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Abstract

Software reuse or source code reusability is one of main aspect of software engineering which helps in reuse of software component or code snippets which are already developed and well tested. It helps in reducing the cost and time in development of software which are one of main influencing factors in software development life cycle. But a fundamental of finding relevant software applications that are being developed in software development task is due to a highly intended task associated with the development of software and low level implementations details of application in repositories. In order to reduce the mismatch in between the high level intention associated with software development and low level details of the project. In order to address this problem this paper uses an Application Program Interface (API) based code search engine for predicting relevant application. Basically the search engine works by taking two rankings of application. First consider the description of application and second examine the API being used in application. The performance has been evaluated by combining both ranks of application with semantic search so that it can retrieve more-relevant applications.
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1. Introduction

Now a days programmers face many challenges when try to find source code or snippets to reuse in current software development task [2]. The fundamental problem of
discovering relevant code is the mismatch between the high level intention related to
the descriptions of software and low level implementation details of software project.
The above problem is described as the concept assignment problem [3]. Source code
search engines are developed to retrieve relevant source code by matching keywords in
queries to words in the descriptions of applications, comments in their source code,
and the names of program variables and types. Source code search engines dig into
software repositories to find relevant source code which contain thousands of software
projects. But many source code repositories are polluted with poorly functioning
projects [4], by simply using a match between keywords from the query of user with
the description of software project in the repository and it does not guarantee that the
retrieved project or source code is relevant to the query of user. Today many source
code search engines return only snippets or piece of code that are relevant to user
queries. For programmers this create confusion [5] how to reuse these code snippets or
piece of code. But the problem of reuse is the code fragments retrieved look very
similar [6]. If search engines retrieve code snippets in the circumstances of executable
applications; it makes easy for programmers to understand how to reuse these code
fragments.

The Present day code search engines like e.g., Google Code Search, Source Forge
generally treat code as a normal text where the source code doesn’t have semantics
Software applications contain API calls which contain function abstraction of software
projects and the semantics of API calls are well defined which helps in retrieving the
relevant source code. The concept of using API calls was proposed but not
implemented elsewhere [7],[8]. But this was evaluated over larges databases of source
code by using standard information retrieval methods [9].

In order to retrieve the software application by using API calls this paper addresses
a search system called API code search engine for predicting relevant application as a
part of Searching, Selecting, and Synthesizing (S3) architecture [10].This source code
search engine retrieve highly relevant applications in order to reuse in current software
development task. It considers mainly three things in order to locate software
applications.

1. Description of application
2. API calls user by software application which contain functional abstraction of
   software project
3. Dataflow between these API calls.

Class relation and looping conditions are also evaluated and implemented in two
different case studies. The key finding here is this source code search engine retrieve
more accurate results when API calls are considered instead of only the description of
application.
2. Related Work

Many code mining techniques and tools are proposed and developed in order to retrieve more accurate relevant software component belong to software application for reuse form different repositories as it shown in Table 1. Code finder try to locate similar code by using terms in source code. But this search engine uses API documentation for locating source code based on keywords from users. This search won't retrieve source code by comparing keywords in source code itself.

Today many source code search engines uses code broker system for retrieving the source code by using comments done on program by programmer to find relevant artifact’s [12]. But in this search engine the code broker system depend upon the documentation, meaningful names of program variables and its types and this leads to retrieve more precise results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Granularity</th>
<th>Corpora</th>
<th>Query Expansion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CodeFinder [16]</td>
<td>M C D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CodeBroker [51]</td>
<td>M C D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mica [45]</td>
<td>F C C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prospector [20]</td>
<td>F A C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hipmkt [9]</td>
<td>A C D,C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xSnippet [49]</td>
<td>F A D</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stratos [19][20]</td>
<td>F C C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMC [17]</td>
<td>F C C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Google Code</td>
<td>F,M,A C,A,D,C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourceforge</td>
<td>A C D</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPAR-J [22][23]</td>
<td>M C C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourceer [27]</td>
<td>F,M,A C,C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sourceer API Search [4]</td>
<td>F C A C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CodeGenie [56]</td>
<td>F,M T C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SportWeb [47]</td>
<td>M C C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ParseWeb [48]</td>
<td>F A C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S^2 [58]</td>
<td>F C,A,T C</td>
<td>Manual</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knipple</td>
<td>F,M,A C,A,D,C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roders</td>
<td>F,M,A C,A,D,C</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNIFF [8]</td>
<td>F,M C,A,D,C</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1:** Comparison with other code search engines.

MICA is a web search tool which helps for finding relevant applications based on API components documents and help pages related to API calls for searching source code of project [14]. Mica uses documentation about the API calls in order to refine search results.
SNIFF is another idea for searching which uses API calls for retrieving source code [7],[13] and mainly used for searching java using free form quires and it also check interaction between these retrieved code snippets [8].

Prospector is a tool which combines snippets of code retrieved based on a user query and this code also contain both input and required output types and very useful for program to write more complex code but it can’t retrieve full source code [15].

Hipikat [16] is a tool which helps user to retrieve developed artifacts which contain source code revisions and changes that were made to source code in the past. It is useful for inexperienced software developers to learn from previous experiences of their colleagues.

Strathcona is a heuristic tool which helps developer to learn from experience for problem solving, learning and discovering the solution [17],[18].This helps in guiding the programmer to learn things.

Like strathcona there are other technique which helps in retrieving programs according to the interest of developer [19], [20]. FRAN is one such a technique which helps programmer to locate a function looks similar to given function. And one more tool called XSnippet [21] which is a context sensitive tool helps developer in order to find code snippets of relevant programs [22].

3. Overview of Proposed System
The proposed system implements an important component semantic query evaluation. User provides query in terms of semantic description and the query evaluator does semantic query execution to provide the matching results.

There are two ranking models for ranking the results retrieved for quires of user; first one is Quality Of Match (QOM) which ranks the things by matching between overall goodness between the components [23] and another ranking model Component rank model (CRM), which analyze the actual usage relations of the components and propagating significance through usage relations [24],[25]. Unlike CRM, [26] this search engine uses a combination of API calls and relations between those API calls that helps in searching for more relevant projects.

Software architecture in Figure 2., gives a brief description about working of this source code search engine how it analyses the software application and categorize the applications which helps in retrieving more relevant applications.
4. Details of Proposed System
In this section, the detail explanation of proposed system is explained step by step

4.1 Semantic description
User expresses the query in terms of semantics of the application to be searched. The semantic relations considered for our work are

1. API calls
2. API data flow
3. Classes
4. Class relations
5. Comment texts
6. Iterations times

4.2 Query Execution
User semantic queries are executed to find the match in the repository of applications. Based on the number of matches the score is given by using a variable scoring strategy. Different semantic relations are given different score.

The score are given from highest to lowest in order of
API call – 25
API data flow - 20
The number of times matched is multiplied by its corresponding score and all semantic score are summed up to give the matching score. Applications are sorted in terms of their score from highest to lowest and result is provided.

5. Results
The accuracy of application search, the criteria used for measuring accuracy is how far a search query, how many relevant applications appear in the top 10 list. This is tested for different queries and measured the accuracy of the system and compared the results with Exemplar which is just based on API calls.

The proposed solution has better accuracy than Exemplar.
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**Figure 3:** Accuracy of predicting relevant application between API based and semantic based.

6. Conclusion
This paper addresses an API based search engine for predicting highly relevant applications. This search engine retrieve trivial results (more than one result) this helps in getting more relevant application related current software development task. By adding the semantic information to API helps in retrieve more accurate results.
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