

## Notions of Convergence and Limits in Sequence Spaces

<sup>1</sup> Dr. Kusheshwar Prasad

*Department of Mathematics, Jai Govind Inter College, Dighwara Saran, India.*

<sup>2</sup> Dr. P. Chandra

*(Retd.) H.O.D. Department of Mathematics, P.U., Patna, India.*

### Abstract

In this article we generalise some notions in context of Kothe-Toeplitz dual of sequence spaces such as c-limit, p-cgt, p-limit etc. for  $\eta$ -dual of sequence spaces as introduced in the paper of Dr. P. Chandra and Dr. B.C. Tripathi entitled “on generalized Kothe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces” published in Indian journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics by Indian National Sc. Academy, New Delhi 33 (8), pp. 1301-1306 in August 2002.

**Keywords:** Co-ordinate convergent; projective convergent; c-limit; projective limit

**AMS classification No.:** [46A45]

### 1. INTRODUCTION

The notion of projective convergence and coordinate convergence of sequences is quite old. It is found in Cooke [3]. Throughout the article  $\omega, c, c_0, l_1, l_p, l_\infty, \phi$  denote the spaces of all, convergent, null, absolutely summable, p-absolutely summable, bounded and finite sequence respectively.

## 2. DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

By  $\sigma$  we denote the space of all eventually alternating sequences i.e. if  $(x_k) \in \sigma$ , then there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $x_k = -x_{k+1}$  for all  $k > k_0$ .

**Definition** — Let  $E$  be a non empty subset of  $w$  and  $r \geq 1$ , then the  $\eta$ -dual of  $E$  is defined as

$$E^\eta = \{ (y_k) \in \omega : (x_k y_k) \in l_r \text{ for all } (x_k) \in E \}.$$

The sequence of points  $x^{(n)}$  is said to be co-ordinate convergent (c-cgt) when  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(n)}$  exists for every  $k$ . If this limit is  $x_k$ , the point  $x = (x_k)$  is called the coordinate limit of  $x^{(n)}$  and we write  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x$ .

We denote sequence spaces by  $\alpha, \beta, \dots$ .

If  $\phi \leq \beta \leq \alpha^\eta$  and if for sequence  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$ , the sequence  $u'_n = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k^{(n)} u_k]^r$  converges for every  $u$  in  $\beta$ , we say that  $x^{(n)}$  is projective convergent (p-cgt) relative to  $\beta$  or  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt.

When  $\beta = \alpha^\eta$ , we say that  $x^{(n)}$  is p-cgt in  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha$ -cgt.

A sequence  $x$  in  $\alpha$  or outside  $\alpha$  is called the projective limit (p-limit) of  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  relative to  $\beta$  or  $\alpha\beta\text{-}\lim x^{(n)}$ , when

- (i)  $(x_k u_k) \in l_r$  for every  $(u_k)$  in  $\beta$  i.e.  $x \in \beta^\eta$
- (ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k^{(n)} u_k]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^r u_k^r$  for every  $u$  in  $\beta$

When  $\beta = \alpha^\eta$ ,  $x$  is called the p-limit of  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  or  $\alpha\text{-}\lim x^{(n)}$ .

As given in Cooke[3], it can be shown that if  $\alpha\beta\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x$ , then  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x$ , provided  $r$  is not an even integer.

### 3. MAIN RESULTS

A sequence may be  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt and c-limit may not satisfy (i) or (ii) or both.

**Ex.1**

For example, let  $x_k^{(n)} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^k$

Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |x_k^{(n)}|^r = \sum \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{kr} = \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r + \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{2r} + \dots$

Which is a geometric series with common ratio  $\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r < 1$  since  $r > 0$ .

So  $x^{(n)} \in l_r$ . We take r such that r is not an even integer.

Let u be a sequence in  $\sigma$ .

Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} + \sum_{k_0+1}^{\infty}$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k_0+1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r &= u_{k_0+1}^r [x_{k_0+1}^{(n)}]^r + u_{k_0+1}^r (-1)^r [x_{k_0+2}^{(n)}]^r + u_{k_0+1}^r (-1)^{2r} [x_{k_0+3}^{(n)}]^r + \dots \\ &= u_{k_0+1}^r [\{x_{k_0+1}^{(n)}\}^r + (-1)^r \{x_{k_0+2}^{(n)}\}^r + (-1)^{2r} \{x_{k_0+3}^{(n)}\}^r + \dots] \\ &= u_{k_0+1}^r \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r(s-1)} \{x_{k_0+s}^{(n)}\}^r \right] = u_{k_0+1}^r \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{r(s-1)} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{(k_0+s)r} \right] \\ &= u_{k_0+1}^r (-1)^{2n-r} \left[ \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{rs} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{rs} \right] \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k_0r} \text{ since } (-1)^r = (-1)^{2n-r} \\ &= u_{k_0+1}^r (-1)^{2n-r} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k_0r} \left\{ (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r + (-1)^{2r} \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{2r} + \dots \right\} \\ &= u_{k_0+1}^r (-1)^{2n-r} \frac{\left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{k_0r} (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r}{1 - (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r} \end{aligned}$$

$$= (-1)^{2n} \frac{u_{k_0+1}^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{r(k_0+1)}}{1 - (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r} = \frac{u_{k_0+1}^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{r(k_0+1)}}{1 - (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r}$$

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} u_k^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{kr} + \frac{u_{k_0+1}^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{r(k_0+1)}}{1 - (-1)^r \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^r}$$

$$\text{As } n \rightarrow \infty, \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^{kr} = \frac{1}{\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^{kr}} \rightarrow 1, \text{ for all } k.$$

$$\therefore \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{k_0} u_k^r + \frac{u_{k_0+1}^r}{1 - (-1)^r}$$

Which exists for every  $u$  in  $\beta$ , provided  $1 - (-1)^r \neq 0$

$\Rightarrow (-1)^r \neq 1$  i.e.  $r$  is not an even integer.

Thus if  $r$  is not an even integer, then  $x^{(n)}$  is  $l_r \sigma$ -cgt.

$$(\sigma \leq l_r^n = l_\infty)$$

$$\text{Now } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(n)} = \lim_n \left(\frac{n}{n+1}\right)^k = 1$$

So  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x$  where  $x_k = 1$  for every  $k$ .

In that case  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k x_k|^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k|^r$  is not convergent since  $u$  is in  $\sigma$ . So

condition (i) is not satisfied by  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)}$ .

So  $x$  is not  $l_r \sigma\text{-}\lim x^{(n)}$ .

### Ex.2

This example shows that condition (ii) may not be satisfied by  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)}$ .

Consider the sequence  $x^{(n)} = e^{(n)}$  in  $l_r$  (Thus  $\alpha = l_r$ )

Take  $\beta = c$ .

Let  $u \in \beta$  i.e.c.

Then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k e_k^{(n)}]^r = u_n^r$  since  $e_n^{(n)} = 1, e_p^{(n)} = 0(p \neq n)$

Since  $u \in c, \lim_n u_n$  exists and  $\{u_k\}$  is bounded.

Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r$  converges for every  $u$  in  $c$ .

Therefore  $x^{(n)}$  is  $l_r c$ -cgt ( $c \leq l_r^\eta = l_\infty$ )

Also  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(n)} = \lim_n e_k^{(n)} = 0 \Rightarrow x_k = 0, \forall_k$

Thus  $c$ -limit is zero.

Hence  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^r u_k^r = 0$

But  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n^r$

If we take  $u_k = 1$  for every  $k, u \in c$  but then above limit is not 0 as it is 1. Hence condition (ii) is not satisfied.

When  $\beta = \phi$  ( $\phi \leq \alpha^\eta$ , for any  $\alpha$ ) we have the following simple result, in case  $r$  is not even.

**Theorem 1**

$\alpha\phi$ -convergence coincides with  $c$ -convergence and  $\alpha\phi$ -limit is coextensive with the  $c$ -limits of  $c$ -cgt sequences in  $\alpha$ , in case  $r$  is not an even integer.

**Proof :**

Let  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  be  $c$ -cgt. Let  $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Then  $c\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x$ .

Let  $u \in \phi$  and  $u_k = 0$  for  $k > p$ .

$$\text{Then } \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x_k^{(n)} u_k\}^r = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^p \{x_k^{(n)} u_k\}^r = \sum_{k=1}^p x_k^r u_k^r$$

So limit exists for every  $u$  in  $\phi$ .

Hence  $x^{(n)}$  is  $\alpha\phi$ -convergent.

So every  $c$ -cgt sequence in  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha\phi$ -cgt.

We know that  $\alpha\phi$ -convergence implies  $c$ -convergence and so the two convergences coincide.

$$\text{Now } \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r x_k^r| = \sum_{k=1}^p |u_k^r x_k^r| \text{ which converges for all } u \text{ (in } \phi)$$

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k^{(n)} u_k]^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k^r u_k^r \text{ for every } u \text{ in } \beta.$$

Thus  $c$ -limit of  $c$ -cgt sequence in  $\alpha$  is its  $\alpha\phi$ -limit.

Also  $\alpha\phi$ -limit is  $c$ -limit as  $r$  is not even. Hence the result is proved.

### Definition

A sequence space  $\alpha$  is said to be normal, if whenever  $x$  is in  $\alpha$  and  $|y_k| \leq |x_k|$  for every  $k$ , then  $y$  is in  $\alpha$ .

### Ex.

$\sigma$  and  $c$  are not normal while  $\phi$  and  $c_0$  are normal.

As given in Cooke (10.2.I) we can show that

- (i) A necessary and sufficient condition for the  $\alpha\beta$ -convergence of  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  is that to every  $u$  in  $\beta$  and to every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds a +ve number  $N(\epsilon, u)$  s.t. for every  $p, q \geq N$ .

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r [\{x_k^{(p)}\}^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r] \right| \leq \epsilon$$

- (ii) When  $\beta$  is normal, the necessary and sufficient condition that  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  should be  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt is that to every  $u$  in  $\beta$ , and to every  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds a +ve number  $N(\epsilon, u)$  s.t. for every  $p, q \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r [\{x_k^{(p)}\}^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r]| \leq \epsilon$$

We have seen that  $x^{(n)}$  may be  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt and its coordinate limit may not satisfy the conditions necessary for  $\alpha\beta$ -limit. In the above examples  $\sigma$  and  $c$  are not normal. When  $\beta$  is normal we obtain the following existence theorem for  $\alpha\beta$ -limits.

**Theorem 2 :**

When  $\beta$  is normal, the  $c$ -limit of every  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt sequence is the  $\alpha\beta$ -limit of that sequence.

**Proof :** An analogy to Cooke (10.2.I), we have in case  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\alpha$  is  $\alpha\beta$ -cgt sequence is that given any  $u$  in  $\beta$  and any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there corresponds a + ve number  $N(\epsilon, u)$  s.t. for  $p, q \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r [\{x_k^{(p)}\}^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r]| \leq \epsilon$$

Thus for every  $m$  and for  $p, q \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |u_k^r [\{x_k^{(p)}\}^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r]| \leq \epsilon$$

If  $q$  is fixed and  $p$  increased, since  $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} x_k^{(p)} = x_k$ , due to  $c$ -convergence,

We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^m |u_k^r [x_k^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r]| \leq \epsilon \tag{1}$$

For  $q \geq N$  and every  $m$ . Let  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then for  $q \geq N$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r [x_k^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r]| \leq \epsilon \tag{2}$$

From (1), we obtain

$$\epsilon \geq \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k^r x_k^r - \{u_k x_k^{(q)}\}^r| \geq \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k x_k|^r - \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k x_k^{(q)}|^r$$

(as  $|a-b| \geq |a| - |b|$ )

$$\text{Hence } \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k x_k|^r \leq \epsilon + \sum_{k=1}^m |u_k x_k^{(q)}|^r$$

But since  $x^{(q)}$  is in  $\alpha$  and  $u$  is in  $\beta(\leq \alpha^\eta)$ ,  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k x_k^{(q)}|^r$

Converges, and thus  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k x_k|^r$  converges, so that  $x$  is in  $\beta^\eta$  and condition (i) of projective limit is satisfied.

Also by (2),

$$\left| \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r [\{x_k^r\} - \{x_k^{(q)}\}]^r \right| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r [x_k^r - \{x_k^{(q)}\}]^r| \leq \epsilon \text{ for } q \geq N.$$

$$\text{Therefore } \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r \{x_k^{(q)}\}^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r x_k^r$$

And condition (ii) is satisfied.

$$\text{Hence } \alpha\beta\text{-}\lim x^{(n)} = x.$$

This proves the theorem

From the condition (i) of  $\alpha\beta$ -limit, it is in  $\beta^\eta$ . Next result enables us to determine the set of  $\alpha\beta$ -limits when  $\alpha \geq \phi$ .

$$\text{If } x = \{x_k\} \text{ and } x^{(n)} = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots\}$$

Then  $x^{(n)}$  is called a section of  $x$ .

### Theorem 3

If  $\alpha \geq \phi$ , every sequence in  $\beta^\eta$  is the  $\alpha\beta$ -limit of its sections.

#### Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } x = \{x_k\} \text{ and } x_k^{(n)} &= x_k (1 \leq k \leq n) \\ &= 0 (k > n). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $x^{(n)}$  is a section of  $x$  and is in  $\phi$  and hence in  $\alpha$ .



If  $x \in \beta^\eta$ , then  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k x_k|^r$  converges for every  $u$  in  $\beta$ , and

$$\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [u_k x_k^{(n)}]^r = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n u_k^r x_k^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r x_k^r$$

Hence  $\alpha\beta$ - $\lim x^{(n)} = x$  which proves the result.

We next observe that the  $c$ -limit of an  $\alpha\beta$ -sequence may or may not be in  $\alpha$ .

We have seen before that  $\left\{ \left( \frac{n}{n+1} \right)^k \right\}$  is  $l_r\sigma$ -cgt, but its  $c$ -limit  $x(x_k = 1, \forall k)$  is not in  $l_r$ .

Also  $\alpha\beta$ -limits are not always in  $\alpha$ . For example the sequences  $x^{(n)}$  in  $\phi$  where  $x_k^{(n)} = 1$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $x_k^{(n)} = 0$  for  $k > n$  are  $\phi l_r$ -cgt ( $l_r^\eta = l_\infty \leq \omega = \phi^\eta$ ) because

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} [x_k^{(n)} u_k]^r = \sum_{k=1}^n u_k^r \text{ converges for every } u \text{ in } l_r.$$

If  $\phi l_r$ - $\lim x^{(n)} = x$  such that  $x_k = 1, \forall k$ . Then

(i)  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r x_k^r| = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |u_k^r|$  which converges.

(ii)  $\lim_n \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \{x_k^{(n)} u_k\}^r = \lim_n \sum_{k=1}^n u_k^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} u_k^r x_k^r$

Hence  $\phi l_r$ - $\lim x^{(n)} = x$  such that  $x_k = 1$  for every  $k$ . But  $x$  is not in  $\phi$ .

**REFERENCES**

[1] **Allen, H.S.** : “Projective convergence and limit in sequence spaces”, P.L.M.S., (2), 48, (1944), 310-338.  
 [2] **Chandra, P.** and **Tripathy, B.C.** : “On generalized Kothe-toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces”, Indian J. Pure and Applied Math 33(8) Aug. 2002, 1301-1306.  
 [3] **Richard G. Cooke** : Infinite matrices and sequence spaces; Macmilan and Co. Limited, St. Martin’s Street, London 1950.

- [4] G. Kothe and O. Toeplitz : Lineare Raume mit, unendlichvielen koordinaten und Ringe unendlicher matrizen. Crelle, 171, 193-226 (1934).