

If  $A$  is exact open then  $A = U'$  or  $U' \cup V$  .

**Sub case (ii)**

If  $A = U'$  then  $int A = U'$  which implies us that  $U = cl(U')$  . Hence ,  $U'$  is not  $g$ -closed . Thus we get  $cl(U') = cl^*(U') = U$  Hence,  $cl^*(int A) = cl^*(U') = U$ . Also ,  $int^*(cl A) = int^*(U) = U$ .



**Case (iii)**

If  $A = U' \cup V$ ,  $cl(A) = X$ ,  $int(A) = A$  which implies  $cl^*(A) = X$ ,  $int^*(A) = A$ . Hence  $A$  is both  $p_k$  &  $q_k$  - sets. Thus we get  $cl^*int(A) = X$  and  $int^*cl(A) = X$ .

**Case (iv)**

If  $A$  is exactly closed, then  $A = X - U'$  is closed. Hence,  $U'$  is open which implies  $U'$  is both  $p_k$  &  $q_k$  - sets. Thus, we get  $X - U' = A$  is both  $p_k$  &  $q_k$  - sets.

**Case (v)**

If  $A$  is neither open nor closed

**Sub case (i) :**

Suppose  $cl(A)$  is closed and  $int(A) = \phi$  is open, Hence  $int^*cl(A) = int^*(A)$  which implies that  $cl^*int(A) = cl^*(intA) = \phi$ . Thus we get  $cl^*int(A) \subseteq int^*(clA)$  Hence, The set is a  $p_k$  - set.

**Sub case (ii) :**

Suppose  $cl(A) = X$  and  $int(A) = \phi$  is open. Then,  $cl^*int(A) = cl^*(A)$  and  $int^*cl(A) = X$ . Thus, we get  $cl^*int(A) \subseteq int^*(clA)$  Hence, The set is a  $p_k$  - set.

**Theorem 4.2**

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological space and  $\tau = \{\phi, U, V, U', U' \cup V, X\}$  where  $U$  and  $V$  are clopen and  $U' \subseteq U$  then the collection of  $q_k$ - set is equal to  $\tau$  and the collection of  $p_k$ - set is  $2^X$ .

Proof of the this theorem is similar to algorithm 4.1

**Theorem 4.3:**

In a Topological space  $(X, \tau)$  where  $\tau = \{\phi, X, U, V\}$  where  $U \cap V = \phi$  and  $U \cup V = X$  then the member of  $2^X$  are  $p_k$ -set.

**Proof:**

Let  $A$  be any subset of  $X$

Case (i): If  $A = U$  or  $V$

Then  $A$  is clopen. hence  $A$  is  $p_k$ -set.

Case(ii) : If  $A \neq U$  and  $V$

Then  $A$  is not clopen. Then  $cl(A)$  and  $int(A)$  are clopen. Hence  $int^*cl(A) = cl(A)$  and  $cl^*(int(A)) = int(A)$ . Since  $int(A) \subseteq cl(A)$ ,  $cl^*(int(A)) \subseteq int^*cl(A)$ . Hence  $A$  is  $p_k$ -set.

**Proposition 4.4 :**

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological spaces and any one of the given set is clopen then the collection of  $q_k$  – sets are of the form  $\{ A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is either open or closed or clopen} \}$ .

**Proposition 4.5 :**

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological spaces and any one of the given set is clopen then the collection of  $p_k$  – sets are of the form  $\{ A \subseteq X \mid A \text{ is either open nor closed and } cl(A) = X \text{ or } int A = \varnothing \text{ or } A \text{ and } cl(A) \text{ are open or } A \text{ and } int(A) \text{ are closed} \}$

**Remark 4.6 :**

If  $(X, \tau)$  is a topological spaces and any one of the given set is clopen and  $A$  is neither open nor closed and  $cl(A) \neq X$  and  $int A \neq \varnothing$ . Then  $A$  is neither  $p_k$ -set nor  $q_k$ - set .

**5. Topology Generated by  $p_k$  &  $q_k$  –sets****Proposition 5.1 :**

If  $A$  is clopen and  $B$  is  $q_k$ - set then  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cup B$  are  $q_k$ - set

**Proposition 5.2:**

If  $A$  is clopen and  $B$  is  $p_k$ - set then  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cup B$  are  $p_k$ - set

**Proposition 5.3:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space Suppose  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $q_k$ - set then  $N = \{\varnothing, A \cap B, A, A - (A \cap B), X\}$  is a topology and every member of  $N$  is a  $q_k$ - set .

Proof:

$N = \{\varnothing, A \cap B, A, A - (A \cap B), X\}$  is clearly a topology on  $X$  .

Clearly,  $\varnothing, A, X$  are all  $q_k$ - sets. Since  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $q_k$ - set, By using the algorithm  $A \cap B$  is a  $q_k$ - set. Since the complement of  $q_k$ - set is again a  $q_k$ - set,  $X - (A \cap B)$  is a  $q_k$ - set. This proves that  $A - (A \cap B) = A \cap (X - (A \cap B))$  is a  $q_k$ - set. Hence every member of  $N$  is a  $q_k$ - set.

**Proposition 5.4:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space Suppose  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $q_k$ - set then  $N = \{\varnothing, A, B, A \cap B, A \cup B, X\}$  is a topology and every member of  $N$  is a  $q_k$ - set .

Proof:

$N = \{\varnothing, A \cap B, A, A - (A \cap B), X\}$  is clearly a topology on  $X$  .

Clearly,  $\varphi, A, X$  are all  $q_k$ - sets. Since  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $q_k$ - set, by using algorithm we get,  $A \cap B$  and  $A \cup B$  are  $q_k$ - sets. Hence every member of  $N$  is a  $q_k$ - set.

**Proposition 5.5:**

Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space Suppose  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $p$ - set then  $N = \{\varphi, A \cap B, A, A - (A \cap B), X\}$  is a topology and every member of  $N$  is a  $p_k$ - set .

Proof:

$N = \{\varphi, A \cap B, A, A - (A \cap B), X\}$  is clearly a topology on  $X$ .

Clearly,  $\varphi, A, X$  are all  $p_k$ - sets. Since  $A$  is Clopen and  $B$  is a  $p_k$ - set, by using the algorithms we get,  $A \cap B$  is a  $p_k$ - set. Since the complement of  $p_k$ - set is again a  $p_k$ - set,  $X - (A \cap B)$  is a  $p_k$ - set. This proves that  $A - (A \cap B) = A \cap (X - (A \cap B))$  is a  $p_k$ - set. Hence every member of  $N$  is a  $p_k$ - set.

**Conclusion**

Thus in this paper we have introduced  $p_k$ - sets and  $q_k$ - sets . Also some of their properties were discussed . Henceforth, we are discussing about some more characteristics of  $p_k$ - sets and  $q_k$ - sets in the further papers the results will be established.

**References:**

- [1] Andrijevic D, Semi pre-open sets ,*Mat.Vesnik* 38(1986) 24-32.
- [2] Andrijevic D, Some properties of the topology of  $\alpha$ -sets,*Math.Vesnik*,36(1984),1-10.
- [3] Hari Siva Annam G . Punitha Tharani A , On Some new class of nearly closed and open sets,*International Journal Of Mathematics Archeive* , EISSN 2229-5046 4(11), 2015
- [4] Levine N, Semi-open sets and semi continuity in topological spaces, *Amer.Math.Monthly*,70(1963), 36-41.
- [5] Levine,N., Generalized sets Closed in Topology, *Rend.Circ.Mat.Palermo*.19(2)(1970),89-96
- [6] Mashhour A.S, Abd El-Monsef M.E and Hasanein.I.A, On Pre topological spaces, *Bull.Math.Soc.Sci.Math.*,28(76)(1984),39-45.
- [7] Njastad O, Some classes of nearly open sets, *Pacific.J.Math*.15(1965),961-970.
- [8] Stone M.H, Application of Boolean rings to the general topology, *Trans.A.M.S*.41(1937),375-481

- [9] Thangavelu P, Rao K.C, q-sets in topological spaces, *Prog.of Maths*.36(nos.1&2)(2002),159-165.