

The (mod, integral and exclusive) sum number of graph $K_{n,n} - ((n+2)K_2)$

¹R. K. Samal and ²D. Mishra

¹Department of Mathematics, I. T. E. R, Siksha 'O' Anusandhan University, Khandagiri, Bhubaneswar-751030, Odisha, India.

²Department of Mathematics, C. V. Raman College of Engineering & Technology, Bhubaneswar, Odisha, India.

Abstract

In this paper, we developed some new formulae for exclusive sum number of the complete bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$. Also we show that for $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$, $n \geq 6$ $\sigma = 2n - 4$, $\zeta = 2n - 6$, $\rho = n - 3$ and $\epsilon = 2n - 4$.

Keywords: (mod, integral, exclusive) sum graph; Complete Bipartite graph $K_{n,m}$; Graph $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$ and Graph $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$.

Introduction

All graphs we considered in this paper are finite, simple, undirected graphs. We follow in general the graph-theoretic notation and terminology of Ref. [1] unless otherwise specified. The notion of a sum graph was introduced by Harary in 1990 [2]. In 1994 Harary introduced the notion of an integral sum graph [3]. Let $N(Z)$ be the set of all positive integers (integers). The sum graph $G^+(S)$ of a finite subset $S \subset N(Z)$ is the graph (S, E) with $uv \in E$ if and only if $u + v \in S$. A graph G is said to be an (integral) sum graph if it is isomorphic to the sum graph of some $S \subset N(Z)$. The (integral) sum number $\sigma(G)$ ($\zeta(G)$) of G is the smallest number of isolated vertices which when added to G result in an (integral) sum graph. If G has a (integral) sum labeling then G is called a (integral) sum graph.

The notion of an exclusive sum labeling is introduced in [4]. A mapping L is called a sum labeling of a graph $H(V(H), E(H))$ if it is an injection from $V(H)$ to a set of positive integers, such that $xy \in E(H)$ if and only if there exists a vertex $w \in V(H)$

such that $L(w) = L(x) + L(y)$. In this case, w is called a working vertex. We define L as an exclusive sum labeling of G if it is sum labeling of $G \cup rK_1$ for some non-negative integer r and G contain no working vertex. In general a graph G will require some isolated vertices to be labeled exclusively. The least numbers of isolated vertices is called exclusive sum number of G , denoted by $\epsilon(G)$. An exclusive sum labeling of a graph G is said to be optimum if it labels G exclusively by using $\epsilon(G)$ isolated vertices. In case $\epsilon(G) = \Delta(G)$, where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree of vertices in G , the labeling is called Δ -optimum exclusive sum labeling.

Mod sum graph was introduced by Bolland, Laskar, Turner and Domke in 1990, as a generalization of sum labeling [5]. A graph $G = (V, E)$ is a mod sum graph if there exists a positive integer z and a labeling L of vertices of G with distinct elements from $\{1, 2, \dots, z-1\}$ so that $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if the sum, modulo z , of the labels assigned to u and v is the label of a vertex of G . The mod sum number $\rho(G)$ of a connected graph G is the smallest nonnegative r such that $G \cup rK_1$ is a mod sum graph. Any sum graph can be considered as a mod sum graph by choosing a sufficiently large modulus z . The converse is not true. Unlike in the case of sum graphs, there exist mod sum graphs that are connected.

New formula on Exclusive sum labeling of $K_{n,m}$

Let $V(K_{n,m}) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,m}$ and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$, $C = V(\epsilon K_1)$ be the isolated vertices, $S = V((K_{n,m}) \cup \epsilon K_1)$.

Let $\epsilon = \epsilon(K_{n,m})$ for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$. Since $\epsilon(K_n) = 2n - 3$ for $m \geq 3$, $\epsilon(S_{n,m}) = \max\{n, m\}$, $\epsilon(S_n) = n$ for $n \geq 2$, $\epsilon(C_n) = 3$ for $n \geq 4$, $\epsilon(P_n) = 2$.

Theorem 2.1 $\epsilon(K_{n,m}) = n + m - 1$, for $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 2$.

Proof. We consider the following labeling of the graph $(K_{n,m}) \cup (n + m - 1)K_1$:

$$a_i = (i - 1)N + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$b_j = (j - 1)N + 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

$$c_k = (k - 1)N + 4, k = 1, 2, \dots, n + m - 1,$$

where $N \geq 8$ is an integer. It is obvious that the vertex is distinct for each vertex of $(K_{n,m}) \cup (n + m - 1)K_1$.

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_m\}$, $C = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n+m+1}\}$, $S = A \cup B \cup C$. In fact it is easy to see that (a_i, b_j, c_k) strict increase, the remainder of dividing N is 1, (3, 4).

Thus, we verify that the following assertions are true.

- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A (i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B (i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C (i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.

- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \in S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $b_j \in B$.

Thus the above labeling is an exclusive sum labeling of

$$(K_{n,m}) \cup (n + m - 1)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 2 \text{ and } m \geq 2. \quad \square$$

Exclusive sum labeling of $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$

Let $V(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$, and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, $\{a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_nb_n\} = E(nK_2)$, $C = V(\in K_1)$ be the isolated vertices and $S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)\right) \cup \in K_1\right)$. Let $\in \in \left(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)\right)$, for $n \geq 6$, we will give some properties of the exclusive sum graph $\left(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)\right)$, for $n \geq 6$. It is clear that $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$ is two independent edges for $n = 2$ and $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$ is a 6-cycle for $n = 3$. In this paper, we only consider the case of $n \geq 6$.

Theorem 3.1 $\in \left(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)\right) = 2n - 3$, for $n \geq 6$.

Proof.

We consider the following labeling of the graph $\left(K_{n,n} - (nK_2)\right) \cup (2n - 3)K_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (i - 1)N + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ b_j &= (j - 1)N + 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ c_k &= (k - 1)N + 4, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2, \\ c_k &= kN + 4, k = n - 1, \dots, 2n - 3, \end{aligned}$$

where $N \geq 8$ is an integer.

Let $V(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)$, and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, $C = V((2n - 3)K_1) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-3}\}$, $S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)\right) \cup (2n - 3)K_1\right) = A \cup B \cup C$. It is easy to verify that the following assertions are true.

- $S \subset Z_m \setminus \{0\}$.
- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A (i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B (i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C (i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \notin S$ if and only if $i + j = n$ or $i = j = n$.

So $a_1b_{n-1}, a_2b_{n-2}, \dots, a_{n-1}b_1, a_nb_n$ is $E(nK_2)$.

Thus the above labeling is an exclusive sum labeling of

$$(K_{n,n} - E(nK_2)) \cup (2n - 3)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 6. \quad \square$$

The sum number and integral sum number of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$

Let $V(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$, and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$, $B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$, $\{a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_nb_n, a_{n+1}b_{n+1}, a_{n+2}b_{n+2}\} = E((n + 2)K_2)$, $C = V(\sigma K_1)$ be the isolated vertices, $S = V((K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \cup \sigma K_1)$.

Let $\sigma = \sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2))$, for $n \geq 6$, we will give some properties of the (integral) sum graph $(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2))$, for $n \geq 6$. It is clear that $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$ is four independent edges for $n = 2$ and $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$ is a 10-cycle for $n = 3$. Since $\sigma(K_{2,2} - E(2K_2)) = 1$, $\zeta(K_{2,2} - E(2K_2)) = \rho(K_{2,2} - E(2K_2)) = 0$ and $\sigma(C_6) = 2$ and $\rho(C_6) = 0$. In this paper, we only consider the case of $n \geq 6$.

Lemma 4. 1 In a (integral) sum labeling of $(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \cup sK_1$ ($s \geq r$) if there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B$ ($q \neq p$) such that $a_p + b_q \in B$ then $a_i + b_q \in B$ for any $a_i \in A$ ($i \neq q$).

Proof. Since $a_p + b_q \in B$, we may assume without loss of generality that $a_p + b_q = b_r$. It is obvious that $a_i + b_q \in S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and $i \neq q$. Since $a_p + b_q = b_r \in B$ and $a_i \in A$, we have that $a_i + b_r = a_p + (a_i + b_q) \in S$ for $i \neq r, q$. Thus we can obtain that $a_i + b_q \in B \cup \{a_p\}$ for $i \neq r, q$. We will prove that for $a_i + b_q \notin A$ for any $a_i \in A$ and $i \neq q$. If $a_i + b_q \in A$ we may assume without loss of generality that $a_i + b_q = a_s$. Then $a_s + a_j = a_i + (a_j + b_q) \notin S$ for $j \neq s, q$. So $a_j + b_q \in A \cup \{b_i\} \cup C$ for $j \neq s, q$. Hence we have that $a_j + b_q \in (A \cup \{b_i\} \cup C) \cap (B \cup \{a_p\}) = \{a_p, b_i\}$ for $j \neq r, s, q$. So $n \leq 5$, contradicting the fact that $n \geq 6$. Therefore, $a_i + b_q \notin A$ for any $a_i \in A$ and $i \neq q$. Thus we have that $a_r + b_q \in B \cup C$, $a_i + b_q \in B$ for $i \neq r, q$. So we only need to prove that $a_r + b_q \notin C$. If $a_r + b_q \in C$ then $a_i + (a_r + b_q) = a_r + (a_i + b_q) \in S$ for $i \neq q$. So $a_i + b_q \in (A \cup \{b_r\} \cup C)$ for $i \neq q$. Hence we have that $a_i + b_q = b_r$ for $j \neq r, q$. So $n \leq 3$, contradicting the fact that $n \geq 6$. From the above we have that the lemma holds. □

In a similar way we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4. 2. In a (integral) sum labeling of $(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \cup sK_1$ ($s \geq r$) if there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B$ ($q \neq p$) such that $a_p + b_q \in A$ then $a_p + b_i \in A$ for any $b_i \in B$ ($i \neq p$).

Lemma 4. 3. In a (integral) sum labeling of $(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \cup sK_1$ ($s \geq r$) if there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B$ ($q \neq p$) such that $a_p + b_q \in B$ then $a_p + b_i \in B \cup C$ for any $b_i \in B$ ($i \neq p$).

Proof. By contradiction, If there exists $r \neq p$ such that $a_p + b_r \in A$ then we have that $a_p + b_i \in A$ for any $b_i \in B$ and $i \neq p$ by Lemma 4. 2. So $a_p + b_q \in A$, contradicting the fact that $a_p + b_q \in B$. □

Lemma 4. 4 $\sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 4$ and $\zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 6$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. If $a_i + b_j \in C$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $b_j \in B$ ($j \neq i$) we assume that $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_n$ and a_i is the least integer of $A - \{a_n\}$. Then we have that $\sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq \zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 4$ by the distinctness of $a_i + b_1, a_i + b_2, \dots, a_i + b_{i-1}, a_i + b_{i+1}, \dots, a_i + b_n, a_1 + b_n, \dots, a_{i-1} + b_n, \dots, a_{i+1} + b_n, \dots, a_{n-2} + b_n$. If there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B$ such that $a_p + b_q \in A \cup B$. We assume without loss of generality that $a_p + b_q \in B$. Then we have that $a_i + b_q \in B$ for any $a_i \in A$ ($i \neq q$), $a_p + b_i \in B \cup C$ for any $b_i \in B$ ($i \neq p$) and $B = \{b_q, a_1 + b_q, \dots, a_{q-1} + b_q, a_{q+1} + b_q, a_{n-1} + b_q\}$. Suppose that $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ and b_t is the largest integer of $A - \{b_q\}$. Then $b_t = a_n + b_q$. Firstly we will prove that $a_i + b_j \in C$ for any $a_i \in A$ ($i \neq p$) and for any $b_j \in B$ ($j \neq i, q$).

If there exists $a_r \in A$ and $b_s \in B$ ($s \neq r, q$) such that $a_r + b_s \in B$ then $a_i + b_s \in B$ for any $a_i \in A$ ($i \neq s$) and $B = \{b_s, a_1 + b_s, \dots, a_{s-1} + b_s, a_{s+1} + b_s, \dots, a_n + b_s\}$. we may assume without loss of generality that $b_q < b_s$. Then since $a_1 + b_q < a_1 + b_s \leq a_k + b_s$ for $s \neq k$ then $a_1 + b_q = b_s$. So $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_n$ and $b_q < a_1 + b_q = b_s < a_1 + b_s \leq a_k + b_s$ for $s \neq k$. So $b_q \notin B = \{b_s, a_1 + b_s, \dots, a_{s-1} + b_s, a_{s+1} + b_s, \dots, a_n + b_s\}$, which is a contradiction. If there exists $a_r \in A$ ($r \neq q$) and $b_s \in B$ ($s \neq r, q$) such that $a_r + b_s \in A$ then $a_r + b_i \in A$ for any $b_i \in B$ ($i \neq r$). So $a_r + b_q \in A$, contracting the fact $a_r + b_q \in B$. So $a_i + b_j \in C$ for any $a_i \in A$ ($i \neq q$) and any $b_j \in B$ ($j \neq i, q$) and $a_q + b_j \notin B$ for any $b_j \in B$ ($j \neq i, q$). Hence if $q \neq 1$ then we have that $\zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 6$ by the distinctness of $\{a_1 + b_2, a_1 + b_3, \dots, a_1 + b_{q-1}, a_1 + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_1 + b_n, a_2 + b_t, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_t\} - \{a_q + b_t\} \cup \{a_t + b_t\}$, if $q = 1$ then we have that $\zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 6$ by the distinctness of $\{a_2 + b_3, \dots, a_2 + b_n, a_3 + b_t, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_t\} - \{a_t + b_t\}$. Thus, we only need to prove that $\sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \geq 2n - 4$. Hence we may assume that $a_i, b_i > 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq n$ in the following proof. If there exists $b_s \in B$ ($s \neq q$) such that $a_q + b_s \in A$ then $a_q + b_i \in A$ for any $b_j \in B$ ($i \neq q$) and $A = \{a_q, a_q +$

$b_1, \dots, a_q + b_{q-1}, a_q + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_q + b_n\}$. Hence $B = \{b_q, a_q + b_q + b_1, \dots, a_q + b_q + b_{q-1}, a_q + b_q + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_q + b_q + b_n\}$. We have that $(n - 1)(a_q + b_q) = 0$. However, $a_q + b_q > 0$ for $a_q, b_q > 0$, which is a contradiction. So $a_i + b_j \in C$ for any $b_j \in B(j \neq q)$ and any $a_i \in A(i \neq j)$. Since $a_1 + b_1, a_1 + b_2, \dots, a_1 + b_{q-1}, a_1 + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_1 + b_n, a_2 + b_t, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_t$ are distinct. Let $R = \{a_1 + b_1, a_1 + b_2, \dots, a_1 + b_{q-1}, a_1 + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_1 + b_n, a_2 + b_t, \dots, a_{n-1} + b_t\}$. Then $R = \{2a_1 + b_q, a_1 + a_2 + b_q, \dots, a_1 + a_{q-1} + b_q, a_1 + b_{q+1} + b_q, \dots, a_1 + a_n + b_q, a_2 + a_n + b_q, \dots, a_{n-1} + a_n + b_q\}$ where $2a_1 + b_q < a_1 + a_2 + b_q < \dots < a_1 + a_{q-1} + b_q < a_1 + b_{q+1} + b_q, \dots < a_1 + a_n + b_q < a_2 + a_n + b_q < \dots < a_{n-1} + a_n + b_q$ and $R - \{a_1 + b_1\} \cup \{a_t + b_t\} \subset C$. Since $a_1 + b_q \neq b_q$ we have that $a_q + (a_1 + b_q) = a_1 + a_q + b_q \in C$. By $a_1 + a_{q-1} + b_q < a_1 + a_q + b_q < a_1 + a_{q+1} + b_q$ we can obtain that $a_1 + a_q + b_q \notin R$. So $|C| \geq |R - \{a_1 + b_1\} \cup \{a_t + b_t\}| + 1 \geq 2n - 4$. \square

Lemma 4. 5. $\sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \leq 2n - 4$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof.

We consider the following labeling of the graph

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1: \\ a_i &= (i - 1)N + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ b_j &= (j - 1)N + 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\ c_k &= (k - 1)N + 4, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2, \\ c_k &= kN + 4, k = n - 1, n, \dots, 2n - 4, \end{aligned}$$

where $N \geq 8$ is an integer.

Let $V(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$, and

$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\} C = V((2n - 4)K_1) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-4}\}, S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1\right) = A \cup B \cup C$. It is easy to verify that the following assertions are true.

- $S \subset Z_m \setminus \{0\}$.
- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A(i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B(i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C(i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \notin S$ if and only if $i + j = n$ or $2n - 1$ or $i = j = n$.

So $a_1b_{n-1}, a_2b_{n-2}, \dots, a_{n-1}b_1, a_nb_{n-1}, a_{n-1}b_n, a_nb_n$ is $E((n + 2)K_2)$.

Thus the above labeling is a sum labeling of

$$\left(K_{n,n} - E(n + 2K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 6. \quad \square$$

Lemma 4. 6. $\zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) \leq 2n - 6$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. We consider the following labeling of the graph $\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 6)K_1$:

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (i - 1)N + 7, i = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, a_n = 3, \\ b_j &= (j - 1)N + 4, j = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1, b_n = -3, \\ c_k &= (k - 1)N + 11, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 3, \\ c_k &= kN + 11, k = n - 2, n - 1, \dots, 2n - 6, \end{aligned}$$

where $N \geq 30$ is an integer. Let $V\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$, and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}, C = V((2n - 6)K_1) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-6}\}, S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 6)K_1\right) = A \cup B \cup C$. It is easy to verify that the following assertions are true.

- $S \subset Z_m \setminus \{0\}$.
- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A (i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B (i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C (i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \notin S$ if and only if $i + j = n - 1$ or $2n - 3$ or $n - 1 \leq i = j \leq n$.

$$a_1b_{n-2}, a_2b_{n-3}, \dots, a_{n-2}b_1, a_{n-2}b_{n-1}, a_{n-1}b_{n-2}, a_{n-1}b_{n-1}, a_nb_n \text{ is } E((n + 2)K_2).$$

Thus the above labeling is a sum labeling of

$$\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 6)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 6. \quad \square$$

We have the following theorem by Lemmas 4. 4-4. 6.

Theorem 4.1. $\zeta(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) = 2n - 6$ and $\sigma(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)) = 2n - 4$ for $n \geq 6$.

The mod sum number of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$

Let $\rho = \rho\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right)$, for $n \geq 6$, we will give some properties of the mod sum graph $\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup \rho K_1$, for $n \geq 6$. Let $V\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$ and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}, \{a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_{n+2}b_{n+2}\} = E((n + 2)K_2), C =$

$V(\rho K_1)$ be the isolated vertices, $S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)\right) \cup \rho K_1\right)$ and the modulus be m . Lemmas 5. 1-5. 3 have been established for (integral) sum graph labeling of $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$ in section 4.

Lemma 5. 1. If there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B (q \neq p)$ such that $a_p + b_q \in B$ then $a_i + b_q \in B$ for any $a_i \in A (i \neq q)$.

Lemma 5. 2. If there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B (q \neq p)$ such that $a_p + b_q \in A$ then $a_p + b_i \in A$ for any $b_i \in B (i \neq p)$.

Lemma 5. 3. If there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B (q \neq p)$ such that $a_p + b_q \in B$ then $a_p + b_i \in B \cup C$ for any $b_i \in B (i \neq p)$.

Lemma 5. 4. $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$ is not a mod sum graph for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. By contradiction. If $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$ is a mod sum graph then $C = \emptyset$ and $a_i + b_j \in A \cup B$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $b_j \in B (i \neq j)$. We may assume without loss of generality that there exist $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B (q \neq p)$ such that $a_p + b_q \in B$. Then $a_i + b_q \in B$ for any $a_i \in A (i \neq q)$ by Lemma 5. 1. Hence $a_i + b_j \in B$ for any $a_i \in A (i \neq q)$ and any $b_j \in B (i \neq j)$ by Lemma 5. 3. if there exists $b_r \in B (r \neq q)$ such that $a_q + b_r \in A$ then $a_q + b_k \in A$ for any $b_k \in B$ and $k \neq q$. Thus $A = \{a_q, a_q + b_1, \dots, a_q + b_{q-1}, a_q + b_{q+1}, \dots, a_q + b_n\}$. So there exists an integer $l_i \neq q$ such that $a_i = a_q + b_{l_i}$ for any $i \neq q$. Since $a_i + a_k = (a_k + b_{l_i}) + a_q \notin S$ for any $k \neq i, l_i$ we have that $a_k + b_{l_i} \in A \cup \{b_q\} \cup C, k \neq i, l_i$. From the above, we know that $a_k + b_{l_i} \in \{b_q\}, k \neq q, i, l_i$. So $n \leq 4$, contradicting the fact that $n \geq 6$. Hence $a_i + b_j \in B$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $b_j \in B (j \neq i)$. Let $R = \{a_i + b_1, \dots, a_i + b_{i-1}, a_i + b_{i+1}, \dots, a_i + b_n\} \subset B$. Since $|B \setminus R| = 1$ we may assume without loss of generality that $B \setminus R = \{b_t\}$. Thus $B = \{b_t, a_i + b_1, \dots, a_i + b_{i-1}, a_i + b_{i+1}, \dots, a_i + b_n\}$. Hence $b_t + (n-1)a_i = b_i$.

If $ka_i \neq 0$ for any $k (2 \leq k \leq n-1)$ then $B = \{b_t, b_t + a_i, \dots, b_t + 2a_i, b_t + (n-1)a_i\} = \{a_1 + b_t, \dots, a_{t-1} + b_t, a_{t+1} + b_t, \dots, a_n + b_t, b_t\}$. Hence $\{a_1, \dots, a_{t-1}, a_{t+1}, \dots, a_n\} = \{a_i, 2a_i, \dots, (n-1)a_i\}$. Since $n \geq 6$ we have that $a_i, 2a_i, 3a_i$ are distinct vertices of A and $a_i + 2a_i = 3a_i$. So a_i is adjacent to $2a_i$, which is a contradiction.

If there exists a least integer $l_i (2 \leq l_i \leq n-1)$ such that $l_i a_i = 0$. Suppose that there exist integers s_i and $r_i (0 \leq r_i \leq l_i)$ such that $-1 = s_i l_i + r_i$. We have that elements of B can be partitioned into $\{b_t, b_t + a_i, \dots, b_t + r_i a_i\}$ and $s_i (\geq 1)$ sets of equal size l_i such that the elements of each set form an l_i -cycle under addition of a_i . Assume that one of the l_i -cycles is $\{b_k, b_k + a_i, \dots, b_k + (l_i - 1)a_i\}$. Since $B = \{a_1 + b_k, \dots, a_{k-1} + b_k, a_{k+1} + b_k, \dots, a_n + b_k, b_k\}$ we have that $\{a_i, 2a_i, \dots, (l_i - 1)a_i\} \subset A$. If $l_i \geq 4$ then $a_i, 2a_i, 3a_i$ are distinct vertices of A and $a_i + 2a_i = 3a_i$. So a_i is adjacent to $2a_i$, which is a contradiction. Hence $2 \leq l_i \leq 3$. If $l_i = 2$ then $a_i =$

$m/2$. If $l_i = 3$ then $a_i = m/3$ or $2m/3$. So $n = |A| \leq 3$, contradicting the fact that $n \geq 6$. \square

Lemma 5. 5. $\rho(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)) \geq n-3$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. By Lemma 5. 4 we have that there exists $a_p \in A$ and $b_q \in B$ such that $a_p + b_q \in C$. If $a_i + b_q \in C$ for any $a_i \in A (i \neq q)$ then the lemma holds by the distinctness of $a_1 + b_q, \dots, a_{q-1} + b_q, a_{q+1} + b_q, \dots, a_n + b_q$. If there exists $a_r \in A (r \neq p, q)$ such that $a_r + b_q \notin C$ then $a_r + b_q \in A \cup B$. If $a_r + b_q \in B$ then by Lemma 5. 1 we have that $a_i + b_q \in B$ for any $a_i \in A (i \neq q)$, contradicting the fact that $a_p + b_q \in C$. If $a_r + b_q \in A$ then $a_r + b_j \in A$ for any $b_j \in B (j \neq r)$ by Lemma 5. 2. Hence $a_i + b_j \notin B$ for any $b_j \in B (j \neq r)$ and any $a_i \in A (i \neq j)$ (If there exists $a_s \in A (s \neq j)$ such that $a_s + b_j \in B$ then we have that $a_k + b_j \in B$ for any $a_k \in A (k \neq j)$, contradicting the fact that $a_r + b_j \in A$). So $a_i + b_j \in A \cup C$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $b_j \in B (j \neq r, i)$. If there exists $a_p + b_t \in A$ then $a_p + b_j \in A$ for any $b_j \in B (j \neq p)$ by Lemma 5. 2, contradicting the fact that $a_p + b_q \in C$. Hence $a_p + b_j \in C$ for any $b_j \in B (j \neq r, p)$. So $\{ a_p + b_1, \dots, a_p + b_{p-1}, a_p + b_{p+1}, \dots, a_p + b_{n-1} \} - \{ a_p + b_r \} \subset C$. The lemma holds. \square

Theorem 5. 1. $\rho(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)) = n-3$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. By Lemma 5. 5 we only need to prove that $\rho(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)) \leq n-3$.

Mod sum labeling of the graph $(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)) \cup (n-3)K_1$ are as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} a_i &= (i-1)N + 7, i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, a_n = 3, \\ b_j &= (j-1)N + 4, j = 1, 2, \dots, n-1, b_n = m-3, \\ c_k &= (k-1)N + 11, k = 1, 3, 4, \dots, n-3, \end{aligned}$$

And take the modulus $m = (n-1)N$, Where $N \geq 30$ is an integer.

Let $V(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)$, and

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\} \\ C &= V((n-3)K_1) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{n-3}\}, S = \\ &V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n+2)K_2)\right) \cup (n-3)K_1\right) = A \cup B \cup C. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify that the following assertions are true.

- $S \subset Z_m \setminus \{0\}$.
- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A (i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B (i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C (i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \notin S$ if and only if $i + j = 3$ or n or $i = j = n$.

So $a_1b_2, a_2b_1, a_1b_{n-1}, a_2b_{n-2}, \dots, a_{n-1}b_1, a_nb_n$ is $E((n + 2)K_2)$.

Thus the above labeling is a sum labeling of

$$\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (n - 3)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 6. \quad \square$$

Exclusive sum labeling of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$

Let $V\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$, and $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$,

$B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}, \{a_1b_1, a_2b_2, \dots, a_nb_n, a_{n+1}b_{n+1}, a_{n+2}b_{n+2}\} = E((n + 2)K_2)$, $C =$

$V(\in K_1)$ be the isolated vertices, $S = V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup \in K_1\right)$. Let $\in = \in$

$\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right)$, for $n \geq 6$, we will give some properties of an exclusive sum graph $\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right)$, for $n \geq 6$.

Theorem 6. 1 $\in \left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) = 2n - 4$ for $n \geq 6$.

Proof. We consider the following labeling of the graph $\left(K_{n,n} - ((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1$:

$$a_i = (i - 1)N + 1, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$b_j = (j - 1)N + 3, j = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

$$c_k = (k - 1)N + 4, k = 1, 2, \dots, n - 2,$$

$$c_k = kN + 4, k = n - 1, \dots, 2n - 4,$$

where $N \geq 8$ is an integer.

Let $V\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) = (A, B)$ be the bipartition of $K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)$, and

$A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}, B = \{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\} C = V((2n - 4)K_1) = \{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-4}\}, S =$

$V\left(\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1\right) = A \cup B \cup C$. It is easy to verify that the following assertions are true.

- $S \subset Z_m \setminus \{0\}$.
- $a_i + a_j \notin S$ for any $a_i, a_j \in A (i \neq j)$.
- $b_i + b_j \notin S$ for any $b_i, b_j \in B (i \neq j)$.
- $c_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $c_i, c_j \in C (i \neq j)$.
- $a_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $a_i \in A$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $b_i + c_j \notin S$ for any $b_i \in B$ and any $c_j \in C$.
- $a_i + b_j \notin S$ if and only if $i + j = n$ or $2n - 1$ or $i = j = n$.

So $a_1b_{n-1}, a_2b_{n-2}, \dots, a_{n-1}b_1, a_nb_{n-1}, a_{n-1}b_n, a_nb_n$ is $E((n + 2)K_2)$.

Thus the above labeling is an exclusive sum labeling of

$$\left(K_{n,n} - E((n + 2)K_2)\right) \cup (2n - 4)K_1 \text{ for } n \geq 6. \quad \square$$

References

- [1] J. A. Bondy, U. S. R. Murty. Graph Theory with application [M]. American Elsevier Publishing Co., Inc., New York, 1976.
- [2] F. Harary, Sum graphs and difference graphs, *Congressus Numerantium* 72 (1990) 101-108.
- [3] F. Harary, Sum graphs over all integers, *Discrete Mathematics* 124(1994) 99-105.
- [4] M. miller, J. Ryan, Slamir, K. Sugeng, M. Tuga, Exclusive sum labeling of Graphs, preprint, 2003.
- [5] J. Bolland, R. Laskar, C. Turner, G. Domke, (1990) On mod sum graphs *Congressus Numerantium* 70;131-135.
- [6] F. Fernau, J. F. Ryan, K. A. Sugeng (2009) A sum labeling for the generalized friendship graph, *Discrete Math* 308: 734-740.
- [7] J. Wu, J. Mao, D. Li, New types of integral sum graphs, *Discrete Mathematics* 260, (2003) 163-176.

