

## Some identities of degenerating Boole polynomials

**Jongkyum Kwon**

*Department of Mathematics,  
Kyungpook National University,  
Taegu, 702-701, Republic of Korea.  
E-mail: mathkjk26@naver.com*

### Abstract

The Boole polynomial plays an important role in the area of number theory, algebra and umbral calculus. In this paper, we investigate a new and interesting identities of degenerate Boole polynomial which is derived the symmetry properties of the  $p$ -adic fermionic integrals on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**AMS subject classification:** 11B68, 11B83, 11S80.

**Keywords:** Degenerating Boole polynomial and number,  $p$ -adic fermionic integrals on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be an odd prime number.  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, the field of  $p$ -adic numbers and the completion of algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The  $p$ -adic norm  $|\cdot|_p$  is normalized by  $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$ . If  $q \in \mathbb{C}$ , one normally assumes that  $|q| < 1$ . Let  $C(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  be the space of continuous function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . For  $f \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ , the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by Kim to be

$$I_{-1}(f) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_{-1}(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x)(-1)^x, \quad (\text{see [11]}). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $f_1(x) = f(x+1)$ . Then, by (1.1), we get

$$I_{-1}(f_1) + I_{-1}(f) = 2f(0), \quad (\text{see [3, 7-12]}). \quad (1.2)$$

It is well known that the *Euler polynomials of order*  $k (\in \mathbb{N})$  are given by the generating function to be

$$\left(\frac{2}{e^t + 1}\right)^k e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [1-4,14]}). \quad (1.3)$$

When  $k = 1$ ,  $E_n(x) = E_n^{(1)}(x)$  are called the *ordinary Euler polynomials*, and in particular, if  $x = 0$ ,  $E_n = E_n(0)$  are called the *Euler numbers*.

The Changhee polynomials are defined by D. S. Kim et al which is given by the generating function to be

$$\frac{2}{t+2}(1+t)^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Ch_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \quad (\text{see [6]}). \quad (1.4)$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $Ch_n = Ch_n(0)$  are called the Changhee numbers.

The *Stirling number of the first kind* is defined by

$$(x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1) = \sum_{l=0}^n S_1(n, l) x^l, \quad (n \geq 0), \quad (\text{see [13]}).$$

and the *Stirling numbers of the second kind* is defined by

$$(e^t - 1)^n = n! \sum_{l=n}^{\infty} S_2(l, n) \frac{t^l}{l!}, \quad (\text{see [5, 7]}).$$

The *Boole polynomials* are defined by the generating function to be

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bl_n(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{1}{(1 + (1+t)^\lambda)} (1+t)^x, \quad (\text{see [15,16]}).$$

When  $\lambda = 1$ ,  $2Bl_n(x|1) = Ch_n(x)$  are the Changhee polynomials.

Let us take  $f(x) = e^{tx}$ . Then, by (1.2), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{xt} d\mu_{-1}(x) = \frac{2}{e^t + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (1.5)$$

From (1.5), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{(x+y)t} d\mu_{-1}(y) = \frac{2}{e^t + 1} e^{xt} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (1.6)$$

Thus, by comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (1.6), we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x+y)^n d\mu_{-1}(y) = E_n(x), \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (1.7)$$

Now, we define the degenerate Boole numbers as follows:

$$\frac{1}{1 + (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\lambda}} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} Bl_{n,q}(x|\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (1.8)$$

Note that  $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} Bl_{n,q}(x|\lambda) = Bl_n(x|\lambda)$ .

In this paper, we investigate identities of symmetry for the degenerate Boole polynomials which are derived from the symmetric properties of the  $p$ -adic integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## 2. Identities of symmetry for degenerate Boole polynomials

In this section, we assume that  $t \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|t|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$  and  $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ . Let us take  $f(x) = (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\lambda x}$ . From (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\lambda x} d\mu_{-1}(x) &= \frac{2}{1 + (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\lambda}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2Bl_{n,q}(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!}, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $Bl_{n,q}(0|\lambda) = Bl_{n,q}(\lambda)$  are called the degenerate Boole numbers.

By (2.1), it is easy to show that

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{x+\lambda y} d\mu_{-1}(y) = \frac{2}{1 + (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{\lambda}} ((1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^x)^{\lambda}. \quad (2.2)$$

By (1.6) and (2.2), we get

$$\sum_{n=0}^m \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (x + \lambda y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y) S_1(m, n) q^{m-n} = 2Bl_{n,q}(x|\lambda), \quad \text{where } n \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\}. \quad (2.3)$$

and by replacing  $t$  by  $\frac{1}{q}(e^{q^2 t} - 1)$  in (1.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2Bl_{n,q}(x|\lambda) \left(\frac{1}{q}(e^{q^2 t} - 1)\right)^n \frac{1}{n!} &= \frac{2}{1 + (1 + qt)^{\lambda}} (1 + qt)^x \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2Bl_n(x|\lambda) \frac{q^n t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

By (2.3) and (2.4), we get

$$Bl_m(x|\lambda) = \sum_{n=0}^m Bl_{n,q}(x|\lambda) q^{m-n} S_2(m, n) \quad (2.5)$$

$$2Bl_{m,q}(x|\lambda) = q^m \sum_{n=0}^m E_n\left(\frac{x}{\lambda}\right)(\lambda)^n S_1(m, n), \quad (2.6)$$

where  $m \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{0\}$ .

Let  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ .

Then, by(1.1), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} (-1)^y \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{w_2 - 1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1(i + w_2 y)} (-1)^{i + w_2 y}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{w_1 - 1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{w_1 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{w_2 - 1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} (-1)^{i + j + y} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2(x + y) + w_2 j + w_1 i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

By the same method as (2.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{w_2 - 1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=0}^{w_2 - 1} \sum_{i=0}^{w_1 - 1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} (-1)^{i + j + y} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2(x + y) + w_1 j + w_2 i}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Therefore, by (2.6) and (2.7), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** For  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{w_1 - 1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2 - 1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y} d\mu_{-1}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.10)$$

**Corollary 2.2.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \frac{2}{1 + (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_2}} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2) \frac{t^n}{n!} \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Thus, by (2.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2 \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2)) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ( \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y) ) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

From (2.11) and (2.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2 \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y)_n d\mu_{-1}(y).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Therefore, by Corollary 2.2, (2.13) and (2.14), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j Bl_{n,q}(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y)_m d\mu_{-1}(y) \frac{1}{m!} \frac{1}{q^m} (\log(1 + qt))^m \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) q^{-m} \sum_{n=m}^{\infty} S_1(n, m) \frac{(qt)^n}{n!} \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) q^{n-m} S_1(n, m) \right) \frac{(t)^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.18}$$

By the same method of (2.18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \log(1 + qt)^{\frac{1}{q}})^{w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j + w_2 y} d\mu_{-1}(y) \\
&= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2) q^{n-m} S_1(n, m) \right) \frac{(t)^n}{n!}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.19}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1, (2.18) and (2.19), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.4.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) q^{n-m} S_1(n, m) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_1 j | w_2) q^{n-m} S_1(n, m). \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & Bl_m(w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j | w_1) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y)_m d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_1 w_2 x + w_2 j + w_1 y)^l d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) w_1^l \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (w_2 x + \frac{w_2}{w_1} j + y)^l d\mu_{-1}(y) \\ &= \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) w_1^l E_l(w_2 x + \frac{w_2}{w_1} j). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Hence by (2.21), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** For  $n \geq 0$ ,  $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $w_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}, w_2 \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{w_1-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) S_1(n, m) q^{n-m} w_1^l E_l(w_2 x + \frac{w_2}{w_1} j) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{w_2-1} (-1)^j \sum_{m=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) S_1(n, m) q^{n-m} w_2^l E_l(w_1 x + \frac{w_1}{w_2} j). \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

## References

- [1] S. Araci and M. Acikgoz, *A note on the Frobenius-Euler numbers and polynomials associated with Bernstein polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **22** (2012), no. 3, 399–406.
- [2] A. Bayad, *Modular properties of elliptic Bernoulli and Euler functions*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **20** (2010), no. 3, 389–401.
- [3] J. Choi, D. S. Kim, T. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *Some arithmetic identities on Bernoulli and Euler numbers arising from the  $p$ -adic integrals on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$* , Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **22** (2012) 239–247.

- [4] D. Ding, J. Yang, *Some identities related to the Apostol-Euler and Apostol-Bernoulli polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math. **20** (2010), no. 1, 7–1.
- [5] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *A note on Boole polynomials*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **25** (2014), no. 8, 627–633.
- [6] D. S. Kim, T. Kim, J. J. Seo, *A Note on Changhee Polynomials and Numbers*, Adv. Studies Theor. Phys., **7** (2013), no. 20, 993–1003.
- [7] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Some identities of Boole and Euler polynomials*, ARS Combinatoria, (2015), no. 118, 349–356.
- [8] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, T. Mansour, S.-H. Rim, M. Schork *Umbral calculus and Sheffer sequences of polynomials*, J. Math. Phys., **54**, 083504 (2013).
- [9] T. Kim, S.-H. Rim, *New Changhee  $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials associated with  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integrals*, Comput. Math. Appl., **54** (2007), no. 4, 484–489.
- [10] T. Kim, S.-H. Rim, *On Changhee-Barnes'  $q$ -Euler numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **9** (2004), no. 2, 81–86.
- [11] T. Kim,  *$p$ -adic  $q$ -integrals associated with the Changhee-Barnes'  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **15** (2004), no. 5, 415–420.
- [12] T. Kim, *Non-Archimedean  $q$ -integrals associated with multiple Changhee  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **10** (2003), 91–98.
- [13] D. S. Kim and T. Kim, *Some identities of Boole and Euler polynomials*, ARS Combinatoria, (2015), no. 118, 349–356.
- [14] Q.-M. Luo,  *$q$ -analogues of some results for the Apostol-Euler polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **20** (2010), no. 1, 103–113.
- [15] Leont'ev VK. *Symmetric Boolean polynomials*, Zh Vychisl Mat Mat Fiz. 2010; 50(8): 1520-1531; translation in Comput Math Math phys. 2010; 50(8): 1447–1458.
- [16] Leont'ev VK. *On the Boolean polynomials*, Dokl Akad Nauk. 2003; 388(5): 593–595.