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Abstract

In the recent globally competitive manufacturing environment, the ardent need of the manufacturing system is performance in stipulated time. The manufacturing systems having inherent characteristics of flexibility, leads to the development of Flexible manufacturing systems (FMS) merging the ideology of flow shop and batch shop manufacturing system. Simulation is a most important and powerful analytical tools for process improvement and modeling of complex systems like flexible manufacturing systems (FMS). The planning, scheduling and control problems in (FMSs), are composed of a set of interrelated issues of part-type batching, machine grouping, part routing, tool loading, part input sequencing, resource assignment and utilization. The control decisions in an FMS must be capable of handling these diverse factors on a real-time basis simultaneously.

Because of the high investment costs of FMSs, choosing the worth control policy is of paramount importance. The control decisions in FMSs are dependent on an appropriate selection of the performance measures. In the Present work a review of simulation and the use of commercial packages have been explored and accordingly a conceptual model for the shop floor control system (SCFS) analyzing the control strategies have been developed and discussed.
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INTRODUCTION

Global manufacturing industries synthesized by shortened product life cycles and different product varieties are gradually changing from economies of scales to that of scope. Automation started at beginning of 20th century and increased productivity, quality thereby reduced the costs. Flexibility in manufacturing system responded for varying demand with different product variety and smaller production lots. Advent of flexible manufacturing systems (FMS) identifies this need for programmable automation thereby enabling higher productivity and quality.

FMS a system of semi-dependent workstations, controlled by an integrated computer system consists of number of processing stations interconnected by an automated material handling and automated storage/retrieval system. It efficiently manufactures different part types with low to medium volume. It is a set of independent machining centers. FMS provides the benefits of flexible job shops and the efficiency of large volume manufacturing (Siwamogsatham & Saygin, 2004). Asynchronous AGVs are widely used in large-scale FMSs for increasing the efficiency and reducing distribution costs. (Nishi et al, 2003; Barberaet al., 2003).

The complex work pieces with different operations on a variety of products are usually made due to versatile nature of FMS. The struggle for best quality, lower costs and shorter response time always pre dominates any competitive industry. Consequently products and production system become more complex with a large set of uncertainties. The decision making problems related to shop floor control in flexible manufacturing system are sensitive as compared to conventional manufacturing system. System integration, tight synchronization, and inter dependencies among components in FMS explore four stages i.e. design, planning, scheduling & control therefore requires immediate response to the changes in system state to be achieved by real time scheduling (RTS). Shop floor Control System (SFCS) or (PAC) production activity control in manufacturing system is well described by (Browne 1984). It essentially deals with priority control, allocation of shop orders, monitoring information on WIP for MRP ,shop order status, thereby providing output data for capacity control purpose. The shop floor control system should be designed to accomplish these functions. The major modules are order release concerning route sheets, material requirement job cards etc. order scheduling determines priority control and releases dispatch lists. Order progress accomplish data related to WIP, shop order status and capacity control.

The research paper is organized into four sections. Section two gives a review of the literature on the subject. Section three is on manufacturing flexibilities and section four covers the control modeling and discussions.
LITERATURE REVIEW

This research reviews the approaches which can be used for design and control of an FMS. A brief review on the design of FMS, scheduling, control system is hereunder.

The importance of flexibility has been explored extensively in the literature (Gerwin, 1983; Slack, 1987; Tombak, 1988). Among different types of flexibility referred in the literature, manufacturing flexibility is must, for coping with the internal and external disturbances in the organizations. Internal disturbance in the form of equipment breakdown, variable task times, queuing delays, rejects and rework (Buzacott and Handelbaum, 1985) and external disturbances attributes to uncertainty from changes or fluctuations in demand level, product prices, product mix etc.

The importance of simulation in studying the impact of system failures and delays on the output and cycle time of finished parts is presented by Williams (2002). Pate1 et al. (2002) used discrete event simulation (DES) for estimating and analyzing first time success rate, repair and service routing logic, process layout, capacity of testing equipment and random equipment breakdown in manufacturing processes. Rogers (2002) has used Opt Quest module of ARENA for optimization to manufacturing system design and control problems. Altinkilic (2004) uses Arena simulation for improvement in performance of shop floor. Chen (2002) used simulation as critical decision support tool in a chemical plant for logistics operations, capital equipment requirements. A new concept based on the object oriented approach, of discrete event modeling is used to simulate continuous production flow. Applications of simulation in the fields of military problems have been studied by Hill (2001) and Standridge (1999) for health care applications respectively. Graves and Higgins (2002) presented a combined simulation study for logistics and military requirements in transportation and maintenance. The simulation have been used as a tool for developing, evaluating and analyzing different policies, business practices and procedures within set of operational and business constraints of cargo operations facilities at Toronto Pearson Airport (Nsakanda and Turcotte, 2004).

MANUFACTURING FLEXIBILITY

Flexibility an inherent attribute and intangible asset of a manufacturing enterprise is a fuzzy word which is broadly used. A flexible system accommodates the ability to cope with customers’ preference changes. Mandelbaum (1978) explores action and state flexibility. In Action flexibility outside intervention is required before the system can respond to change, where as in state flexibility system’s capacity to respond to change is contained within the system. Carlsson (1989) discusses about Type-I and Type-II flexibility. Type-I flexibility accommodates known uncertainty and Type-II flexibility take care of unknown flexibility. Conversely, flexibility as multi-dimensional concepts within manufacturing functions which can be either reactive or proactive in nature as reported by Sethi and Sethi (1990); Hyun and Ahn (1992).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table-1: Classifications of Flexibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Machine flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Product flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Routing flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Volume flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Expansion flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Operation flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Production flexibility</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Flexibility is the ability to adapt to rapidly changing circumstances and processes as customer’s requirements without wasting production recourses. Flexibility deals with environmental uncertainty, (internal and external), faced by an organization. Slack (1983), reported the proactive nature of flexibility allowing the organization to ‘redesign market uncertainties’. Gerwin (1993). Cheng et al. (1997) reported three types of flexibilities, diversity flexibility to handle the variety of change, response flexibility to cope with the rate of change and volume flexibility for the magnitude of change whereas design flexibility is the incremental cost and the time of modifying a design. Three types of flexibility necessary flexibility, sufficient flexibility and competitive flexibility have been described. Sethi and Sethi (1990), proposes eleven types of flexibility adding three more that is material handling, program and market flexibility to this list of Brown et al. (1984). Koste and Malhotra (1999) describe ten types of flexibilities namely machine, material handling, routing, operation, expansion, labor, volume, mix, new product, and modification flexibility. Manufacturing flexibility as proposed by Brown et al. (1984) represents the most comprehensive classification and have been mentioned in the Table-1. The
interdependency of these flexibilities is shown in Figure-1.
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Figure 1: The interdependencies of Manufacturing Flexibilities


**SIMULATION METHODOLOGY**

Simulation is the process of designing a model of a real or imaginary system and conducting experiments for the purpose of either of understanding the behavior of the system or of evaluating various strategies (within the limits imposed by a criterion or set of criteria) for the operation of the system. Simulation, a numerical technique is an operating model of a real system for conducting the experiments & studying the behavior for performance evaluation. Three key elements decision, timing and information are essential for a successful simulation project. Simulation is used as a tool correct interpretation of simulation results and inappropriate use of simulation models. Mohammed Ali, Subhash Wadhwa (2005) explored the make-span performance of partial and total flexible systems considering different system variables using simulation modeling.
Simulation Software Packages

The various control strategies can be studied using Simulation analysis before one can suggest an optimum solution. Simulation can be used effectively for the design and operation of FMS as a decision support system for real time scheduling. A review of simulation work reveals that many real situations are modeled earlier using high level programming languages such as FORTRAN, PASCAL TURBO C etc. To name few more such SIMULA, SIMAN, GPSS, SIMSCRIPT have been reported by Kochhar (1989).

Table-2: Software Packages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of simulation tool</th>
<th>Web address</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AUTOMOD</td>
<td><a href="http://www.autosim.com">http://www.autosim.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROMODEL</td>
<td><a href="http://www.promodel.com">http://www.promodel.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARENA</td>
<td><a href="http://www.arenasimulation.com">http://www.arenasimulation.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWESIM</td>
<td><a href="http://www.pritsker.com">http://www.pritsker.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WITNESS</td>
<td><a href="http://www.lanner.com">http://www.lanner.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLEXSIM</td>
<td><a href="http://www.flexsim.com">http://www.flexsim.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXTEND</td>
<td><a href="http://www.imaginethatinc.com">http://www.imaginethatinc.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOLDSIM</td>
<td><a href="http://www.goldsim.com">http://www.goldsim.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAST</td>
<td><a href="http://www.cmsres.com">http://www.cmsres.com</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIMCAD</td>
<td><a href="http://www.createasoft.com">http://www.createasoft.com</a></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the recent times use of software packages have become more relevant to save the time, cost and efforts. Arena discrete event simulation software is used for simulation modeling of a complex manufacturing system. An experimental graphical model is built by placing modules in the graphic window, which represent processes or logic. Detail analysis of operations and flow is available.

Flexsim Software another powerful simulation analysis tool is used for making intelligent decisions in design and operations of manufacturing system. SIMPROCESS a hierarchical modeling tool combines processes mapping object oriented modeling, DES and process costing. A graphical drag and drop tool used for model development, process optimization, resources and product costing visualization of process dynamics and bottlenecks. Some of the user friendly softwares reported in the literature for manufacturing simulation are listed in Table II.

SIMULATION OF FLEXIBLE MANUFACTURING SYSTEM

Flexible manufacturing system (FMS) is a configuration of computer numerically controlled (CNC) machines with supporting workstations interconnected by conveyors/material handling systems and a centrally controlled by a computer. The four major subsystems of FMS are: automatic and reprogrammable machines, tool changing/delivery system, material handling system for loading and unloading parts in between machine and stations /finished parts and a computer control. The systems having few numbers of machines 2 to 3 are referred as flexible cells and with more numbers are referred as FMS. These systems are capital intensive and complex in nature from view point of routing the parts/machines and controlling the operations.

Figure 2: Sample Flexible Manufacturing System.
In the present study related to the dynamic environment of sample Flexible manufacturing system (FMS) has been configured as shown in Figure2. In this study FMS is comprised of six different workstations each with a local buffer at input and output. The system can manufacture ten different part types which arrives in the systems as work order. Each work order comprises of a set of different part types. To fabricate each part type three to six operations are required. Each machine is capable of performing different set of operations. The total numbers of different operations which could be performed on different machine are ten. Some of the operations can be performed by number of different machines. A central buffer and two AGVs are considered in the layout to meet the eventuality of bottleneck for satisfactory system performance.

CONTROL MODELING

According to Tang et al (1995) two kinds of decision are relevant in control modeling, firstly pre-release decision (sequencing decisions) and post release decisions (Dispatching). Pre-release decision deals with study of control framework at design stage, which ease management of resources and part types while post release decision deals with part routing while system is in operation. The decisions are real-time in nature and mostly deal with simulation studies. Mohammed Ali & Subhash Wadhwa (2010) found that there is the influence of control strategies on the performance of FSIM and observed that the impact on the system performance due to the system load condition is the largest, as that of the number of pallets.

### Table-3: Sequencing Rules

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FIFO</th>
<th>First in first out</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SPT</td>
<td>Shortest Processing time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRPT</td>
<td>Shortest Remaining Processing Time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part which arrives first on the machine is chosen for processing first.

This rule selects the part from the queue in the buffer with lowest processing time

\[
SPT = \min_{i \in S_J} (P_{ij})
\]

\[
P_{ij} = \text{Process time of the operation of } i_{th} \text{ job, } \\
i = \text{Job index,} \\
S_J = \text{Set of jobs in a queue}
\]

Selects of job for the next operation, having minimum remaining processing time. Mathematically

\[
SRPT = \min_{i \in S_J} \left( \sum_{j \in S_R_i} P_{ij} \right)
\]

\[
P_{ij} = \text{Processing time of the operation of } i_{th} \text{ job, } \\
i = \text{job index,} \\
S_J = \text{Set of jobs in queue} \\
S_R = \text{set of remaining operation of job } i
\]

Selects the part which has maximum balance processing time

\[
MBPT = \max_{i \in S_J} \left( \sum_{j \in S_R_i} P_{ij} \right)
\]

\[
P_{ij} = \text{Processing time of the operation of } i_{th} \text{ job, } \\
i = \text{job index,} \\
S_J = \text{Set of jobs in queue} \\
S_R = \text{set of remaining operation of job } i
\]

Sequencing rules

Sequencing rules are used for selecting a part for processing on a machine among the parts waiting in the buffer. Once the work order arrives in the system the decision for part launching is important.

The manufacturing lead time for different parts depends on the processes type, operation time resource /machine availability pallet fixture usage and level of parts congestion in the system. The following few sequencing rules considered prominently are shown in Table-3.

### Dispatching decisions

Selecting the adequate resource according to the capability is a challenging decision for effective resource utilization and optimal system performance. Dispatching decisions considered are mention at Table-4.

#### Table-4: Dispatching Decisions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MQMWT</th>
<th>Minimum queue with minimum waiting time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MINQ</td>
<td>Minimum queue at Buffer</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Part decides the machine with minimum queue length and waiting time in queue at buffer of all alternate machines.

Parts decides the machine having minimum queue length among all alternate machine

CONCEPTUAL MODEL

A conceptual model of the shop floor control system (SCFS) as shown in Figure 3 have been evolved for the sample FMS shown in Figure 2. This flexible manufacturing system under CIM domain having six numbers of workstations/machines have been considered along with two AGVs. The logical flow in this conceptual model is associated with physical flow of parts types. Each part type have been modeled as physical entities and for each physical entity a logical entity is associated. These entities are modeled based on input parameters (Design, Planning and Control) in order to evaluate the impact of manufacturing (machine, routing) flexibilities on the performance of flexible manufacturing system.
CONCLUSION

In the present paper an effort has been made to propose a methodology which allows integration between a simulation model, and physical model configuring to material flow, their physical characteristics and a logical model configuring to modeling of control system for the analysis of the performance of flexible manufacturing system using discrete event simulation modeling. The modeling of the control strategies has been outlined through a conceptual model which shall be useful to explore the development of CIM systems in phased manner with an emphasis on various flexibilities. Further CIM systems and the SFCS model acts as an expedient platform to study such issues. In this work a SFCS framework was described from a simulation perspective. The SCFM model focused on judicious use of manufacturing system design parameters. SFCS model thus offers the possibility for further investigation in the present methodology and can be explored considering integration between sequencing and dispatching decision with respect to the stochastic operation times and machine breakdowns.
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Figure 3: Developed Conceptual Models for SCFS.


