

A locally convex topology and an inner product¹

José Aguayo

*Departamento de Matemática,
Facultad de Ciencias Físicas y Matemáticas,
Universidad de Concepción, Chile.*

Samuel Navarro

*Departamento de Matemáticas y
Ciencias de la Computación,
Facultad de Ciencia,
Universidad de Santiago, Chile.*

Miguel Nova

*Departamento de Matemática y
Física Aplicadas,
Facultad de Ingeniería,
Universidad de la Santísima, Concepción, Chile.*

Abstract

Let \mathbb{K} be a non-archimedean valued field whose residue class field is formally real, let l^∞ be the space of all bounded sequences of elements of \mathbb{K} and let c_0 be the linear subspace of l^∞ of all null-convergence sequences. Under this condition, the supremum norm of c_0 is coming from an inner product and its dual is l^∞ . In this paper the authors define a locally convex topology on c_0 such that the dual of this locally convex space is just itself. Many properties of such topology are study.

AMS subject classification:

Keywords: Non-Archimedean inner product, Strict topologies, Riemann-Lebesgue Property.

¹This work was partially supported by Proyecto DIUC, No. 209.013.033-1.0. This work was partially supported by Proyecto DICYT, No.040833NH

1. Introduction and Notations

Throughout this paper \mathbb{K} is a non-Archimedean valued field, complete with respect to the ultrametric induced by the nontrivial valuation $|\cdot|$.

Recall that the residue class field of \mathbb{K} is the field

$$\mathbb{k} = B(0, 1) / B^-(0, 1),$$

where $B(0, 1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ and $B^-(0, 1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{K} : |\lambda| < 1\}$.

Let E be a vector space over \mathbb{K} . By a non-Archimedean inner product we mean a map $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : E \times E \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ which satisfies for all $a, b \in \mathbb{K}$ and $x, y, z \in E$

$$I.1 \quad x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \langle x, x \rangle \neq 0;$$

$$I.2 \quad \langle ax + by, z \rangle = a \langle x, z \rangle + b \langle y, z \rangle;$$

$$I.3 \quad |\langle x, y \rangle|^2 \leq |\langle x, x \rangle| |\langle y, y \rangle| \quad (\text{Cauchy-Schwarz type inequality})$$

A vector space E with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a non-Archimedean inner product space. If $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle y, x \rangle$ for all $x, y \in E$, then $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is called a symmetric inner product.

In what follows we omit “non-Archimedean” in “non-Archimedean inner product” in order to simplify the reading of this article.

We already know that each infinite-dimensional Banach space is linearly homeomorphic to c_0 (see [10], Th. 3.16, pp.70). Therefore, to study these kind of spaces is equivalent to study c_0 , the space of all sequences in \mathbb{K} which are convergent to 0. c_0 is a Banach space with the supremum norm $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. In c_0 there is a natural symmetric bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle : c_0 \times c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n y_n. \quad (1.1)$$

It is easy to see that $|\langle x, y \rangle| \leq \|x\|_\infty \|y\|_\infty$. But, it may happen that $|\langle x, x \rangle| < \|x\|_\infty^2$ for some $x \in c_0$. In order to avoid the last strict inequality, we need an extra algebraic condition on \mathbb{K} .

Definition 1.1. A field F is formally real if for any finite subset $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$ of F that

satisfies $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 = 0$ implies each $a_i = 0$.

According to this definition, \mathbb{R} is formally real and \mathbb{C} is not. Examples of non-archimedean fields which are not formally real are \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathbb{C}_p ; however, the Levi-Civita field given in [8] is formally real. If we take \mathbb{R} instead of F in [10] Th.1.3, page 9, then we can have many examples of non-archimedean field whose respective “residual class fields” are formally real.

Now, in the non-Archimedean context, we have the following alternative condition for a formally real field:

Proposition 1.2. Let \mathbb{K} be a non-archimedean valued field. Then, \mathbb{k} is formally real if, and only if, for each finite subset $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ of \mathbb{K} ,

$$|\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2| = \max \{|\lambda_1^2|, |\lambda_2^2|, \dots, |\lambda_n^2|\}.$$

Proof. (\Leftarrow) Suppose that \mathbb{k} is formally real. Then, by [6], Corollary 6.3,

$$|\langle x, x \rangle + \langle y, y \rangle| = \max \{|\langle x, x \rangle|, \langle y, y \rangle\},$$

for $x, y \in c_0$. In particular, if

$$x = (\lambda_1, 0, \dots), \quad y = (\lambda_2, 0, \dots) \in c_0,$$

then

$$|\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2| = \max \{|\lambda_1|^2, |\lambda_2|^2\}$$

The rest of the proof follows by induction

(\Rightarrow) Let us suppose now that \mathbb{k} is not formally real, that means, there exists a finite subset $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{K}$ so that

$$\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_n^2 = \bar{0}$$

and

$$\bar{\lambda}_i \neq \bar{0}. \tag{1.2}$$

Now, $\bar{\lambda}_1^2 + \bar{\lambda}_2^2 + \dots + \bar{\lambda}_n^2 = \bar{0}$ implies

$$|\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2| < 1$$

and since

$$|\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 + \dots + \lambda_n^2| = \max \{|\lambda_1|^2, |\lambda_2|^2, \dots, |\lambda_n|^2\}$$

we have

$$|\lambda_i| < 1$$

for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, which is equivalent to

$$\bar{\lambda}_i = \bar{0}$$

This is a contradiction to (2). ■

The following theorem was one of the main results proved in [6], (Th.6.1, p. 194):

Theorem 1.3. The symmetric bilinear form given in (1.1) is an inner product on c_0 which induces the original norm if and only if the residue class field \mathbb{k} of \mathbb{K} is formally real.

We already know that the dual of $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ is just l^∞ . The main goal of this paper is to define a locally convex topology ν_0 on c_0 such that $(c_0, \nu_0)' = c_0$ and each closed

subspace has a normal complemented, that is, if M is a v_0 -closed subspace, then there exists a v_0 -closed subspace N such that $c_0 = M \oplus N$ and $\langle m, n \rangle = 0$ for every $m \in M$ and $n \in N$. In other words, (c_0, v_0) is orthomodular with respect to this inner product.

Another concept that we will need to know is the following:

Definition 1.4. Let (x^n) be a sequence of nonzero elements of c_0 . Then, we will say that (x^n) has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property (RLP in short) if for any $y \in c_0$ we have that

$$\langle x^n, y \rangle \rightarrow 0.$$

Of course, every base of c_0 have the RLP.

It is well-known that if X is a zero dimensional Hausdorff topological space, then there are some special locally convex topologies, so-called strict topologies, defined in $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$, the space of all continuous and bounded functions from X into \mathbb{K} . One of them, which will be the topology that will be used in this paper, is constructed as follows (see [2] and [4]):

A function $v : X \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ is said to be vanish at infinity if it is bounded and for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a compact K of X such that $\|v\|_{X \setminus K} < \epsilon$. Let us call $\mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{K})$ the collection of all functions on X which are vanish at infinity. Given $v \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{K})$, we define the semi-norm

$$p_v(f) = \sup_{x \in X} |f(x)v(x)|; \text{ for } f \in C_b(X, \mathbb{K}).$$

The family $\{p_v\}_{v \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{K})}$ generates a locally convex topology which is denoted by β_0 . This topology has many properties that was proved in [4] and we will only mention those that will be useful in this paper. Let us denote by τ_u the uniform topology on $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$, that is, the topology generated by the supremum norm on $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$, and by τ_c the compact-open topology on $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$.

1. β_0 coincides with τ_c on each τ_u -bounded set.
2. $\tau_c \leq \beta_0 \leq \tau_u$
3. β_0 and τ_u have the same bounded sets.
4. A sequence (f_n) in $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$ is β_0 -convergent to f iff it is τ_u -bounded and $f_n \rightarrow f$ in τ_c .
5. If $C_0(X, \mathbb{K})$ denotes the space of those function $v \in \mathcal{B}(X, \mathbb{K})$ which are continuous and X is locally compact, then $C_0(X, \mathbb{K})$ is β_0 -dense in $C_b(X, \mathbb{K})$.

Now, in order to describe the dual of $(C_b(X, \mathbb{K}), \beta_0)$, we need to introduce a space of measures. Let us denote by $S(X)$ the ring of clopen subsets of X ; we will understand by a measures on X to any finitely-additive set-function $m : S(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that it is

$m(S(X))$ is bounded in \mathbb{K} . The space of all these functions will be denoted by $M(X)$ and it is normed by $|m| = |m|(X)$, where

$$|m|(A) = \sup \{|m(B)| : B \subset A; B \in S(X)\}$$

for any $A \in S(X)$. $M_t(X)$ will denote the subspace of all measures $m \in M(X)$ such that for any positive real number ϵ , there exists a compact subset K of X such that $|m|(X - K) < \epsilon$. It is well-known that $(C_b(X, \mathbb{K}), \beta_0)' = M_t(X)$ and for any $m \in M_t(X)$, each $f \in BC(X)$ is m -integrable. These two facts will be also used in this work.

2. Definition of a locally convex topology on c_0

We already know that the dual of $(c_0, \|\cdot\|)$ is l^∞ , the space of all bounded sequences in \mathbb{K} . In this dual we can distinguish two kind of linear functionals: linear functional of the type $\langle \cdot, y \rangle : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by $x \rightarrow \langle x, y \rangle$, for any $y \in c_0$, and linear functional of the type $f : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, defined by $x \rightarrow f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x_n a_n$, where $(a_n) \in l^\infty \setminus c_0$. The

first ones are call Riesz functional and this collection forms a normed space that we will denote by

$$\mathfrak{R} = \{f \in c_0' : f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle \text{ for some } y \in c_0\}.$$

Clearly, \mathfrak{R} is algebraically isomorphic to c_0 . The next lemma will give us conditions for a continuous linear functional can be a Riesz functional. Here, e_n will denote the n^{th} canonical elements of c_0 .

Lemma 2.1. A continuous linear functional f is a Riesz functional if and only if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e_n) = 0$. In other words, $e_n \rightarrow 0$ in the weak topology with respect to the duality $\langle c_0, \mathfrak{R} \rangle$.

Proof. (\Rightarrow) Let f be an element of \mathfrak{R} . Then, there exists $y \in c_0$ such that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$. Now, by the fact that $\{e_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ has the RLP, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle e_n, y \rangle = 0.$$

(\Leftarrow) On the other hand, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(e_n) = 0$, then $y = (f(e_n)) \in c_0$. We claim that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$, in fact,

$$\begin{aligned} x \in c_0 &\Rightarrow x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n \\ &\Rightarrow f(x) = f\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n\right) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n f(e_n) = \langle x, y \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 2.2. A locally convex topology ν on c_0 is said to be admissible if $(c_0, \nu)' = \mathfrak{A}$.

The locally convex topology generated by the family of semi-norms $\{q_y(\cdot) = |\langle \cdot, y \rangle| : y \in c_0\}$ is admissible. It is clear that this topology is the weak topology $\sigma_{\mathfrak{A}}$ respect to the duality $\langle c_0, \mathfrak{A} \rangle$. By the fact that this topology is Hausdorff, every admissible topology is also Hausdorff.

Theorem 2.3. Let ν be an admissible topology. Then,

1. if \mathbb{K} is spherically complete, then $e_n \xrightarrow{\nu} 0$.
2. if ν is of countable type, then $e_n \xrightarrow{\nu} 0$.

Proof. Both results follow from the fact that each weakly convergent sequence is convergent, since ν is strongly polar (see [9] Th.4.4 and Prop. 4.11 pages 197 and 200). ■

For each $y \in c_0$, we define

$$p_y(x) = \max \{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

It is clear that p_y is a semi-norm. Let us denote by ν_0 the locally convex topology generated by this family of semi-norms.

Lemma 2.4. For $y \in c_0$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_y(e_n) = 0.$$

Proof. This is immediately consequence of

$$p_y(e_n) = |y_n|.$$

■

Lemma 2.5. ν_0 is of countable type.

Proof. We need to prove that (c_0, p_y) is of countable type, for each $y \in c_0$. We claim that

$$c_0 = \overline{[e_1, e_2, \dots]}^{p_y}.$$

In fact, let $x \in c_0$ and consider the following sequence $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i\right)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} p_y\left(x - \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i\right) &= p_y(0, 0, \dots, 0, x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, \dots) \\ &= \max \{|x_k y_k| : k \geq n+1\} \\ &\leq \|y\| \max \{|x_k| : k \geq n+1\} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \end{aligned}$$

we conclude that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i\right)$ is p_y -convergent to x , which is enough to prove the lemma. ■

Proposition 2.6. v_0 is admissible.

Proof. Let us take $f \in (c_0, v_0)'$. Then, there exists a positive constant C and a finite collection $y^1, y^2, \dots, y^k \in c_0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq C \max \{p_{y^l}(x) : l = 1, \dots, k\}.$$

By Lemma 2.4,

$$p_{y^l}(e_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

which implies that

$$f(e_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus, $f \in \mathfrak{R}$.

Let us take now $f \in \mathfrak{R}$ and prove that f is v_0 -continuous. Since $f \in \mathfrak{R}$, there exists $y \in c_0$ such that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$. Consider the semi-norm

$$p_y(x) = \max \{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

We claim that

$$|f(x)| \leq p_y(x).$$

In fact,

$$|f(x)| = |\langle x, y \rangle| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n y_n \right| \leq \max \{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = p_y(x).$$

Thus, f is v_0 -continuous. Therefore,

$$\mathfrak{R} = (c_0, v_0)'. \quad \blacksquare$$

Theorem 2.7. v_0 is the finest locally convex topology of countable type which is admissible.

Proof. Let v be an admissible locally convex topology of countable type and let p be a v -continuous semi-norm. By Th.2.3, $e_n \xrightarrow{v} 0$, and then

$$p(e_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

Choose $y = (y_n) \in c_0$ such that

$$|y_n| \geq p(e_n)$$

and consider the semi-norm $p_y(x) = \max \{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Note that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n$ is p -convergent, since $p(x_n e_n) \rightarrow 0$. Then,

$$p(x) = p\left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n e_n\right) \leq \max \{|x_n y_n| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} = p_y(x).$$

It is enough to show that

$$\nu \leq \nu_0.$$

■

3. ν_0 as a strict topology

Assuming that \mathbb{N} has the discrete topology (which is a zero-dimensional topological space), we will have that $l^\infty = \{x = (x_n) : \|x\|_\infty < \infty\}$ is precisely $C_b(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$.

According to the first section, a function whose vanishes at infinity is a function $\eta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ which satisfies that for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact K in \mathbb{N} such that

$$\|\eta(x)\|_{\mathbb{N} \setminus K} < \epsilon,$$

Now, compact sets in \mathbb{N} are just the finite subsets, therefore the above condition is equivalent to say that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta(n) = 0,$$

that is, $\eta \in c_0$. Thus, we have proved that

$$B(K(X), \mathbb{K}) = \{\eta : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{K} : \eta \text{ vanish at infinity}\} = c_0$$

The first section tell us that the strict topology β_0 defined on $C_b(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}) = l^\infty$ is the topology generated by the family of semi-norms $\{p_\eta\}_{\eta \in c_0}$. In this case, each p_η has the following form:

$$p_\eta((a_i)) = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} |a_i \eta_i|$$

Also, the spaces $(l^\infty, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ and (l^∞, β_0) have the same bounded sets. Note that $C_0(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K})$ is just c_0 and hence c_0 is β_0 -dense in l^∞ . At the same time, the topology ν_0 defined in the second section is just the induced topology by β_0 ; hence we have the following corollary:

Corollary 3.1. The spaces (c_0, ν_0) and $(c_0, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$ have the same bounded sets.

Proof. Let B be a ν_0 -bounded set, hence

$$\forall y \in c_0 = B(K(X)) : \max_{x \in B} p_y(x) < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\forall \eta \in B(K(X)) = c_0 : \max_{x \in B} p_\eta(x) < \infty,$$

that is, B is β_0 -bounded and then B is $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -bounded. ■

Remark 3.2. The Ascoli theorem type proved in [5] tells us that the compactoid sets of (c_0, ν_0) are precisely the bounded sets. In other words, (c_0, ν_0) is a semi-montel space.

Proposition 3.3. Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in c_0 . Then, (x_n) is ν_0 -convergent to 0 if and only if (x_n) has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property.

Proof. Since the condition for a sequence must have the Riemann–Lebesgue Property is equivalent that this sequence convergent to 0 in the weak topology respect to the duality $\langle c_0, \mathfrak{R} \rangle$ and by the fact that bounded sets are compactoid, the proposition follows applying Th. 4.4 and Prop. 4.11 in [9]. ■

Remark 3.4. Let us mention some properties of the spaces (l^∞, β_0) and (c_0, ν_0) . Since \mathbb{N} is a small set, is not a compact space, is a k -space, is a locally compact space and is an infinity set, we have

1. (l^∞, β_0) is not a barrelled space, Th. 7.2.14 in [9]
2. (l^∞, β_0) is not a reflexive space, Th. 7.5.10 in [9].
3. (l^∞, β_0) is a complete space, Th. 9 in [5].
4. c_0 is β_0 -dense in (l^∞, β_0) and then (c_0, ν_0) is not a complete space.

4. Duality

Now, by the fact that c_0 is β_0 -dense in (l^∞, β_0) , we have that

$$\mathfrak{R} = (c_0, \nu_0)' = (l^\infty, \beta_0)' = M_t(\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{K}).$$

Let us describe the elements of the space $M_t(\mathbb{N})$. Here, the ring of subsets of \mathbb{N} is $S(\mathbb{N}) = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ and if $m \in M_t(\mathbb{N})$, then m for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a compact set K of \mathbb{N} such that $|m|(\mathbb{N} \setminus K) < \epsilon$. Since compact sets in \mathbb{N} are finite, we have that $K = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|m|(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}) < \epsilon,$$

which this means that

$$|m|(\mathbb{N} \setminus \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\}) = \max \{|m(B)| : B \cap \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k\} = \emptyset\} < \epsilon$$

On the other hand, $m \in M_t(\mathbb{N})$ is equivalent to say that for each sequence $\{G_n\}$ of subsets of \mathbb{N} such that $G_n \cap G_k = \emptyset$ for $n \neq k$, $m(\cup G_n) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} m(G_n)$ which implies that $(m(G_n)) \in c_0$. Now, since each function $f \in l^\infty$ is m -integrable, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f dm \in \mathbb{K}$$

But, by properties of the integral, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{N}} f dm = \int_{\cup\{n\}} f dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \int_{\{n\}} f dm = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} x_n m(\{n\}) = \langle f, (m(\{n\})) \rangle,$$

and then we can define a linear homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : M_t(\mathbb{N}) &\rightarrow \mathfrak{R} \\ f &\rightarrow \Phi(m) = \langle \cdot, (m(\{n\})) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

We claim that Φ is an isomorphism, even more, it is a linear isometry. In fact, let $\langle \cdot, y \rangle$ be an element of \mathfrak{R} , for some $y = (y_n) \in c_0$. We define $m : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $m(A) = \sum_{i \in A} y_i$.

Clearly, m is well-defined and is finite additive set-function. Moreover, since

$$|m(A)| = \left| \sum_{i \in A} y_i \right| \leq \max\{|y_i| : i \in A\} \leq \|y\|$$

we conclude that $m \in M(\mathbb{N})$. Now, for a given $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|y_n| < \epsilon$, for any $n \geq N$. Let us take $K = \{1, 2, \dots, N-1\}$ which is compact in \mathbb{N} ; hence

$$|m(\mathbb{N} \setminus K)| = \max\{|m(B)| : B \subset \mathbb{N} \setminus K\} < \epsilon,$$

that is, $m \in M_t(\mathbb{N})$. Now, take $m \in M_t(\mathbb{N})$; then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Phi(m)\| &= |\langle \cdot, (m(\{n\})) \rangle| = \|(m(\{n\}))\| = \sup\{|m(\{n\})| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \\ &\leq \sup\{|m(B)| : B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})\} = |m|(\mathbb{N}) = |m| \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since $|m(B)| \leq \|y\|$, for all $B \in \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$, we conclude that $\|\Phi(m)\| = |m|$.

5. v_0 -Closed subspaces

In this section we will study the v_0 -closed subspaces of c_0 . Let us start with a Kernel of a v_0 -linear functional f . By sections 3, there exists $y \in c_0$ such that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$ and then its Kernel $N(f)$ has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property. Conversely, if f is a continuous

linear functional and its Kernel has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property, then there exists $y \in c_0$ such that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$ (see [6]). From this facts, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. Let M be an one-codimensional and $\|\cdot\|$ -closed subspaces of c_0 . Then, M is ν_0 -closed if and only if M has the Riemann–Lebesgue Property.

Proof. Let us denote by N its complemented of M , that is, $c_0 = M \oplus N$ and $N = [\{y\}]$, for some $y \in c_0$. Thus, there exists a unique pair $\{u, v\}$ in c_0 such that $x = u + v$, with $u \in M$ and $v \in N$. We define $f : c_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by $f(x) = \alpha$, where $v = \alpha y$. Clearly, f is a linear functional whose $N(f) = M$. Now, if M is ν_0 -closed, then f is ν_0 -continuous which implies that $f = \langle \cdot, z \rangle$ for some $z \in c_0$. Now, invoking Th.5 in [1], we conclude that $N(f) = M$ has the Riemann–Lebesgue Property. Conversely, since M is $\|\cdot\|$ -closed, we have that the above f is $\|\cdot\|$ -continuous and its kernel $N(f)$ has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property; then by Cor. 9.2 in [6], we have that there exists $y \in c_0$ such that $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle$ and conclude that $M = N(f)$ is ν_0 -closed, since $f = \langle \cdot, y \rangle \in \mathfrak{R}$. ■

Corollary 5.2. Let M be a finite-codimensional and $\|\cdot\|$ -closed subspaces of c_0 . Then, M is ν_0 -closed if and only if M has the Riemann–Lebesgue Property.

Proposition 5.3. Let M be a $\|\cdot\|$ -closed subspace of c_0 . Then, if M has the Riemann–Lebesgue Property, then M is ν_0 -closed.

Proof. Since M has the Riemann-Lebesgue Property, there exists a normal complement N in c_0 , that is, $c_0 = M \oplus N$ and $\langle x, y \rangle = 0$ for any $x \in M$ and $y \in N$ (see Cor. 3 in [1]). Under this condition we can sure the existence of a normal projection P such that $N(P) = N$ and $R(P) = M$. Now, by Th. 5 in [3], there exists an orthonormal basis $\{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ in N with the Riemann–Lebesgue Property such that

$$P = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\langle \cdot, y_n \rangle}{\langle y_n, y_n \rangle} y_n.$$

Now, each $f_n = \frac{\langle \cdot, y_n \rangle}{\langle y_n, y_n \rangle}$ is a Riesz functional and then is ν_0 -continuous which implies that $N(f_n)$ is ν_0 -closed. By the fact that $M = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} N(f_n)$ we conclude that M is ν_0 -closed. ■

Remark 5.4. If we $\mathcal{M} = \{M : M \leq c_0, M \text{ is } \|\cdot\| \text{ - closed and } M \text{ has RLP}\}$ and $\mathcal{N} = \{M : M \leq c_0 \text{ and is } \nu_0 \text{ - closed}\}$, then Prop. 5 tells us that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{N}$. On the other hand, Prop. 4 and Cor. 2 show that there exist ν_0 -closed subspaces which have the RLP. The open question here is: $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}$?

Our sincere thanks to the professors Wim Schikhof (RIP) and Lawrence Narici for the suggestions that they gave us to attack the problems in this paper.

References

- [1] Aguayo, J. and Nova, M., (2007), “Non-archimedean Hilbert like Spaces”, *Bull. Belg. Math. So.*, Vol. 14, pp. 787–797.
- [2] Aguayo, J., Navarro, S. and Nova, M., 2010, “Strict topologies on space of vector-valued continuous functions over non-archimedean field”, *Contemporary Mathematics*, Vol. 508, pp. 1–12.
- [3] Aguayo, J. and Nova, M., “Characterization of Compact and self-adjoint Operators on spaces with non-archimedean inner product”, to appear in *Contemporary Mathematics*.
- [4] Katsaras, A. K., 1984, “The strict topology in non-Archimedean vector-valued functions spaces”, *Proceedings A* 87(2), pp. 189–201
- [5] Martinez-Maurica, J and Navarro, S. , 1990, S., “P-adic Ascoli theorems”, *Rev. Mat. Univ. Complutense, Madrid* 3, 19–27.
- [6] Narici, L. and Beckenstein, E., 2005, “A non-Archimedean Inner Product”, *Contemporary Mathematics*, vol. 384, 187–202.
- [7] Perez-Garcia, C. and Schikhof, W., 2010, “Locally convex spaces over non-archimedean valued fields”, Cambridge University Press.
- [8] Shamseddine, K., 1999, “New Elements of Analysis on the Levi-Civita Field”, Ph D Dissertation, Department of Mathematics and Department of Physics and Astronomy, Michigan State University.
- [9] Schikhof, W., (1986), “Locally convex spaces over nonspherically complete valued fields I-II”, *Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B* 38, 187–224.
- [10] van Rooij A. C. M., 1978, “Non-Archimedean Functional Analysis”, M Dekker, New York.