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#### Abstract

This article discusses a three-step iterative method in which no derivative is required for solving nonlinear equations. The method analitically shows that it has order eight and requires four evaluation functions for each iteration. The proposed method is optimal in the sense of Kung and Traub's conjecture and has the efficiency index 1.682 . Numerical experiments show that the new method is comparable with other discussed methods.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Numerical method has an important role for solving several mathematical problems. One of the most basic problems in mathematics is finding the root of nonlinear equation of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=0 . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Newton's method is a famous method in solving equation (1). The method needs to compute a function and its derivative for each iteration and it has quadratically convergence [19]. If the derivative of $f$ in Newton's method is estimated by a forward difference, then the Newton's method becomes Steffensen's method [17] having the same order of convergence and the number of function evaluations as the Newton's method. Based on Kung and Traub's conjecture [9] Newton's and Steffensen's methods are optimal iterative method because both have quadratically convergence and require two evaluation functions for each iteration.

In the recent years, a large number of iterative methods have been modified to obtain a derivative-free method with higher order convergence and optimal, for example Soleymani et al. [16] and Solaimani et al. [15].

In this article, a new iterative method is established based on the optimal eight-order iterative method by Sharma and Arora [11] as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
y_{n} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}, \\
z_{n} & =y_{n}-\frac{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left[y_{n}, x_{n}\right]^{2}},  \tag{2}\\
x_{n+1} & =z_{n}-\frac{f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]}{f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{2 f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]-f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]},
\end{array}\right\}
$$

by approximating the first derivative in (2) using divided difference of order one with one parameter. The discussion begin in section 2 by constructing the method and it is followed by the convergence analysis of the method. In section 3, the computational tests of the proposed method is performed to see the effective of the proposed method by comparing with some other optimal eighth-order derivative free iterative methods.

## 2. AN OPTIMAL THREE-STEP ITERATIVE METHOD FREE FROM DERIVATIVE

If the first derivative of $f$ in the first and second steps of (2) are approximated using divided difference with one-parameter $\beta$, that is

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right) \approx f\left[w_{n}, x_{n}\right]
$$

where $w_{n}=x_{n}+\beta f\left(x_{n}\right)^{3}$ and $\beta \neq 0$ [1], then the following new iteration method is obtained

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
w_{n}=x_{n}+\beta f\left(x_{n}\right)^{3}, \\
y_{n}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f\left[w_{n}, x_{n}\right]},  \tag{4}\\
z_{n}=y_{n}-\frac{f\left[w_{n}, x_{n}\right] f\left(y_{n}\right)}{f\left[y_{n}, x_{n}\right]^{2}}, \\
x_{n+1}=z_{n}-\frac{f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]}{f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]} \frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{2 f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]-f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

In the following, the analysis of convergence of the proposed method (4) is performed as stated in Theorem 1.

Theorem 1 Let $f: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a sufficiently differentiable function for the open interval $I$ and $\alpha \in I$ be a simple root of $f(x)=0$. If $x_{0}$ is sufficiently close to $\alpha$ then
the method defined by (4) has an eight-order convergence, and satisfies the following error equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=-A_{2}\left(f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta A_{3}+A_{2} A_{4} A_{3}+2 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}^{2}-A_{3}^{3}-2 A_{2}^{3} A_{4}-2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{4} \beta\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{j}=\frac{f^{(j)}(\alpha)}{j!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}, j=2,3, \ldots, 8$ and $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a simple root of $f(x)=0$, then $f(\alpha)=0$ and $f^{\prime}(\alpha) \neq 0$. Using Taylor's expansion [4] of $f(x)$ about $x=\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x)=f(\alpha) & +f^{\prime}(\alpha)(x-\alpha)+f^{(2)}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{2}}{2!}+f^{(3)}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{3}}{3!} \\
& +f^{4}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{4}}{4!}+f^{5}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{5}}{5!}+f^{6)}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{6}}{6!} \\
& +f^{(7)}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{7}}{7!}+f^{8)}(\alpha) \frac{(x-\alpha)^{8}}{8!}+O\left((x-\alpha)^{9}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f(\alpha)=0$, then by evaluating (6) in $x=x_{n}$ and considering $e_{n}=x_{n}-\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(e_{n}+A_{2} e_{n}^{2}+A_{3} e_{n}^{3}+A_{4} e_{n}^{4}+A_{5} e_{n}^{5}+A_{6} e_{n}^{6}+A_{7} e_{n}^{7}+A_{8} e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right), \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
A_{j}=\frac{f^{(j)}(\alpha)}{j!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}, \quad j=2,3, \ldots, 8
$$

Using (7), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(x_{n}\right)^{3}=f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3}\left(e_{n}^{3}\right. & +3 A_{2} e_{n}^{4}+\left(3 A_{3}+3 A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(3 A_{4}+6 A_{2} A_{3}+A_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{6} \\
& +\left(3 A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+6 A_{2} A_{4}\right) e_{n}^{7} \\
& \left.+\left(6 A_{2} A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{4}+6 A_{3} A_{4}+3 A_{2} A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{6}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $x_{n}=e_{n}+\alpha$ and by substituting (8) into (3), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{n}=e_{n}+\alpha+\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3}\left(e_{n}^{3}\right. & +3 A_{2} e_{n}^{4}+\left(3 A_{3}+3 A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\left(3 A_{4}+6 A_{2} A_{3}+A_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{6} \\
& +\left(3 A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+6 A_{2} A_{4}\right) e_{n}^{7}+\left(6 A_{2} A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{4}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+6 A_{3} A_{4}+3 A_{2} A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{6}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

By evaluating (6) in $x=w_{n}$ in a similar way and using (9), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(w_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(e_{n}\right. & +A_{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(A_{3}+\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots+\left(9 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{6}+15 A_{2}^{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{6} \beta^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+24 A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{6} \beta^{2} A_{3}+\cdots+27 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{3} A_{4}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

From (7) and (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(w_{n}\right)-f\left(x_{n}\right)=\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} e_{n}^{3} & +5 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2} e_{n}^{4}+\left(9 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2}^{2}+6 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\cdots \\
& +\left(6 A_{4} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{7} \beta^{2}+27 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{3} A_{4}+9 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{6}+\cdots\right. \\
& \left.+24 A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{7} \beta^{2} A_{3}+9 A_{2}^{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} \beta A_{3}+27 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2} A_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{8} \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right), \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

and from (9), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{n}-x_{n}=\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{3} & +3 \beta A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{4}+\beta\left(3 A_{3}+3 A_{2}^{2}\right) f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{5}+\beta\left(3 A_{4}+6 A_{2} A_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+A_{2}^{3}\right) f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{6}+\beta\left(3 A_{5}+3 A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+6 A_{2} A_{4}\right) f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{7} \\
& +\beta\left(3 A_{2}^{2} A_{4}+3 A_{6}+6 A_{3} A_{4}+6 A_{2} A_{5}+3 A_{2} A_{3}^{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} e_{n}^{8}\right. \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying divided difference formula [10] and using (11) and (12) gives rise to

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left[w_{n}, x_{n}\right]=\frac{f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+5 A_{2} e_{n}+B e_{n}^{2}+C e_{n}^{3}+D e_{n}^{4}+E e_{n}^{5}\right)}{1+3 A_{2} e_{n}+F e_{n}^{2}+G e_{n}^{3}+H e_{n}^{4}+I e_{n}^{5}} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B=9 A_{2}^{2}+6 A_{3}, \\
& C=7 A_{2}^{3}+21 A_{2} A_{3}+\beta A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3}+7 A_{4}, \\
& D=3 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{3} \beta+6 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta+12 A_{3}^{2}+24 A_{2} A_{4}+2 A_{2}^{4}+8 A_{5}+24 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}, \\
& E=6 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta+15 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{3} \beta+24 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2} \beta A_{3}+27 A_{3} A_{4}+9 A_{6}+27 A_{2}^{2} A_{4} \\
& \quad \quad+27 A_{2} A_{5}+27 A_{2} A_{3}^{2}+9 A_{2}^{3} A_{3}, \\
& F=3 A_{3}+3 A_{2}^{2}, \\
& G=3 A_{4} 6 A_{2} A_{3}+A_{2}^{3}, \\
& H=3 A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}+6 A_{2} A_{4}, \\
& I=6 A_{2} A_{5}+3 A_{2}^{2} A_{4}+6 A_{3} A_{4}+3 A_{2} A_{3}^{2}+3 A_{6} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (13) can be simplified by using a geometric series formula [18], and we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left[w_{n}, x_{n}\right]=f^{\prime}(\alpha) & +2 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} e_{n}+3 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{3} e_{n}^{2}+\left(\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2}+4 A_{4} f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots \\
& +\left(-18 A_{4}^{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} \beta-135 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2}^{4} \beta A_{3}+18 A_{3}^{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} \beta-\cdots\right. \\
& \left.-15 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{5}^{2}+117 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{6} A_{2} A_{3}+240 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} A_{3}^{2} A_{4}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

On substituting (7), (14) and $x_{n}=e_{n}+\alpha$ in the first step of (4) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
y_{n}=\alpha & +A_{2}^{2} e_{n}^{2}+\left(2 A_{3}-2 A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots+\left(236 A_{2}^{4} A_{4}-45 A_{2}^{2} A_{4} A_{3}+170 A_{6} A_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\cdots-6 \beta^{2} A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{6} A_{3}+36 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2} \beta A_{3}^{2}+294 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{3} \beta A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

On substituting $x=y_{n}$ in (6) and using (15), after simplifying it is obtained that

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(y_{n}\right)=A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha) e_{n}^{2} & +\left(2 A_{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)-2 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots+\left(45 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{3}^{2} A_{4}\right. \\
& -12 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2} A_{5}+121 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} A_{4}^{2}-\cdots-78 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{3} A_{4} \\
& \left.-102 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} A_{3}^{3}+126 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2}^{5} \beta\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to (14), using (16), (7), (15) and $x_{n}=e_{n}+\alpha$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left[y_{n}, x_{n}\right]=f^{\prime}(\alpha) & +f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} e_{n}+\left(A_{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)+f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(-2 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2}^{3}+A_{4} f^{\prime}(\alpha)\right. \\
& \left.+3 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots+\left(6 A_{4}^{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} \beta-3 A_{2}^{4} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{7} \beta^{2}+f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{7} A_{3}\right. \\
& \left.+228 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{4} A_{2}^{4} \beta A_{3}-\cdots-f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{7} A_{2}^{2}+464 f^{\prime}(\alpha) A_{2}^{6} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using (17), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left[y_{n}, x_{n}\right]^{2}=f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{2}(1 & +2 A_{2} e_{n}+\left(3 A_{2}^{2}+2 A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(8 A_{2} A_{3}+2 A_{4}-2 A_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3} \\
& +\cdots+\left(9 A_{5}^{2}-14 A_{3}^{4}-240 A_{2}^{8}+\cdots-286 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta A_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.\left.+302 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{4} \beta A_{3}-24 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta A_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

On substituting (15), (16), (17) and (14) into the second step of (4), and using geometric series then we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
z_{n}=\alpha & +\left(2 A_{2}^{3}-A_{2} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(-2 A_{2} A_{4}+14 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}-10 A_{2}^{4}-f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta-2 A_{3}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5} \\
& +\cdots+\left(145 A_{2}^{7}+86 A_{3}^{2} A_{4}+2 A_{7} A_{2}+\cdots+88 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta A_{2}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-7 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2} \beta A_{5}-33 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

Similar to (7), evaluating (6) in $x=z_{n}$ and using (19), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
f\left(z_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(\left(2 A_{2}^{3}\right.\right. & \left.-A_{2} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(-2 A_{2} A_{4}-10 A_{2}^{4}-2 A_{3}^{2}+14 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}\right. \\
& \left.-\beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\cdots+\left(149 A_{2}^{7}-652 A_{2}^{5} A_{3}\right. \\
& -7 \beta f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2} A_{5}+\cdots-6 A_{2} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{6} \beta^{2} A_{3}-202 A_{2} A_{3}^{3} \\
& \left.\left.-13 A_{2}^{3} f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} \beta A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}\right)+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

Then using (20), (16), (7), (19), (15) and noting $x_{n}=e_{n}+\alpha$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]}{f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]}=1 & -A_{2} e_{n}+\left(2 A_{2}^{2}-A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{2}+\left(-A_{4}+4 A_{2} A_{3}-4 A_{2}^{3}\right) e_{n}^{3}+\cdots \\
& +\left(-171 A_{2}^{8}-281 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{3} \beta A_{4}-76 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta A_{3}^{2}-\cdots\right. \\
& \left.-272 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{4} \beta A_{3}+\frac{48 A_{3} A_{4} A_{5}}{A_{2}}-73 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{3}^{3} \beta\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) \tag{21}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{f\left(z_{n}\right)}{2 f\left[z_{n}, y_{n}\right]-f\left[z_{n}, x_{n}\right]}=\left(2 A_{2}^{3}\right. & \left.-A_{2} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{4}+\left(13 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}-f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta-8 A_{2}^{4}-2 A_{3}^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-2 A_{2} A_{4}\right) e_{n}^{5}+\cdots+\left(-149 A_{2} A_{3}^{3}+29 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{3} \beta A_{3}\right. \\
& -7 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2} \beta A_{5}-\cdots+76 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta A_{2}^{2} \\
& \left.-33 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{4} \beta A_{3}+126 A_{2} A_{5} A_{3}\right) e_{n}^{8}+O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

On substituting (19), (21) and (22) in the third step of (4), and since $e_{n+1}=x_{n+1}-\alpha$ we obtain the following error equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
e_{n+1}= & -A_{2}\left(f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{2} \beta A_{3}+A_{2} A_{4} A_{3}+2 A_{2}^{2} A_{3}^{2}-A_{3}^{3}-2 A_{2}^{3} A_{4}-2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)^{3} A_{2}^{4} \beta\right) e_{n}^{8} \\
& +O\left(e_{n}^{9}\right) . \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

From the definition of order of convergence [10] the method defined by equation (4) has an eight-order convergence and Theorem 1 proved.
Equation (4) is a derivative free iterative method that requires four evaluation functions, namely $f\left(w_{n}\right), f\left(x_{n}\right), f\left(y_{n}\right)$ and $f\left(z_{n}\right)$. This new iterative method is optimal in the sense of Kung and Traub conjecture [9] and has the efficiency index $8^{1 / 4} \approx 1.682$.

## 3. NUMERICAL EXPERIMENTS

In this section, the effectiveness of the proposed method is discussed by applying it to the given test functions. The proposed method (DFM) in (4) for $\beta=1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ is compared with the existing optimal eight-order derivative-free iterative methods, Soleymani et al. (SVPM) [16], Cordero et al. (CHMTM) [7], Soleimani et al. (SSSM) [15], Choubey and Jaiswal (CJM) [5] and Sharma and Arora (SAM) [12].

We consider the following test functions for comparing the proposed method
(i) $f_{1}(x)=e^{-x^{2}+x+2}-\cos (x+1)+x^{3}+1, \quad \alpha \in[-1,0]$,
[11],
(ii) $f_{2}(x)=x^{2}-e^{x}-3 x+2$, $\alpha \in[0,1]$,
(iii) $f_{3}(x)=3 x+\sin (x)-e^{x}$, $\alpha \in[0,2]$,
(iv) $f_{4}(x)=x^{3}+4 x^{2}-15$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \in[1,2], \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

(v) $f_{5}(x)=x e^{-x}-0.1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \in[0,5], \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

To perform computational tests we use $\epsilon=1.0 \times 10^{-200}$, and we stop the iteration if $\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|<\epsilon$ and the maximum iteration is reaching 100 . The computational order of convergence (COC) [8] is approximated using the formula

$$
\mathrm{COC} \approx \frac{\log \left|f\left(x_{n}\right) / f\left(x_{n-1}\right)\right|}{\log \left|f\left(x_{n-1}\right) / f\left(x_{n-2}\right)\right|} .
$$

Table 1 shows the comparison of computational results of the six methods where $f_{i}$ denote the functions of the nonlinear equations, $n$ is the number iteration, COC is computational order of convergence and $\left|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right|$ is the absolute value of the function.

Based on numerical comparison in Table 1, all of the discussed methods successfully found the approximate root for the given test functions and there is no significant difference among the discussed methods in terms of number of iteration needed to obtain an approximate root. On computational test for function $f_{1}$, DFM take less number of iterations than those of the existing optimal eight-order derivative-free iterative methods. For the function $f_{4}$, DFM requires the same number iteration with CJM and take less number of iterations than those of SVPM, CHMTM, SSSM and SAM. As for functions $f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{5}$, DFM requires the same number of iterations with the other existing optimal eight-order derivative-free iterative methods and have accuracy higher than the existing methods. It can be observed that the computational order of convergence (COC) is in accordance with the theoritical order of convergence.

It can be seen from Table 1 that the proposed method shows better performance in terms of number of iterations as compared with the existing optimal derivative-free eight-order methods, especially for the functions $f_{1}$ and $f_{4}$. However, the proposed method has equal performance as compared with the existing optimal derivative-free eight-order methods as can be seen in functions $f_{2}, f_{3}$ and $f_{5}$. Therefore the proposed method can be said to be competitive or used as an alternative method to solve nonlinear equations.

Table 1: Comparison of computational results of the discussed iterative methods

| Methods | $n$ | COC | $\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right\|$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $f_{1}(x)$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{0}=1.475$ |  |  |  |
| SVPM | 15 | 8.00 | $1.66 e-1318$ |
| CHMTM | 11 | 8.00 | $1.54 e-689$ |
| SSSM | 16 | 8.00 | $7.10 e-595$ |
| CJM | 8 | 7.97 | $1.77 e-638$ |
| SAM | 8 | 7.94 | $1.88 e-337$ |
| DFM | 6 | 7.99 | $3.00 e-644$ |
| $f_{2}(x)$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{0}=-2.6$ |  |  |  |
| SVPM | 4 | 8.00 | $1.84 e-706$ |
| CHMTM | 4 | 8.00 | $1.58 e-1003$ |
| SSSM | 3 | 7.11 | $1.82 e-206$ |
| CJM | 4 | 8.00 | $1.56 e-1007$ |
| SAM | 3 | 7.11 | $1.39 e-265$ |
| DFM | 4 | 8.00 | $4.59 e-1597$ |
| $f_{3}(x)$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{0}=0.5$ |  |  |  |
| SVPM | 3 | 8.23 | $1.56 e-402$ |
| CHMTM | 3 | 8.26 | $2.64 e-376$ |
| SSSM | 3 | 8.10 | $4.12 e-555$ |
| CJM | 3 | 8.08 | $2.11 e-613$ |
| SAM | 3 | 8.09 | $2.75 e-530$ |
| DFM | 3 | 8.06 | $3.52 e-613$ |


| Methods | $n$ | COC | $\left\|f\left(x_{n+1}\right)\right\|$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{4}(x)$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{0}=0.0$ |  |  |  |
| SVPM | 9 | 7.36 | $1.68 e-243$ |
| CHMTM | 8 | 7.31 | $7.28 e-240$ |
| SSSM | 13 | 7.61 | $1.87 e-341$ |
| CJM | 4 | 8.14 | $8.64 e-491$ |
| SAM | 14 | 7.96 | $2.95 e-615$ |
| DFM | 4 | 8.09 | $3.06 e-512$ |
| $f_{5}(x)$ |  |  |  |
| $x_{0}=-1.0$ |  |  |  |
| SVPM | 4 | 7.84 | $8.60 e-376$ |
| CHMTM | 4 | 7.71 | $1.28 e-290$ |
| SSSM | 4 | 7.96 | $3.85 e-738$ |
| CJM | 4 | 8.01 | $1.00 e-934$ |
| SAM | 4 | 7.95 | $2.72 e-574$ |
| DFM | 4 | 7.99 | $1.16 e-936$ |
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