

## Functions fixed by a weighted Berezin transform

Jaesung Lee

*Department of Mathematics,  
Sogang University, Seoul 04107, Korea.*

### Abstract

If  $f$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic and integrable with respect to a weighted radial measure  $\nu_\alpha$  over the unit ball  $B_n$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $\int_{B_n} (f \circ \psi) d\nu_\alpha = f(\psi(0))$  for every  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$ . Equivalently  $f$  is fixed by the weighted Berezin transform;  $T_\alpha f = f$ . In this paper, we find the necessary and sufficient condition such that the equation  $T_\alpha f = f$  has only  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic solutions in  $L^1(B_n, \nu_\alpha)$ .

**AMS subject classification:** Primary 31B30; Secondary 47B38.

**Keywords:** Weighted Berezin transform,  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic function, Gelfand transform.

### 1. Introduction

Let  $B_n$  be the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with norm  $|z| = \langle z, z \rangle^{1/2}$  where  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the Hermitian inner product, and let  $\nu$  be the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  normalized to  $\nu(B_n) = 1$ . When  $\alpha > -1$ , we define a finite measure  $\nu_\alpha$  on  $B_n$  by  $d\nu_\alpha(z) = c_\alpha(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha d\nu(z)$ , where  $c_\alpha = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{n! \Gamma(\alpha + 1)}$  is a normalizing constant so that  $\nu_\alpha(B_n) = 1$ .

For such  $\alpha$  and  $f \in L^1(B_n, \nu_\alpha)$ , the weighted Berezin transform  $T_\alpha f$  on  $B_n$  is defined by,

$$(T_\alpha f)(z) = \int_{B_n} f(\varphi_z(w)) d\nu_\alpha(w) \text{ for } z \in B_n,$$

where  $\varphi_a \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$  is the canonical automorphism given by

$$\varphi_a(z) = \frac{a - Pz - (1 - |a|^2)^{1/2} Qz}{1 - \langle z, a \rangle}$$

where  $P$  is the projection into the space spanned by  $a \in B_n$  and  $Q_z = z - Pz$ . Equivalently we can write

$$(T_\alpha f)(z) = \int_{B_n} f(w) \frac{(1 - |z|^2)^{n+1+\alpha}}{|1 - \langle z, w \rangle|^{2n+2+2\alpha}} dv_\alpha(w). \quad (1.1)$$

The invariant Laplacian  $\tilde{\Delta}$  is defined for  $f \in C^2(B_n)$  by  $(\tilde{\Delta} f)(z) = \Delta(f \circ \varphi_z)(0)$ . The  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic functions in  $B_n$  are those for which  $\tilde{\Delta} f = 0$ .

If a function  $f \in L^1(B_n, \nu_\alpha)$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic, then  $f \circ \psi$  is also  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic for every  $\psi \in \text{Aut}(B_n)$ . Thus for  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $f$  satisfies an invariant mean value property

$$\int_{B_n} (f \circ \psi) dv_\alpha = f(\psi(0)) \text{ for every } \psi \in \text{Aut}(B_n),$$

which is, by an elementary calculation, equivalent to saying that  $(T_\alpha f)(z) = f(z)$  for every  $z \in B_n$ .

Conversely, Furstenberg [5, 6] proved that  $f \in L^\infty(B_n)$  satisfying  $T_\alpha f = f$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic. Indeed, his result says much more is true: On any dimensional symmetric domain, a bounded function which is invariant under a weighted Berezin transform is harmonic with respect to the intrinsic metric. Later in the '90s, Benyamini and Weit [2], Engliš [3] provided different proofs of Furstenberg's result in the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$  using a commutative Banach algebra. In their papers, they used the spectral theorem of Katznelson and Tzafriri [10] and theory of the Gelfand transform on the commutative Banach algebra  $L^1_R(D, \tau)$  (also see [7], [8]).

Then in 1993, Ahern, Flores and Rudin [1] used entirely analytic methods to prove that on  $B_n$ , an integrable function  $f$  with  $T_0 f = f$  has to be  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic if and only if  $n \leq 11$ . They also introduced the equation

$$g(x) = (1 - x)^{n+1} \int_0^1 \frac{n + tx}{(1 + tx)^{n+2}} g(t) t^{n-1} dt. \quad (1.2)$$

The equation (1.2) has only constant solutions in  $L^1([0, 1])$  if and only if  $T_0 f = f$  has only  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic solutions in  $L^1(B_n, \nu)$ . And then they suggested the following open problem:

*At which point between 11 and 12 does the above mentioned uniqueness fail?*

In his 1995 Ph.D thesis, Yi [12] introduced the critical point  $\rho = 11 + \epsilon_0$ ,  $0 < \epsilon_0 < 1$  such that the equation (1.2) has only constant solutions in  $L^1([0, 1])$  if and only if  $n \leq \rho$ . And then Jevtic [9] introduced the equation

$$g(x) = (1 - x)^\gamma \frac{\gamma}{2} \int_0^1 \frac{1 + tx}{(1 + tx)^{\gamma+1}} g(t) t^{\gamma/2-1} dt, \quad (1.3)$$

which arised naturally in the study of the the invariant-mean value properties of hyperbolically-harmonic functions. The main result of [9] is that the constants are the only solution of (2.2) if and only if  $2 \leq \gamma \leq 12 + \epsilon_0$ .

Here, in this paper we study functions on  $B_n$  which are invariant under the weighted Berezin transform. Indeed we use the  $\rho = 11 + \epsilon_0$  introduced in [12] to prove the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.1.** For  $\alpha > -1$ , the equation  $T_\alpha f = f$  has only  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic solutions in  $L^1(B_n, \nu_\alpha)$  if and only if  $n + 2\alpha \leq 11 + \epsilon_0$ .

In section 2, we prove Theorem 1.1 by using ideas and methods similar to those in [1].

## 2. Proof of theorem 1.1

In this section, we use and extend the idea of [1] to prove our Theorem 1.1.

*Proof [Proof of Theorem 1.1].* We'll first prove the following statement.

*Claim (i):*  $T_\alpha f = f$  has non-zero solutions satisfying  $f \in L^1(\nu_\alpha)$  and  $\tilde{\Delta} f = \mu f$  for some  $\mu \neq 0$  if and only if  $n + 2\alpha > 11 + \epsilon_0$ .

To prove the claim, we let

$$X_\mu = \{f \in C(B_n) : \tilde{\Delta} f = \mu f\}$$

and let  $\mu = 4\beta(n - \beta)$ . Then by the same calculation as Proposition 3.2 of [1], we get  $L^1(\nu_\alpha) \cap X_\mu \neq \{0\}$  if and only if  $-(\alpha + 1) < \Re\beta < n + (\alpha + 1)$ . Now we denote

$$\Sigma_\alpha = \{\beta \in \mathbb{C} : -(\alpha + 1) < \Re\beta < n + (\alpha + 1)\}.$$

If  $f \in L^1(\nu_\alpha) \cap X_\mu$ , then by 4.2.4 of [1]

$$\int_S f(\varphi_z(r\xi)) d\sigma(\xi) = f(z) \int_S \frac{(1 - r^2)^\beta}{|1 - \langle r, \xi \rangle|^{2\beta}} d\sigma(\xi).$$

Now multiplying by  $2nc_\alpha r^{2n-1} (1 - r^2)^\alpha$  and integrate over  $0 \leq r < 1$ , we get

$$\int_{B_n} f(\varphi_z(w)) d\nu_\alpha(w) = f(z) \int_{B_n} c_\alpha (1 - |w|^2)^\alpha \int_S \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^\beta}{|1 - \langle w, \xi \rangle|^{2\beta}} d\sigma(\xi) d\nu(w). \tag{2.1}$$

Then, using the formula  $(1-x)^{-\beta} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta)}{k!\Gamma(\beta)} x^k$  for  $|x| < 1$  to get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_S \frac{1}{|1 - \langle w, \zeta \rangle|^{2\beta}} d\sigma(\zeta) &= \int_S \left| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta)}{k!\Gamma(\beta)} \langle w, \zeta \rangle^k \right|^2 d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{\Gamma(k+\beta)}{k!\Gamma(\beta)} \right|^2 \int_S |\langle w, \zeta \rangle|^{2k} d\sigma(\zeta) \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma^2(\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(k+\beta)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+n)} |w|^{2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Thus the integral in the right hand side of (2.1) is

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{n!\Gamma(\alpha+1)} \int_{B_n} (1-|w|^2)^{\alpha+\beta} \frac{\Gamma(n)}{\Gamma^2(\beta)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(k+\beta)}{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(k+n)} |w|^{2k} d\nu(w).$$

Integrating in polar coordinates and then putting  $x = r^2$ , it becomes

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)\Gamma^2(\beta)} \int_0^1 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma^2(k+\beta)x^k}{k!(k+n-1)!} x^{n-1} (1-x)^{\alpha+\beta} dx.$$

By using [4, p.78, 2.4 (2)] with  $a = b = \beta$  and  $s = n, c = \alpha + \beta + n + 1, 0 < t < 1$ , we get

$$F(\beta, \beta, \alpha + \beta + n + 1; t) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma(n)\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)} \int_0^1 F(\beta, \beta, n; tx) x^{n-1} (1-x)^{\alpha+\beta} dx$$

Let  $r \rightarrow 1$  and compare to the above integral (2.1), then using the formula

$$F(\beta, \beta, \alpha + \beta + n + 1; 1) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma^2(\alpha + n + 1)},$$

we get

$$T_\alpha f(z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} f(z).$$

Now we'll prove Claim (i) by showing that there is no  $\beta \in \Sigma_\alpha \setminus \{0, n\}$  satisfying

$$\frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)} = 1$$

if and only if  $n + 2\alpha \leq 11 + \epsilon_0$ . Here let us denote  $\Psi_{n,\alpha}, \Phi_\gamma$  for  $\alpha > -1$  and  $\gamma \geq 1$ ,

$$\Psi_{n,\alpha}(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + \beta + 1)\Gamma(\alpha - \beta + n + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + n + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}$$

and

$$\Phi_\gamma(\beta) = \frac{\Gamma(\beta + 1)\Gamma(\gamma + 1 - \beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma + 1)}.$$

From [12, Theorem 4.5] and [9, Theorem 5.7], the function  $\Phi_\gamma - 1$  has no zeros in

$$\{\beta \in \mathbb{C} : -1 < \Re\beta < \gamma + 1\} \setminus \{0, \gamma\} \text{ if and only if } \gamma \leq \rho,$$

where

$$\rho = \inf \{\gamma > 0 : \Phi_\gamma(-1 - it) \text{ is real and } > 1 \text{ for some } t > 0\}.$$

Here  $\rho = 11 + \epsilon_0$ , where  $0.25 < \epsilon_0 < 0.69$ . Now, by an easy calculation, we get

$$\Psi_{n,\alpha}(-1 - \alpha - it) = \Phi_{n+2\alpha}(-1 - it) \frac{\Gamma(n + 2\alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)\Gamma(\alpha + 1)}.$$

Therefore, the condition of  $n$  and  $\alpha$  for which the curve  $C(t) = \Psi_{n,\alpha}(-1 - \alpha - it)$  crosses the real axis to the right of the point 1 is that  $n + 2\alpha \leq \rho = 11 + \epsilon_0$ . Thus  $\Psi_{n,\alpha}(\beta) - 1$  has no zeros in  $\Sigma_\alpha \setminus \{0, n\}$  if and only if  $n + 2\alpha > 11 + \epsilon_0$  and this proves the Claim (i).

To complete the proof of the theorem, we suppose that  $n + 2\alpha \leq 11 + \epsilon_0$  and follow the methods of [1]. Let

$$M_\alpha = \{f \in L^1(\nu_\alpha) : T_\alpha f = f\}$$

and let  $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$  be the restriction of  $\tilde{\Delta}$  to  $M_\alpha$ . Then  $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$  is a bounded linear operator on the Banach space  $M_\alpha$  and  $G_{n,\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha)$  is an identity operator on  $M_\alpha$ , where

$$G_{n,\alpha}(z) = \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - \frac{z}{4(j + \alpha)(n + j + \alpha)}\right)$$

is an entire function satisfying  $G_{n,\alpha}(0) = 0$  and  $G'_{n,\alpha}(0) \neq 0$ . Now if we define

$$H_{n,\alpha}(z) = \frac{G_{n,\alpha}(z) - 1}{z},$$

then  $H_{n,\alpha}$  is an entire function with  $H_{n,\alpha}(0) \neq 0$ . Thus, for every  $f \in M_\alpha$ , we have  $H_{n,\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha)\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha f = 0$ . Since the point spectrum of  $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$  is  $\sigma_p(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha) = \{0\}$ , by the spectral mapping theorem we have  $0 \notin H_{n,\alpha}(\sigma_p(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha)) = \sigma_p(H_{n,\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha))$ , which means that  $H_{n,\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha)$  is 1 - 1. Since  $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha$  belongs to the null-space of  $H_{n,\alpha}(\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha)$ , we get  $\tilde{\Delta}_\alpha = 0$ . Therefore, every  $f \in M_\alpha$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -harmonic and this completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. ■

## References

- [1] P. Ahern, M. Flores and W. Rudin, *An invariant volume-mean-value property*, J. Funct. Anal. 111 (1993), no. 2, 380–397.
- [2] Y. Ben Natan and Y. Weit, *Integrable harmonic functions on  $R^n$* , J. Funct. Anal. 150 (1997), no. 2, 471–477.
- [3] M. Engliš, *Functions invariant under the Berezin transform*, J. Funct. Anal. 121 (1994), no. 1, 233–254.
- [4] A. Erdéli et al., *Higher transcendental functions Vol. I*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1953.
- [5] H. Furstenberg, *A Poisson formula for semi-simple Lie groups*, Ann. of Math. (2) 77, 1963, 335–386.
- [6] H. Furstenberg, *Boundaries of Riemannian symmetric spaces*, Symmetric spaces (Short Courses, Washington Univ., St. Louis, Mo., 1969–1970), pp. 359–377. Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 8, Dekker, New York, 1972.
- [7] S. Helgason, *Topics in harmonic analysis on homogeneous spaces*, Birkhäuser, 1981.
- [8] S. Helgason, *Groups and geometric analysis*, Academic Press, 1984.
- [9] M. Jevtić, *Fixed points of an integral operator*, J. Anal. Math. 91 (2003), 123–141.
- [10] Y. Katznelson and L. Tzafriri, *On power bounded operators*, J. Funct. Anal. 68 (1986), 313–328.
- [11] W. Rudin, *Function theory in the unit ball of  $\mathbb{C}^n$* , Springer-Verlag, New York Inc., 1980.
- [12] J. Yi, *A characterization of the function fixed by a class of integral operators*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin-Madison, 1995.