

## Symmetric Skew 4-Derivations on Semi Prime Rings

Dr. C. Jaya subba Reddy <sup>1</sup>, S. Vasantha Kumar <sup>2</sup> and S. Mallikarjuna Rao<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1, 2, 3</sup>*Department of Mathematics, S. V. University,  
Tirupati-517502, Andhra Pradesh, India.*

### Abstract

In this paper we introduce the notation of symmetric skew 4-derivation of Semiprime ring and we consider  $R$  be a non-commutative 2, 3-torsion free semi prime ring,  $I$  be a non zero two sided ideal of  $R$ ,  $\alpha$  be an automorphism of  $R$ , and  $D: R^4 \rightarrow R$  be a symmetric skew 4-derivation associated with the automorphism  $\alpha$ . If  $f$  is trace of  $D$  such that  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z$  for all  $x \in I$ , then  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

**Keywords:** Semiprime ring, Derivation, Bi derivation, Symmetric Skew 3-derivation, Symmetric Skew 4-derivation and Auto orphism.

### Introduction

In 1957, the study of centralizing and commuting mappings on a prime rings was initiated by the result of E. C. Posner [2] which states that the existence of a non-zero centralizing derivation on a prime ring implies that the ring has to be commutative. Further Vukman [4, 5] extended above result for bi derivations. Recently jung and park[6] considered permuting 3-derivations on prime and semi prime rings and obtained the following: Let  $R$  be a non-commutative 3-torsion free semi prime ring and let  $I$  be a non-zero two sided ideal of  $R$ . Suppose that there exists a permuting 3-derivation  $D: R^3 \rightarrow R$  such that  $f$  is centralizing on  $I$  then  $f$  is commuting on  $I$ . A. Fosner [1] extended the above results in symmetric skew 3-derivations with prime rings and semi prime rings. Recently Faiza Shujat, Abuzaid Ansari[3] Studied some results in symmetric skew 4-derivations in prime rings. In this Paper we proved that Symmetric skew 4-derivations in semi prime rings.

### Preliminaries

Throughout this paper,  $R$  will be represent a ring with a center  $Z$  and  $\alpha$  bean automorphism of  $R$ . Let  $n \geq 2$  be an integer. A ring  $R$  is said to be  $n$ -torsion free if for  $x \in R, nx = 0$  implies  $x = 0$ . For all  $x, y \in R$  the symbol  $[x, y]$  will denote the commutator  $xy - yx$ . we make extensive use of basic commutator identities  $[xy, z] = [x, z]y + x[y, z]$  and  $[x, yz] = [x, y]z + y[x, z]$ . Recall that a ring  $R$  is semi prime if  $xRx = 0$  implies that  $x = 0$ . An additive map  $d: R \rightarrow R$  is called derivation if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + xd(y)$ , for all  $x, y \in R$ , and it is called a skew derivation ( $\alpha$ -derivation) of  $R$  associated with the automorphism  $\alpha$  if  $d(xy) = d(x)y + \alpha(x)d(y)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ , associated with automorphism  $\alpha$  if  $d(xy) = xd(y) + \alpha(y)d(x)$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

Before starting our main theorem, let us gives some basic definitions and well known results which we will need in our further investigation.

Let  $D$  be a symmetric 4-additive map of  $R$ , then obviously

$$D(-p, q, r, s) = -D(p, q, r, s), \text{ for all } p, q, r, s \in R \quad (1)$$

Namely, for all  $y, z \in R$ , the map  $D(., ., y, z): R \rightarrow R$  is an endomorphism of the additive group of  $R$ .

The map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  defined by  $f(x) = D(x, x, x, x)$ ,  $x \in R$  is called trace of  $D$ .

Note that  $f$  is not additive on  $R$ . But for all  $x, y \in R$ , we have

$$f(x + y) = [f(x) + 4D(x, x, x, y) + 6D(x, x, y, y) + 4D(x, y, y, y) + f(y)]$$

Recall that by equation (1),  $f$  is even function.

More precisely, for all  $p, q, r, s, u, v, w, x \in R$ , we have

$$D(pu, q, r, s) = D(p, q, r, s)u + \alpha(p)D(u, q, r, s),$$

$$D(p, qv, r, s) = D(p, q, r, s)v + \alpha(q)D(p, v, r, s),$$

$$D(p, q, rw, s) = D(p, q, r, s)w + \alpha(r)D(p, q, w, s),$$

$$D(p, q, r, sx) = D(p, q, r, s)x + \alpha(s)D(p, q, r, x).$$

Of course, if  $D$  is symmetric, then the above four relations are equivalent to each other.

#### Lemma 1:

Let  $R$  be a prime ring and  $a, b \in R$ . If  $a[x, b] = 0$ , for all  $x \in R$ , then either  $a = 0$  or  $b \in Z$ .

#### Proof:

Note that

$$0 = a[xy, b] = ax[y, b] + a[x, b]y = ax[y, b], \text{ for all } x, y \in R.$$

Thus  $aR[y, b] = 0, y \in R$ , and, since  $R$  is prime, either  $a = 0$  or  $b \in Z$ .

#### Theorem 1:

Let  $R$  be a 2, 3-torsion free non commutative semiprime ring and  $I$  be a nonzero ideal of  $R$ . Suppose  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of  $R$  and  $D: R^4 \rightarrow R$  is a symmetric skew 4-derivation associated with  $\alpha$ . If  $f$  is trace of  $D$  such that  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z$  for all  $x \in$

$I$ , then  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ .

**Proof:**

Let  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z$ , for all  $x \in I$ . (2)

Linearization of (2) yields that, we have

$$[f(x+y), \alpha(x+y)] \in Z$$

$$[f(x+y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x+y), \alpha(y)] \in Z$$

By skew 4-derivation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(x+y) &= [f(x) + 4D(x, x, x, y) + 6D(x, x, y, y) + 4D(x, y, y, y) + f(y)] \\ & [f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] + \\ & 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] + [f(y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] + \\ & 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] + [f(y), \alpha(y)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x \in I. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

From (2) & (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] + \\ & [f(y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + \\ & 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] \in Z, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

Replacing  $y$  by  $-y$  in (4), we find

$$\begin{aligned} & -4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(x)] - 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + [f(y), \alpha(x)] - [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(y)] \\ & - 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(y)] \in Z, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

Comparing (4) and (5) and using 2-torsion freeness of  $R$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] \\ & \in Z, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

Substitute  $y+z$  for  $y$  in (6) and use (6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & 4[D(x, x, x, y+z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y+z, y+z, y+z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y+z)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y+z, y+z), \alpha(y+z)] \in Z \\ & 4[D(x, x, x, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + 4[D(x, y, y, z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, z, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + 4[D(x, y, z, z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + 4[D(x, z, y, z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, z, z, y), \alpha(x)] \\ & + 4[D(x, z, z, z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(y)] + [f(x), \alpha(z)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, z, y), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(y)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] + 6[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(z)] \\ & + 6[D(x, x, z, y), \alpha(z)] + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(z)] \in Z \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4[D(x, y, y, z), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, z, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, y, z, z), \alpha(x)] \\
& \quad + 4[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 4[D(x, z, y, z), \alpha(x)] \\
& \quad + 4[D(x, z, z, y), \alpha(x)] + 6[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, z, y), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(y)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] + 6[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(z)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, z, y), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 12[D(x, y, y, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, y, z, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] \\
& \quad + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(z)] \in Z,
\end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y, z \in I$ . (7)

Replacing  $z$  in  $-z$  in (7) and compare with (7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& -12[D(x, y, y, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, y, z, z), \alpha(x)] - 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, z, z), \alpha(y)] - 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] \\
& \quad + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 2(12[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)]) \in Z
\end{aligned}$$

Using of two torsion free ring, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 12[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] \in Z, \\
& \text{for all } x, y, z \in I. \tag{8}
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute  $y + u$  for  $y$  in (8) and use (8) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& 12[D(x, z, y + u, y + u), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y + u, z), \alpha(y + u)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, y + u, y + u), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 12[D(x, z, y, y), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, z, y, u), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, z, u, y), \alpha(x)] \\
& \quad + 12[D(x, z, u, u), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(y)] \\
& \quad + 12[D(x, x, u, z), \alpha(y)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(u)] \\
& \quad + 12[D(x, x, u, z), \alpha(u)] + 6[D(x, x, y, y), \alpha(z)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, y, u), \alpha(z)] + 6[D(x, x, u, y), \alpha(z)] \\
& \quad + 6[D(x, x, u, u), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 24[D(x, z, y, u), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, y, z), \alpha(u)] + 12[D(x, x, u, z), \alpha(y)] + \\
& 12[D(x, x, y, u), \alpha(z)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, y, z \in I. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $R$  is 2 and 3-torsion free and replacing  $y, u$  by  $x$  in (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 24[D(x, z, x, x), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + \\
& 12[D(x, x, x, x), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 48[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + 12[D(x, x, x, x), \alpha(z)] \in Z \\
& 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(z)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

Again replaced  $z$  by  $xz$  in (10) and using (10) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& 4[D(x, x, x, xz), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(xz)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \\
& 4[D(x, x, x, xz), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)\alpha(z)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \\
& 4[D(x, x, x, x)z + \alpha(x)D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)]\alpha(z) + \alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(z)] \in Z, \\
& \text{for all } x, z \in I. \\
& 4f(x)[z, \alpha(x)] + 4[f(x), \alpha(x)]z + 4\alpha(x)[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)]\alpha(z) + \\
& \alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(z)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \\
& \alpha(x)([f(x), \alpha(z)] + 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)]) + (\alpha(z) + 4z)[f(x), \alpha(x)] +
\end{aligned}$$

$$4f(x)[z, \alpha(x)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \quad (11)$$

Therefore, from (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha(x)([f(x), \alpha(z)] + 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)) + ((\alpha(z) + 4z)[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)) + 4[f(x)[z, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \quad (12) \\ & \alpha(x)([f(x), \alpha(z)] + 4[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)) + (\alpha(z) + 4z)[[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + [\alpha(z) + 4z, \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[z, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + 4[f(x), \alpha(x)][z, \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(x)[[f(x), \alpha(z)], \alpha(x)] + 4\alpha(x)[[D(x, x, x, z), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + (\alpha(z) + 4z)[[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + [\alpha(z), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4[z, \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[z, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + 4[f(x), \alpha(x)][z, \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(x)[[f(x), \alpha(z)], \alpha(x)] + [\alpha(z), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + [4z, \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[z, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)][4z, \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x, z \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha(z) + 8z), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[z, \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] = 0, \\ & \text{for all } x, z \in I. \quad (13) \end{aligned}$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)]$  in (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha(f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)]) + 8f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x))[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [(\alpha(f(x)\alpha([f(x), \alpha(x))), \alpha(x))[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8[f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + f(x)[[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha(f(x))\alpha([f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x))[f(x), \alpha(x)] + [\alpha(f(x)), \alpha(x)]\alpha([f(x), \alpha(x)])[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8f(x)[[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha(f(x)), \alpha(x)]\alpha([f(x), \alpha(x)])[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)][[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[[f(x), \alpha(x)], \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in I$ .

$$\begin{aligned} & [\alpha(f(x)), \alpha(x)]\alpha([f(x), \alpha(x)])[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I. \end{aligned}$$

$$[\alpha(f(x)), \alpha(x)]\alpha[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 8[f(x), \alpha(x)]^3 = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

Since  $f$  is commuties on  $I$  and we have 2, 3-torsion freeness,

$$2[f(x), \alpha(x)]^3 = 0.$$

$$\text{It follows that } (2[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2) R 2([f(x), \alpha(x)]^2) = 0.$$

Since  $R$  is semi prime, we have

$$2[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0, \text{ for all } x \in I. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, taking  $z = x^2$  in equation (10), we get

$$4[D(x, x, x, x^2), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x^2)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

$$4[D(x, x, x, x)x + \alpha(x)D(x, x, x, x), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)\alpha(x)] \in Z, \text{ for all } x \in I.$$

$4[f(x)x + \alpha(x)f(x), \alpha(x)] + \alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] + [f(x), \alpha(x)]\alpha(x) \in Z$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

$4[f(x)x, \alpha(x)] + 4[\alpha(x)f(x), \alpha(x)] + 2\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

$4f(x)[x, \alpha(x)] + 4[f(x), \alpha(x)]x + 4\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4[\alpha(x), \alpha(x)]f(x) + 2\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] \in Z$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

$6\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4x[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[x, \alpha(x)] \in Z$ , for all  $x \in I$ . (15)

Therefore, from equation (15), we get

$[f(x), 6\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4x[f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[x, \alpha(x)]] = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

$[f(x), 6\alpha(x)[f(x), \alpha(x)]] + [f(x), 4x[f(x), \alpha(x)]] + [f(x), 4f(x)[x, \alpha(x)]] = 0$ .

$$\begin{aligned} &6\alpha(x)[f(x), [f(x), \alpha(x)] + 6[f(x), \alpha(x)][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4x[f(x), [f(x), \alpha(x)]] \\ &\quad + 4[f(x), x][f(x), \alpha(x)] + 4f(x)[f(x), [x, \alpha(x)]] \\ &\quad + 4[f(x), f(x)][x, \alpha(x)] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

$6[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 + 4f(x)[f(x), [x, \alpha(x)]] = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

$6[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 + 4f(x)[f(x), x], \alpha(x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . (16)

Since  $f$  is commutative and using equation (16), we get

$6[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

We have 2-torsion freeness, we get

$3[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ . (17)

Comparing (14) and (17) and we have 2-torsion freeness, we get

$[f(x), \alpha(x)]^2 = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

Note that zero is the only nilpotent element in the center of semiprime ring.

Thus,  $[f(x), \alpha(x)] = 0$ , for all  $x \in I$ .

This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] A. Fosner: Prime and semiprime rings with symmetric skew 3-derivations, *Aequat. Math.* 87(2014), 191-200.
- [2] E. C. Posner: Derivations in Prime rings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 8 (1957), 1093-1100.
- [3] Faiza Shujat, Abuzaid Ansari: Symmetric skew 4-derivations on Prime rings, *J. Math. Comput. Sci.* 4(2014), No. 4, 649-656.
- [4] J. Vukman: Symmetric biderivations on Prime and Semiprime rings, *Aequationes Math.* 38(1989), 245-254.
- [5] J. Vukman : Two results concerning Symmetric biderivations on Prime rings, *Aequationes Math.* 40(1990), 181-189.
- [6] Y. S. Jung, K. H. Park: On Prime and semiprime rings with permuting 3-derivations, *J. Chungcheong Math. soc.* 44(2007), 789-794.