

A New Approach for Ranking $k+1$ -Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers

Saed F. Mallak, Duha M. Bedo and Osama Hamed

Palestine Technical University, Kadoorie
E-Mails: s.mallak@ptuk.edu.ps, saedmallak@yahoo.com,
duha_1991_10@hotmail.com and sam.hamed@gmail.com

Abstract

We generalize the ranking method introduced in [6] and [7] to rank particular $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. We build a Java program to implement the approach. We give some numerical examples and suggestions for future work.

Keywords: Particular Fuzzy Numbers, $k+1$ -Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers, Fuzzy Comparison Method, Ranking Function.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy set theory is a powerful tool to deal with real life situations. Many articles were published since introducing the concepts of Fuzzy sets and Probability Measure of Fuzzy Events and fuzzy linear programming[1-16]. Ranking fuzzy numbers plays an important role in many fuzzy optimization problems and decision-making procedure ([1-3], [6-7] and [9-12]).

Dadgostar [1] proposed a fuzzy number comparison method called Partial Comparison Method. It is based on the fuzzy number division in comparison, the shapes of the convex numbers do not require special computations during comparison because it relies on the representation of fuzzy numbers as ordered sets of confidence intervals. Dorohonceanu and Marin [2] presented a fuzzy number comparison method based on the fuzzy number representations in fuzzy arithmetic described in [3]. They also described a variant based on the fuzzy number division in comparison used in partial comparison method. Triangular and trapezoidal shapes of membership functions were used to describe the method. In [8], we applied the method presented in [2] to the fuzzy numbers introduced in [8]. In [10] we generalized the particular fuzzy numbers introduced in [8] by defining three particular fuzzy numbers determined by n real numbers and constants c_i , $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$, $i=1, \dots, k$, and we used the fuzzy comparison methods mentioned in [2] and [9] to compare between them. The

method is based on the fuzzy number representation in fuzzy arithmetic and fuzzy number division in comparison used in [1].

Rao and Shankar [12] proposed a new method which is based on centroid of centroids to rank fuzzy quantities. A trapezoidal fuzzy number was split into three parts, two triangles and a rectangle. Then the centroids of these three parts were calculated followed by the calculation of the centroid of these centroids. Then a ranking procedure was defined depending on area and using mode and spreads in some cases. In [11], we generalized the method introduced in [12] and used it to rank the fuzzy numbers introduced in [10].

Kumar et al [6-7] proposed a new approach for the ranking of generalized trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. It was shown that the ranking method proposed by Chen and Chen [3] is incorrect. The proposed approach in [6-7] provided the correct ordering of generalized and normal trapezoidal fuzzy numbers. It is simple and easy to apply in the real life problems. In this paper, we generalize the approach in [6-7] for $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers introduced in [10].

In section 2, we introduce the basic concepts, definitions and particular types of fuzzy numbers. In section 3, we mention particular types of fuzzy numbers. In section 4, we introduce the ranking method and proof some useful results. In section 5, we support the generalized method by a computerized solution. Numerical examples are given in section 6. Finally, a conclusion and comments are mentioned in section 7.

2. Fuzzy Sets and Numbers

Definition 1: A fuzzy subset A of some set Ω is defined by its membership function written $A(x)$ which produces values in $[0,1]$ for all x in Ω . That is $A(x)$ is a function

mapping Ω into $[0,1]$. We place a bar over a letter to denote a fuzzy set, that is \bar{A} .

The term crisp means not fuzzy. A crisp set is a regular set.

Definition 2: Let $\Omega = R$. An α -cut of \bar{A} , written $\bar{A}[\alpha]$, is defined as

$\{x : \bar{A}(x) \geq \alpha\}$, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$. $\bar{A}[0]$, the support of \bar{A} is defined as the closure of the

union of all the $\bar{A}[\alpha]$, for $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Definition 3: A confidence interval is an interval of real numbers that provides a representation for an imprecise numerical value by means of its sharpest enclosing range.

Definition 4: A presumption level is an estimated truth-value about some knowledge. Presumption levels belong to the $[0, 1]$ interval; the maximum of estimated truth-value is at level 1 and the minimum is at level 0.

Definition 5: A fuzzy number \bar{N} is a fuzzy subset of the real numbers satisfying: (1)

$\exists x \in R : \bar{N}(x) = 1$ (2) $\bar{N}[\alpha]$ is a closed and bounded interval for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

A special type of fuzzy numbers \bar{M} is called a triangular fuzzy number. \bar{M} is defined

by three numbers $a_1 < a_2 < a_3$ where (1) $\bar{M}(x) = 1$ at $x = a_2$ (2) The graph of $\bar{M}(x)$ on $[a_1, a_2]$ is a straight line from $(a_1, 0)$ to $(a_2, 1)$ and also on $[a_2, a_3]$ the graph is a straight line from $(a_2, 1)$ to $(a_3, 0)$ (3) $\bar{M}(x) = 0$ for $x \leq a_1$ or $x \geq a_3$. We write $\bar{M} = (a_1 / a_2 / a_3)$ for triangular fuzzy number \bar{M} . If at least one of the graphs described above is not a straight line (curve), then \bar{M} is called triangular shaped fuzzy number and we write $\bar{M} \approx (a_1 / a_2 / a_3)$.

Another special type of fuzzy numbers \bar{M} is called a trapezoidal fuzzy number. \bar{M} is defined by four numbers $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ where (1) $\bar{M}(x) = 1$ on $[a_2, a_3]$ (2) The graph of $\bar{M}(x)$ on $[a_1, a_2]$ is a straight line from $(a_1, 0)$ to $(a_2, 1)$ and also on $[a_3, a_4]$ the graph is a straight line from $(a_3, 1)$ to $(a_4, 0)$ (3) $\bar{M}(x) = 0$ for $x \leq a_1$ or $x \geq a_4$. We write $\bar{M} = (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$ for trapezoidal fuzzy number \bar{M} . If at least one of the graphs described above is not a straight line (curve), then \bar{M} is called trapezoidal shaped fuzzy number and we write $\bar{M} \approx (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)$.

If $\bar{M}(x) = w < 1$ on $[a_2, a_3]$, then it is called a generalized trapezoidal fuzzy number.

A fuzzy number is represented as an ordered set of confidence intervals, each of them provides the related numerical value at a given presumption level $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. These confidence intervals should comply with the relation if $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$ then $A_{\alpha_1} \subset A_{\alpha_2}$, where $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in [0, 1]$ and $A_{\alpha_1}, A_{\alpha_2}$ are the confidence intervals at presumption levels α_1, α_2 respectively. More details, properties and operations, can be found in [4-5] and [16].

3. Particular Fuzzy Numbers

3.1 Introduction

In [8], we introduced other types of fuzzy numbers. We considered a fuzzy number that is determined by five real numbers a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 and c such that $a_1 < a_2 < a_3 < a_4$ and $0 < c < 1$, denoted by $\bar{N}_c = (a_1 / a_2 / a_3 / a_4)$ or $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4)_c$ whose membership function is given by

$$\bar{\bar{N}}_c(x) = \begin{cases} 0, x \leq a_1 \\ \frac{c}{a_2 - a_1}(x - a_1), a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ 1 - \frac{1-c}{(a_2 - a_3)^2}(2x - a_2 - a_3)^2, a_2 \leq x \leq a_3 \\ \frac{-c}{a_4 - a_3}(x - a_4), a_3 \leq x \leq a_4 \\ 0, x \geq a_4 \end{cases}$$

$\bar{\bar{N}}_c(x)$ is a line from $(a_1, 0)$ to (a_2, c) .

$\bar{\bar{N}}_c(x)$ is a parabola from (a_2, c) to (a_3, c) whose vertex is $(\frac{a_2 + a_3}{2}, 1)$ and focus is

$$(\frac{a_2 + a_3}{2}, 1 - \frac{(a_3 - a_2)^2}{16(1-c)}).$$

$\bar{\bar{N}}_c(x)$ is a line from (a_3, c) to $(a_4, 0)$.

We called such a fuzzy number a Trapezoidal-Parabolic Fuzzy Number and if it is determined by five numbers and it is not of this form, we called it a Trapezoidal-Parabolic Shaped Fuzzy Number.

If we put $c = 0$ in the membership function of the trapezoidal-parabolic fuzzy number, then we get another fuzzy number. We called it parabolic fuzzy number, and is defined by two real numbers a_1 & a_2 with $a_1 < a_2$, denoted by $\bar{\bar{N}} = (a_1 / a_2)$ or $\bar{\bar{N}} = (a_1, a_2)$. The membership function $\bar{\bar{N}}(x)$ is given by

$$\bar{\bar{N}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, x \leq a_1 \\ 1 - \frac{1}{(a_1 - a_2)^2}(2x - a_1 - a_2)^2, a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ 0, x \geq a_2 \end{cases}$$

$\bar{\bar{N}}(x)$ is parabolic in $[a_1, a_2]$ whose vertex is $(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, 1)$ and focus

$$(\frac{a_1 + a_2}{2}, 1 - \frac{(a_1 - a_2)^2}{16}).$$

If $\bar{\bar{N}}(x)$ is not parabolic in $[a_1, a_2]$ then it is called a parabolic shaped fuzzy number and is denoted by $\bar{\bar{N}} \approx (a_1 / a_2)$ or $\bar{\bar{N}} \approx (a_1, a_2)$.

$$\bar{\bar{N}}[\alpha] = [\frac{-(a_2 - a_1)}{2}\sqrt{1-\alpha} + \frac{a_2 + a_1}{2},$$

$$\frac{(a_2 - a_1)}{2}\sqrt{1-\alpha} + \frac{a_2 + a_1}{2}]$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$.

$\bar{\bar{N}} \approx (a_1 / \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} / a_2)$ is a triangular shaped fuzzy number.

In [10] we generalized the fuzzy numbers introduced in [8].

3.2 K-Trapezoidal-Triangular Fuzzy Numbers

We considered a fuzzy number that is determined by n real numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k+3}$ and c_i , $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ where n is a multiple of 3 and $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2k+3}$.

Denote it by $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k+3})$ and whose membership function is given by

$$\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq a_1 \\ \frac{c_1(x - a_1)}{a_2 - a_1}, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \frac{-c_1(x - a_{2k+3})}{a_{2k+3} - a_{2k+2}}, & a_{2k+2} \leq x \leq a_{2k+3} \\ 0, & x \geq a_{2k+3} \end{cases}$$

1- $\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x)$ is a line from $(a_1, 0)$ to (a_2, c_1) and from (a_{2k+2}, c_1) to $(a_{2k+3}, 0)$

2- $\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x)$ is a line from (a_{i+1}, c_i) to (a_{i+2}, c_{i+1}) , $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$.

3- $\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x)$ is a line from (a_{k+3+i}, c_{k-i}) to (a_{k+4+i}, c_{k-i-1}) , $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-2$.

3- $\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x)$ is a line from (a_{k+1}, c_k) to $(a_{k+2}, 1)$ and from $(a_{k+2}, 1)$ to (a_{k+3}, c_k)

4- $\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x) = 0$ for $x \leq a_1, x \geq a_{2k+3}$.

We called such a fuzzy number a k -trapezoidal-triangular fuzzy number. If $k=0$, a k -trapezoidal-triangular Fuzzy Number is a triangular Fuzzy Number.

3.3 K+1-Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers

We also considered a fuzzy number that is determined by n real numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k+3}$ and c_i , $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ where $n=4, 7, 10, \dots$ and $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2k+4}$. Denote it by $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+4})$ and whose membership function is given by

$$\mu_{\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \leq a_1 \\ \frac{c_1(x - a_1)}{a_2 - a_1}, & a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1, & a_{k+2} \leq x \leq a_{k+3} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \frac{-c_1(x - a_{2k+4})}{a_{2k+4} - a_{2k+3}}, & a_{2k+3} \leq x \leq a_{2k+4} \\ 0, & x \geq a_{2k+4} \end{cases}$$

- 1- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from $(a_1, 0)$ to (a_2, c_1) and from (a_{2k+3}, c_1) to $(a_{2k+4}, 0)$
- 2- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from (a_{i+1}, c_i) to (a_{i+2}, c_{i+1}) , $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$.
- 3- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from (a_{k+4+i}, c_{k-i}) to (a_{k+5+i}, c_{k-i-1}) , $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-2$.
- 4- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from (a_{k+1}, c_k) to $(a_{k+2}, 1)$ and from $(a_{k+3}, 1)$ to (a_{k+4}, c_k)
- 5- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x) = 1$ if $a_{k+2} \leq x \leq a_{k+3}$.
- 6- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x) = 0$ for $x \leq a_1, x \geq a_{2k+4}$.

We called such a fuzzy number a $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy number. If $k=0$, a $k+1$ -trapezoidal Fuzzy Number is a trapezoidal Fuzzy Number.

3.4 K-Trapezoidal-Parabolic Fuzzy Numbers

We also Considered a fuzzy number that is determined by n real numbers $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2k+2}$ and c_i , $0 \leq c_i \leq 1$, $i=1, 2, \dots, k$ where $n=5, 8, 11, \dots$ and $a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_{2k+2}$. Denote it by $\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k) = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+2})$ and whose membership function is given by

$$\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x) = \begin{cases} 0, x \leq a_1 \\ \frac{c_1(x - a_1)}{a_2 - a_1}, a_1 \leq x \leq a_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ 1 - \frac{(1 - c_k)}{(a_{k+1} - a_{k+2})^2} (2x - a_{k+1} - a_{k+2})^2, \\ a_{k+1} \leq x \leq a_{k+2} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ \frac{-c_1(x - a_{2k+2})}{a_{2k+2} - a_{2k+1}}, a_{2k+1} \leq x \leq a_{2k+2} \\ 0, x \geq a_{2k+2} \end{cases}$$

- 1- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from $(a_1, 0)$ to (a_2, c_1) and from (a_{2k+1}, c_1) to $(a_{2k+2}, 0)$
- 2- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from (a_{i+1}, c_i) to (a_{i+2}, c_{i+1}) , $i=1, 2, \dots, k-1$.
- 3- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a line from (a_{k+2+i}, c_{k-i}) to (a_{k+3+i}, c_{k-i-1}) , $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, k-2$.
- 4- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x)$ is a parabola from (a_{k+1}, c_k) to (a_{k+2}, c_k) whose vertex $(\frac{a_{k+1} + a_{k+2}}{2}, 1)$

and focus is $(\frac{a_{k+1} + a_{k+2}}{2}, 1 - \frac{(a_{k+2} - a_{k+1})^2}{16(1 - c_k)})$

6- $\mu_{\bar{A}(c_1, \dots, c_k)}^-(x) = 0$ for $x \leq a_1, x \geq a_{2k+2}$.

We called such a fuzzy number a k -trapezoidal –parabolic fuzzy number. If $k=0$, a k -trapezoidal – parabolic Fuzzy Number is a parabolic Fuzzy Number. If $k=1$, a k -trapezoidal– parabolic Fuzzy Number is a trapezoidal–parabolic Fuzzy Number.

Definition 6 Let $T_1 = (a_1, a_2, a_{2k+2}, a_{2k+3}; c_1), \dots, T_i = (a_i, a_{i+1}, a_{2k+3-i}, a_{2k+3-(i-1)}; c_i - c_{i-1}), i=2, \dots, k$ be generalized trapezoidal fuzzy number and $T_{k+1} = (a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}, a_{k+3}; 1 - c_k)$ be a generalized triangular fuzzy number. If

$\bar{A} = (T_1, T_2, \dots, T_k, T_{k+1}) = \bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+3})$ & $\bar{B} = (T_1^-, T_2^-, \dots, T_k^-, T_{k+1}^-) = \bar{B}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2k+3})$ are two k -trapezoidal-triangular fuzzy numbers. Then:

$$1) \quad \bar{A} \oplus \bar{B} = (T_1 \oplus T_1^-, T_2 \oplus T_2^-, \dots, T_k \oplus T_k^-, T_{k+1} \oplus T_{k+1}^-)$$

$$T_i \oplus T_i^- =$$

where $(a_i + b_i, a_{i+1} + b_{i+1}, a_{2k+3-i} + b_{2k+3-i}, a_{2k+3-(i-1)} + b_{2k+3-(i-1)}; \min(c_i - c_{i-1}, s_i - s_{i-1}))$

and $T_{k+1} \oplus T_{k+1}^- = (a_{k+1} + a_{k+1}^-, a_{k+2} + a_{k+2}^-, a_{k+3} + a_{k+3}^-; \min(1 - c_k, 1 - s_k))$

$$2) \quad \bar{A} \ominus \bar{B} = (T_1 \ominus T_{k+1}^-, T_2 \ominus T_k^-, \dots, T_k \ominus T_2^-, T_{k+1} \ominus T_1^-)$$

$$T_i \ominus T_i^- =$$

where $(a_i - b_{2k+3-(i-1)}, a_{i+1} - b_{2k+3-i}, a_{2k+3-i} - b_{i+1}, a_{2k+3-(i-1)} - b_i; \min(c_i - c_{i-1}, s_i - s_{i-1}))$

and $T_{k+1} \ominus T_{k+1}^- = (a_{k+1} - b_{k+3}, a_{k+2} - b_{k+2}, a_{k+3} - b_{k+1}; \min(1 - c_k, 1 - s_k))$

3) If $M > 0$, then

$M \bar{A} = (MT_1, MT_2, \dots, MT_k, MT_{k+1})$ where

$MT_i = (Ma_i, Ma_{i+1}, Ma_{2k+3-i}, Ma_{2k+3-(i-1)}; c_i - c_{i-1})$ and

$MT_{k+1} = (Ma_{k+1}, Ma_{k+2}, Ma_{k+3}; 1 - c_k)$

and if $M < 0$, then

$M \bar{A} = (MT_{k+1}, MT_k, \dots, MT_2, MT_1)$ where

$MT_i = (Ma_{2k+3-(i-1)}, Ma_{2k+3-i}, Ma_{i+1}, Ma_i; c_i - c_{i-1})$

and

$MT_{k+1} = (Ma_{k+1}, Ma_{k+2}, Ma_{k+3}; 1 - c_k)$.

Notice that the same operations can be defined similarly for $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and all fuzzy numbers mentioned above.

Definition 7 Let $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+4})$ and $\bar{B}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2k+4})$ be $k+1$

trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and $w_i = \min(c_i, s_i) \ i=1, \dots, k$, $w_0 = 0$ then:

$$\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{w_i}{4} (a_i - a_{i+2} - a_{2k+3-i} + a_{2k+5-i}) + \frac{w_k}{4} (a_k - a_{k+2} - a_{k+3} + a_{k+5})$$

(i) $+ \frac{1}{4} (a_{k+1} + a_{k+2} + a_{k+3} + a_{k+4})$

(ii) $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{w_i}{2} (a_{i+1} - a_{i+2} - a_{2k+3-i} + a_{2k+4-i}) + \frac{w_k}{2} (a_{k+1} - a_{k+2} - a_{k+3} + a_{k+4}) + \frac{a_{k+2} + a_{k+3}}{2}$

(iii) $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i (-a_i + a_{i+1} - a_{2k+4-i} + a_{2k+5-i})$

(iv) $+ w_k (-a_k + a_{k+1} - a_{k+4} + a_{k+5}) + a_{k+4} - a_{k+1}$

left spread $(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i (-a_i + 2a_{i+1} - a_{i+2})$

(v) $+ w_k (-a_k + 2a_{k+1} - a_{k+2}) + a_{k+2} - a_{k+1}$ right spread

$(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i (a_{2k+3-i} - 2a_{2k+4-i} + a_{2k+5-i}) + w_k (a_{k+3} - 2a_{k+4} + a_{k+5}) + a_{k+4} - a_{k+3}$

In [9-10] we used the method in [1-2] to compare between such numbers.

4. Ranking Method

Let $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+4})$ and $\bar{B}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2k+4})$ be two $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and $w_i = \min(c_i, s_i)$, $w_0 = 0$. We use the following steps to compare between \bar{A} and \bar{B} :

Step 1: We calculate $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A})$ and $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$

Case (i) $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) > \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$

Case (ii) $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) < \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \prec \bar{B}$

Case (iii) If $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$ then go to step 2.

Step 2: We calculate $\text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Case (i) $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) > \text{mode}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$

Case (ii) $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) < \text{mode}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \prec \bar{B}$

Case (iii) If $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ then go to Step 3.

Step 3: We calculate $\text{spread}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{spread}(\bar{B})$

case(i) $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) > \text{spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$

case(ii) $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) < \text{spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \prec \bar{B}$

case(iii) If $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ then go to step 4.

Step 4: We calculate left spread (\bar{A}) and left spread (\bar{B})

case(i) left spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$

case(ii) left spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \prec \bar{B}$

case(iii) left spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ then go to step 5.

Step5: Finally we find $c = \sum_{i=1}^k c_i$ and $s = \sum_{i=1}^k s_i$

Case(i) if $c > s$ then $\bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$

Case(ii) if $c < s$ then $\bar{A} \prec \bar{B}$

Case(iii) if $c = s$ then $\bar{A} \approx \bar{B}$

Now we state and prove some useful results for the ranking method.

Proposition 4.1 let $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+4})$ and $\bar{B}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2k+4})$ be two $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and $w_i = \min(c_i, s_i)$, $w_0 = 0$ such that

(i) $\Re(\bar{A}) = \Re(\bar{B})$ (ii) $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

(iii) $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ then

a) left spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if

$$Z(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} w_i (a_{2k+3-i} - a_{2k+5-i}) + w_k (a_{k+3} - a_{k+5}) + (-a_{k+4} - a_{k+3}) > Z(\bar{B})$$

b) left spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if

$$Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B})$$

c) left spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if

$$Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B})$$

Proof:

a) left spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$)

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B})$, then $Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$)

Now, $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{left spread}(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ b) left spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B})$, then $Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Now, $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{left spread}(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ c) $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$).

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B})$, then $Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$).

Now, $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{left spread}(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $\text{left spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$.

Corollary 4.1 All the results of proposition 4.1 also hold for right spread.

Proof: a) $\text{right spread}(\bar{A}) > \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) > \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$, $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$).

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B})$, then $Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Now, $\text{right spread}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $\text{right spread}(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $\text{right spread}(\bar{A}) > \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$ b) $\text{right spread}(\bar{A}) < \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) < \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$, $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$).

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

$$(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B})$, then $Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$.

Now, right spread $(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and right spread $(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get right spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$. c) right spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$ (since $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$, $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ and $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$).

Now, $Z(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) - \text{spread}(\bar{A}) + \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and $Z(\bar{B}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B}) - \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) - \text{spread}(\bar{B}) + \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get $Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B})$.

Conversely, If $Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B})$ then $Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Now, right spread $(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{A}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) + \text{spread}(\bar{A}) - \text{mode}(\bar{A})$ and right spread $(\bar{B}) = Z(\bar{B}) + \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) + \text{spread}(\bar{B}) - \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

Thus we get right spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$.

Proposition 4.2 let $\bar{A}_{(c_1, \dots, c_k)} = (a_1, \dots, a_{2k+4})$ and $\bar{B}_{(s_1, \dots, s_k)} = (b_1, \dots, b_{2k+4})$ be two $k+1$ -trapezoidal fuzzy numbers and $w_i = \min(c_i, s_i)$, $w_0 = 0$ such that

(i) $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$

(ii) $\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \text{mode}(\bar{B})$

(iii) $\text{spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{spread}(\bar{B})$ then

a) left spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if right spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$ b)

left spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if right spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$

c) left spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B})$ if and only if right spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$

Proof:

a) left spread $(\bar{A}) > \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow Z(\bar{A}) > Z(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) > \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$ (using Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.1)

b) left spread $(\bar{A}) < \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow Z(\bar{A}) < Z(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) < \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$ (using Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.1)

c) left spread $(\bar{A}) = \text{left spread}(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow Z(\bar{A}) = Z(\bar{B}) \Leftrightarrow \text{right spread}(\bar{A}) = \text{right spread}(\bar{B})$ (using Proposition 4.1 and Corollary 4.1)

5. Java Implementation

For the purpose of supporting our method, we designed a computerized solution. This solution was implemented as a program using the JAVA language. Our program aims at comparing between such kind of fuzzy numbers based on the described ranking method. Currently, it applies to small k's but with the ability to include large k's in the future work. The class diagram that represents our program is shown below:

Table 1 The Class Diagram of The Program

TrapezoidalFuzzyNumbers	
-k: int	
+	findTrapFuzzyNoByStep1(XAs[]: double, XBs[]: double, cAs[]: double, cBs[]: double): double
+	findTrapFuzzyNoByStep2(XAs[]: double, XBs[]: double, cAs[]: double, cBs[]: double): double
+	findTrapFuzzyNoByStep3(XAs[]: double, XBs[]: double, cAs[]: double, cBs[]: double): double
+	findTrapFuzzyNoByStep4(XAs[]: double, XBs[]: double, cAs[]: double, cBs[]: double): double
+	findTrapFuzzyNoByStep5(XAs[]: double, XBs[]: double, cAs[]: double, cBs[]: double): double
	+ findMinCs(cAs[]:double, cBs[]:double):double

6. Numerical Examples

We apply the method shown above to our own examples

Set 6.1 Let $\bar{A}_{(0.35)} = (0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7, 0.8)$ and $\bar{B}_{(0.7)} = (0.1, 0.16, 0.2, 0.3, 0.35, 0.4)$

$$\text{Step 1 } \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{w_i}{4} (a_i - a_{i+2} - a_{5-i} + a_{7-i}) + \frac{w_1}{4} (a_1 - a_3 - a_4 + a_6) + \frac{1}{4} (a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5)$$

$$\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = 0 + \frac{0.35}{4} (0.2 - 0.4 - 0.6 + 0.8) + \frac{1}{4} (0.3 + 0.4 + 0.6 + 0.7) = 0.5$$

$$\text{and } \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) = 0 + \frac{0.35}{4} (0.1 - 0.2 - 0.3 + 0.4) + \frac{1}{4} (0.16 + 0.2 + 0.3 + 0.35) = 0.2525$$

$$\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) > \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} \succ \bar{B}$$

Set 6.2 Let $\bar{A}_{(0.5)} = (0.1, 0.15, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5)$ and $\bar{B}_{(0.5)} = (0.1, 0.15, 0.25, 0.3, 0.375, 0.5)$

$$\text{Step 1 } \mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = 0 + \frac{0.5}{4} (0.1 - 0.2 - 0.3 + 0.5) + \frac{1}{4} (0.15 + 0.2 + 0.3 + 0.4) = 0.275$$

$$\text{and } \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B}) = 0 + \frac{0.5}{4} (0.1 - 0.25 - 0.3 + 0.5) + \frac{1}{4} (0.15 + 0.25 + 0.3 + 0.375) = 0.275$$

Since $\mathfrak{R}(\bar{A}) = \mathfrak{R}(\bar{B})$, we go to step 2 .

$$\text{Step 2 } \text{mode}(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^0 \frac{w_i}{2} (a_{i+1} - a_{i+2} - a_{5-i} + a_{6-i}) + \frac{w_1}{2} (a_2 - a_3 - a_4 + a_5) + \frac{a_3 + a_4}{2}$$

$$\text{mode}(\bar{A}) = 0 + \frac{0.5}{2} (0.15 - 0.2 - 0.3 + 0.4) + \frac{0.2 + 0.3}{2} = 0.2625 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{mode}$$

$$(\bar{B}) = 0 + \frac{0.5}{2}(0.15 - 0.25 - 0.3 + 0.375) + \frac{0.25 + 0.3}{2} = 0.26875 \quad \text{mode}(\bar{A}) < \text{mode}$$

$$(\bar{B}) \Rightarrow \bar{A} < \bar{B}$$

According to our java program, the results of the previous sets are matching,

Set 6. 1:

R (A's):0.49999999999999994 and

R (B's):0.25249999999999995

Thus R (A's) > R(B's)

Hence $A > B$

Set. 6.2:

0.27499999999999997

0.275

After rounding R(A's) = R(B's)

Thus we go to step 2 (Mode), we find:

Mode (A's):0.25 and

Mode (B's):0.275

Thus Mode(B's) > Mode (A's)

Hence $B > A$

7. Conclusion

The fuzzy comparison method mentioned in [6-7] can be applied to many classes of fuzzy numbers like the particular fuzzy numbers (i.e $k+1$ -Trapezoidal fuzzy numbers) mentioned in this work. The constructed JAVA program is currently limited to $k=3$, but it can be modified for larger k . We will work to modify it for other ranking methods and other kinds of fuzzy numbers.

References

- [1] A. S. Dadgostar, A Decentralized Reactive Fuzzy Scheduling System for Cellular Manufacturing Systems, Ph. D. Thesis, University of South Wales, Australia, 1996.
- [2] B. Dorohonceanu and B. Marin, A simple Method of Comparing Fuzzy Numbers, 2002, (Europe PubMed Central <http://europepmc.org>).
- [3] S. M. Chen and J. H. Chen, Fuzzy risk analysis based on ranking generalized fuzzy numbers with different heights and different spreads, Expert Systems with Applications, Vol. 36, 2009, pp.6833-6842.
- [4] A. Kaufmann and M. M. Gupta, Introduction to Fuzzy Arithmetic: Theory and Applications, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1991.
- [5] G. K. Klir and B. Yuan, Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy Logic, Theory and applications, (Prentice Hall, New Jersey, 1995) .
- [6] A. Kumar, P. Singh, A. Kaur and P. Kaur, A New approach For Ranking Of Generalized Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers, International Journal of Engineering

- and Natural Sciences 4:2 2010, pp. 95-98.
- [7] A. Kumar, P. Singh, A. Kaur and P. Kaur, Ranking of Generalized Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers Based on Rank, Mode, Divergence and Spread, Turkish Journal of Fuzzy Systems, Vol. 1, No. 2, pp 141-152, 2010.
 - [8] S. Mallak, Particular Fuzzy Numbers and Their Application to Regular 2x2 Fuzzy Markov Chains: Uncertain Probabilities, Journal of Dynamical Systems and Geometric Theories, Vol. 9, Number 2 (2011) 137-150.
 - [9] S. Mallak and D. Bedo, A Fuzzy Comparison Method For Particular Fuzzy Numbers, Journal of Mahani Mathematical Research Center (JMMRC), Vol2, No1 (2013) pp.1-14
 - [10] S. Mallak and D. Bedo, Particular Fuzzy Numbers and a Fuzzy Comparison Method between Them, International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems (IJFMS), Vol 3, No 2 (2013) pp.113-123.
 - [11] S. Mallak and D. Bedo, Ranking Particular Fuzzy Numbers Using Area, Mode, Spreads and Weights, Advances in Fuzzy Mathematics, Volume 9, Number 1 (2014), pp. 7-19 .
 - [12] P. Rao and N. Shankar, Ranking Generalized Fuzzy Numbers Using Area, Mode, Spreads and Weights, International Journal of Applied Sciences and Engineering, 2012, 10, 1 pp. 41-57.
 - [13] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Sets, Information and Control, 1965, 8:338-353.
 - [14] L.A. Zadeh, Probability Measures of Fuzzy Events, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 1968, 23:421-427.
 - [15] L. A. Zadeh, Fuzzy Logic, Neural Networks and Soft Computing, Communications of the ACM, 37:3, 1994.
 - [16] H. J. Zimmerman, Fuzzy Set Theory and its Applications, Springer 4th edition, 2006.