H-Infinity Controller Design for a Continuous Stirred Tank Reactor
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Abstract

The paper presents a method for H-infinity controller design for a system affected by parametric uncertainty. The proposed method is based on minimising infinity norm of sensitivity function of the nominal system. The design approach is verified by robust stabilization and control of an unstable and perturbed continuous stirred tank reactor (CSTR) with hydrolysis of Propylene Glycol. The control input is volumetric flow rate of coolant and the controlled output is the temperature of CSTR. The reactor has three uncertain parameters and an unstable process, is controlled with the help of proposed controller. The results obtained justify that designed H-infinity controller provides good tracking and disturbance rejection performances.
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1-INTRODUCTION:

CSTR is a very essential and important plant for chemical process industry. The distinguished property of CSTR is that it possesses nonlinearity, potential safety problem and possibility to have multiple steady states. Moreover some of CSTRs parameters are not exactly known. These parameters are reaction rate constants, reaction enthalpies, heat transfer coefficients, etc [1, 2]. Hence, there is always some variation between mathematical model and true process. Because of all these facts CSTR is a very challenging plant from the control point of view and it is very important to design robust controllers for such uncertain and nonlinear plants like CSTR [3, 4].

H-infinity controller design methods are used in control theory to synthesize controllers achieving stability with guaranteed performance. To use H-infinity method a control designer expresses the control problem as a mathematical optimization
problem and then evaluates the controller parameters, these methods were introduced into control theory in the late 1970’s to early 1980’s by Zames [5] and Zames and Francis [6]. The aim of this paper is to design H-infinity controller for stabilization of a CSTR which is open loop unstable.

2-PROCESS DESCRIPTION:
The process under consideration is a continuous stirred tank reactor for production of propylene glycol from hydrolysis of propylene oxide with sulphuric acid as catalyst [1, 7]. The reaction is given by

\[ \text{CH}_2 - O - \text{CH} - \text{CH}_3 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{CH}_2\text{OH} - \text{CH}_2\text{OH} - \text{CH}_3 \] (1)

The simplified model of the CSTR system is governed by equation 3, 4 and 5. The mass balance of any component in reactor is given as

\[ \frac{dC_A}{dt} = \frac{F}{V} (C_{Af} - C_A) - k_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{RT} \right) C_A \] (3)

The reactor energy balance and effect of cooling jacket dynamics is given as

\[ \frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{F}{V} (T_f - T) + \frac{(-\Delta H)}{\rho C_p} k_0 \exp \left( -\frac{E_a}{RT} \right) C_A - \frac{UA}{V \rho C_p} (T - T_j) \] (4)

\[ \frac{dT_j}{dt} = \frac{F_j s}{V_j} (T_{jf} - T_j) + \frac{UA}{V_j \rho_j C_{pj}} (T - T_j) \] (5)

Where \( F \) is feed flow rate, \( C_A \) is molar concentration of feed, \( k_0 \) is the frequency factor, \( E_a \) is the activation energy, \( R \) is the ideal gas constant and \( T \) is the reactor temperature, \( \rho \) is the density, \( C_p \) is specific heat capacity, \( \Delta H \) is the heat of reaction, \( U \) is the heat transfer coefficient, \( A \) is the heat transfer area, \( T_f \) is the feed temperature, and \( T_j \) is the jacket temperature. When the reactor is operated at 85% of the design volume and with a desired conversion of 50% \( (C_{As}=0.066 \text{ lbmol}/\text{ft}^3) \), resulting in a reactor temperature of 101.10°F and jacket temperature of 80°F we obtain following state space model after linearising CSTR dynamics around steady state value. [8]

\[ \dot{X} = AX + BU \] (6)

\[ Y = CX + DU \] (7)

Where

\[ X = [C_A - C_{As} T - T_s T_j - T_{js}]^T, U = F_{jf} - F_{jfs}, Y = [T - T_s T_j - T_{js}]^T \]

and

\[ A = \begin{bmatrix} -7.9909 & -0.013674 & 0 \\ 2922.9 & 4.55674 & 1.4582 \\ 0 & 4.7482 & -5.8977 \end{bmatrix}, B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ -3.2558 \end{bmatrix}, C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D = 0 \]
3-CONTROLLER DESIGN:
Model uncertainties of the reactor follow from the fact that the three physical parameter \( \Delta H, k_0 \) and \( U \) are not known exactly. However it is assumed that their values are within certain known intervals and can be given as [9],
\[
\Delta H = \Delta \bar{H}(1 + p_{\Delta H} \delta_{\Delta H}), k_0 = \bar{k}_0(1 + p_{k_0} \delta_{k_0}), U = \bar{U}(1 + p_u \delta_u)
\]

Where \( \Delta \bar{H}, \bar{k}_0 \) and \( \bar{U} \) are the nominal values of respective parameters given in Table 1. \( p_{\Delta H}, p_{k_0} \) and \( p_u \) and \( \delta_{\Delta H}, \delta_{k_0} \) and \( \delta_u \) represent possible perturbation in these parameters. In the present case, \( p_{\Delta H} = 0.02, p_{k_0} = 0.09, p_u = 0.06 \) and \( -1 \leq \delta_{\Delta H}, \delta_{k_0}, \delta_u \leq 1 \), which represents 2%, 9% and 6% uncertainties in \( \Delta H, k_0 \) and \( U \) respectively. Taking all uncertainties into account the state space representation of CSTR is given as
\[
G_{CSTR} = \begin{bmatrix} A & B_1 & B_2 \\ C_1 & D_{11} & D_{12} \\ C_2 & D_{21} & D_{22} \end{bmatrix}
\]

Where
\[
A = \begin{bmatrix} -7.9909 & -0.013674 & 0 \\ 2922.9 & 4.55674 & 1.4582 \\ 0 & 4.7482 & -5.8977 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
B_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.09 & 0.00 & 0.09 & 0.00 \\ 4.35 & 0.01 & 0.00 & 65.9 & 0.01 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 \\ 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 & 0.06 & 0.00 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
B_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 \\ 0.00 \\ -3.2558 \end{bmatrix},
\]
\[
C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 3.99 & 732 & 0.00 & -3.99 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ 0.21 & 10.0 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 5.58 & 0.00 & 0.00 & -0.27 & -1.46 & 0.00 \\ 0.00 & 0.00 & -5.58 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 & 1.46 & 0.00 & 0.00 \end{bmatrix}^T,
\]
\[
D_{11} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_{12} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_{21} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_{22} = 0
\]

The uncertain behaviour of original system can be described by an upper LFT representation [10] with diagonal uncertainty matrix \( \Delta = diag(\delta_{\Delta H}, \delta_{k_0}, \delta_u) \) which is given as
\[
y = F_u(G_{CSTR}, \Delta)u
\]
Δ is an unknown matrix, which is called uncertainty matrix. Such type of representation of uncertainty is called structured uncertainty [11].

3.1. DESIGN REQUIREMENT OF CLOSED-LOOP SYSTEM:
The design objective for the CSTR system in this study is to design a controller \( K \) which ensures the robust stability and performance of the closed-loop system. Robust stability is achieved if the closed-loop system for all \( G = F_U(G_{CSTR}, \Delta) \), satisfies the performance criterion [12]

\[
\left\| \frac{W_p}{W_u} \right\|_{\infty} < 1 \tag{8}
\]

where \( W_p \) is the performance weighting function, \( W_u \) is control weighting function and \( \| \cdot \|_{\infty} \) is infinity norm. The block diagram of closed loop system showing the feedback structure is given in figure 3.

![Fig. 3. The block diagram of closed loop system](image)

4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION:
The H-infinity controller is synthesised with the help of MATLAB version R2011 (7.13.0.564). The obtained performance weighting function \( W_p \) is given as

\[
W_p = \frac{s + 6}{s^2 + 4.26s + 28.3}
\]

The control weighting function \( W_u \) is simply obtained as \( W_u = 10^{-2} \). The PID controller for comparative analysis is tuned with the help of Ziegler Nichols and Tyreus Luyben method. The tuning parameters are given in table1. Fig.4 shows the comparison of H-infinity controller to the PID controllers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Tuning parameters of PID controller</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ziegler Nichols</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tyreus Luyben</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The robustness of the controller is verified by performing set point tracking and disturbance rejection analysis. The set point is varied from 101.5 °F to 111 °F at 9 second and from 111 °F to 91 °F at 18 second. It is indicated from the results (fig.4) that H-infinity controller is better than the designed PID controllers. The disturbance of two units is introduced at 10th second and the results are shown in fig.5. The synthesised controller achieves infinity norm within specified range and it also stabilises the unstable perturbed CSTR.

![Fig. 4. Set point tracking for designed controllers](image)

![Fig. 5. Disturbance rejection analysis using designed controllers](image)

5. CONCLUSION:
In this paper, design of robust H-infinity controller to control unstable and uncertain CSTR is presented. The aim is to control the unstable reactor at its operating point in the presence of uncertainties and disturbance. The design of H-infinity controller is based on minimising the infinity norm of the sensitivity function to obtain the desired performance criterion. The designed controller is also tested for set point tracking and disturbance rejection. The synthesised controller ensures good disturbance attenuation and good transient response and achieved norm is within specified limits. Hence it is concluded that H-infinity controller is a robust and more efficient controller as compared to the PID controllers.
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