International Journal of Applied Engineering Research
ISSN 0973-4562 Volume 10, Number 6 (2015) pp. 16051-16060

http://www.ripublication.com

Ofdm Based Spectrum Sharing In Cognitive Radio Network For Interference Cancellation

Jashuva.D[1]

M.E 2nd year Embedded system, Sathyabama University

Logashanmugam.E[2]

M.E, Head Faculty of Electrical and Electronics, Sathyabama University

Abstract

In this project analyses spectrum sharing in cognitive radio networks, and perform the selection of relays models to reduce the interference of primary nodes and achieve the maximize the rate in secondary nodes. The trade-off between the secondary rate and the interference on the primary is also characterized. To consider a spectrum-sharing analysis they taken an Alternating Relay Protocol to investigate the performance and clustering (frame work) for to achieve an above mentioned aspects. Rayleigh fading is used to select the relay thus rate of transfer decrease per second. To increase the Rates of frequency by proposing with an algorithm of frequency selective fading with the help of this method reduce loss of data".

Keyterms: OFDM, Cognitive Radio Network, Adaptive Relaying Protocol, Amplify and Forward Relaying, Montecarlo Simulation, Multi Path Fading Channels.

Introduction

A cognitive radio is an intelligent radio that can be programmed and dynamically configured. Transceiver is designed to use best wireless channels in its vicinity. Accordingly changes its transmission or reception parameters to allow more concurrent wireless communications in a given spectrum band at one location.

An intelligent antenna (or smart antenna) is an antenna technology that uses spatial beam-formation and spatial coding to cancel interference; however, it is emerging to be extended for an intelligent multiple- or cooperative-antenna array so as to be applied to the recent complex communication environments.

We consider a cognitive radio network in which a multiple-access secondary system coexists with an automatic repeat request (ARQ)-based primary system under heavy primary traffic.

To achieve spectrum sharing without degrading the performance of the primary, the secondary transmitters work between cooperation and access modes based on a credit system. The secondary transmitters serve as potential relays in the cooperation mode.

These credits will then allow the secondary transmitters to gain spectrum access. An equal average throughput for the primary system compared to the case without spectrum sharing by proposed spectrum sharing.

Overview

SPECTRUM-SHARING allows unlicensed (secondary) users to share the spectrum of licensed (primary) users as long as the interference caused on the primary is tolerable. This problem is often formulated as maximizing the secondary rate subject to interference constraints on the primary, or as the dual problem of minimizing the interference on the primary subject to a fixed rate for the secondary. There are already a number of spectrum sharing solutions in the market that can work under defined circumstances. A spectrum-sharing approaches range from simple to extremely complex,achievable readily and in use today to extremely difficult with technologies yet to be developed by the secondary and the primary user of the transmission channel, in the fading channel of the system.



Figure 1: System model for spectrum-sharing systems.

Existing System

In the Existing, we have investigated the cognitive radio paradigm when multiple SUs and PUs share the same channel. For selecting the SU with the best channel conditions, the MUX technique has been considered in which average power constraints are imposed on the transmit power of the SU for providing optimal power allocation on the secondary links and PU protection on the primary links over fading channels. Rayleigh and Nakagami –m fading were considered deriving the corresponding PDFs and CDFs that were required for calculating the achievable average capacities and the outage probabilities. Existing Simulation results were provided in order to examine the effects of multiple SUs and PUs when MUX and optimal power allocation are employed in systems.

Problem definition

Due to fading high loss in data at Receiver.

Spectrum allocation for multiuser is not up to the level of distribution.

Furthermore, it has been observed that the fading environment in high power regions will give a slight increase in capacity and thus it does not have a significant impact on the achievable capacity and consequently on probability of outage.

A case for amplify- forward relaying in the block-fading

The proposed protocol, namely the multi-access relay amplify-forward, it allows for a low-complexity relay and achieves the optimal diversity multiplexing trade-off at high gains of multiplexing. The protocol reveals that it uniformly dominates the compress-forward strategy and further outperforms the dynamic decode-forward protocol at high gains of multiplexing. The Proposed Protocol's, interesting feature of is that, at high gain of multiplexing, it gives a multiple-input system of single-output, and at low gain of multiplexing, it provides each user with the same diversity-multiplexing trade-off as if there is no contention for the relay from the other users. Because several previous works on the multi-access relay channel (MARC) have focused on protocols that requires complicated signal processing at the main contribution is to proposes a linear relaying protocol.

Cognitive Radio Network Paradigms

Primary concern of cognitive radio is to ensure that cognitive user will not interfere with the licensed user while communicating in licensed spectrum. Based on available network and other regulations there are different approaches by which secondary user access spectrum without interfering with primary user.

Underlay Paradigm:

In this approach, secondary users simultaneously transmit with the primary users by maintaining endurable interference. This achieved by maintaining interference at primary receiver by secondary userscertain threshold.

Underlay approach uses interference temperature model for measuring interference level at primary receiver caused by secondary users and uses measured data to minimize the interference caused by secondary user. The interference problem caused by secondary users could also be solved by the use of multiple antennas by which secondary user transmission could be guided away from primary receiver. Another approach for interference to get reduced is the use of wide bandwidth on which secondary transmission could spread while dispreading signals at secondary receiver; this technique is also basis for spread spectrum and Ultra-wide-band (UWB) communication.

Overlay Paradigm:

In overlay technique interference is mitigated and in some cases completely cancelled as secondary user uses codebook information and messages .That the primary users assist secondary users for simultaneous transmission by using portion of their transmitting power. As the secondary user knows message and codebook to decode the message that it can apply various coding schemes so that data rate of both secondary and primary users could be improved using this information.

Proposed System



This paper studies a spectrum sharing network consisting of multiple primary nodes and a secondary system with M antenna source and destination, and n half-duplex relays. Unlike conventional relay networks the secondary relays must not only maximize the secondary rate.

- Spectrum sharing networks with distributed AF relaying to improve the secondary rate and reduce the interference on the primary. In the asymptote of large (number of relays) the optimal power strategy for the secondary source and relays was found, achieving a secondary rate proportionally to log n.
- The half-duplex rate loss was reduced and the scaling of secondary rate was enhanced by the introduction of the Alternating Relay Protocol.
- The trade-off between the secondary rate and the interference on the primary was characterized; our results show that even without cross channel

information at the secondary, the secondary rate can achieve the growth rate log n.

Frequency channel

By transmitting a wide bandwidth signal the spectrum results in a small power loss in signal, rather than a whole loss. Alternative is to split the transmission up into many small bandwidth carriers. The signal is transferred over a wide bandwidth thus; any nulls in the spectrum are unlikely to occur at all of the carrier frequencies.

This will result in only some of the carriers being lost, rather than the whole signal. The lost information will be recovered provided enough forward error corrections are sent. The current scarcity of spectrum for many types of services can be alleviated by dynamically sharing spectrum across a multitude of services.

That possibility motivates the consideration of “wideband” systems in which each user can choose from among a large number of coherence bands.

A primary challenge when the users are non-cooperative is the mitigation and control of interference. In this work we assume that the available spectrum is shared by several independent devices, which communicate synchronously with a central transceiver. Although the devices do not avoids interference from other devices and exploits available frequency diversity.

The achievable rate for a doubly-selective fading channel depends on what channel state information (CSI) is available at the receiver and transmitter. Namely, CSI at the receiver can increase the rate by allowing coherent detection, and but at the transmitter allows adaptive allocation of rate and power across sub-channels in addition to opportunistic scheduling between the users. The resources of scaling are limited by some threshold with high privacy requirements.

This information is all the more important given a wideband fading channel, which offers many degrees of freedom for diversity. Obtaining CSI at the receiver and/or transmitter typically requires overhead in the form of a pilot signal and feedback. Hence there is a fundamental tradeoff in allocating available resources between learning CSI and data transmission.

From the proposed system, we take concept of source (S), relay (i), and destination (D). This is the general outline of our system; here one more nodes called primary users are taking as a part.

The spectrum sharing network includes multiple primary nodes (Np) and secondary system with M antenna source and destination, and n single antenna half duplex relays. So the communication happens between source and destination via two hops. One is source to primary it done via relays and second hops between relay to destination. Multi hop relaying and cooperative communication is known to significantly mitigate interference and increase the throughput in many multiuser scenarios.

Spectrum Sharing With Alternating Relay Protocol

In this section the issues raised by the relay arise because relays cannot listen to the source because they transmits at the same time. Subset of relays activated for relaying the previously received information, the inactive relays are able to listen and receive information from the source, thus in principle the source can transmit continually and the half duplex loss can be mitigated. This is the basic idea of spectrum sharing with Alternating Relay Protocol, which is the subject of this section.

The protocol consists of L transmission frames. It is assumed the channel coefficient remains constant during each frame, but varies independently from frame to frame. The source transmits during frames 1,i.e L-1 up to L, and remains silent during frame.

Since the source transmits L−1 data segments during L time intervals, the rate loss induced by the half-duplex relaying is a factor of (L−1)/ L. The relays are partitioned into two groups G1 = {1 ≤ i ≤ n/2 } and G2 = {n/2 + 1 ≤ i ≤ n}. During even-numbered transmission frames a subset of the relays in G1 transmit to the destination, while the relays in G2 listen to the source.

During odd-numbered transmission frames, a subset of the relays in G2 transmits, while the relays in G1 listen. As shown later, each of the two relay groups asymptotically achieves a rate that grows as M/2 (L−1)/ L log n, thus the overall system has a rate that grows proportionally to M (L−1/L) log n.

Simulation Results



Figure 5.1: Relaying Section

In the figure 6.1.1, the graph is plotted between transmission rate of primary and secondary users versus number of relays used in the frequency fading channel. In this graphical representation three different variations are plotted. The three different variations are given as

a) Maximum minimum relay selection
b) Balanced maximum relay selection
c) Channel coefficient relay selection

In this graph it is represented that the data rate of the secondary users are increased as compared to other signal channels. The secondary source will manage its instantaneous interference to be smaller on all primary nodes by adjusting it are transmit power according to the largest cross-channel gain to the primaries.



Figure 5.2: BERvs SNR

In the figure 6.1.2, the graph is plotted between the average bit error ratio and signal to noise ratio in the fading channel. In this graphical representation represents the variation of bit error rate and the signal to noise ratio of direct transmission in the Rayleigh fading channel and the cooperative system transmission in the frequency fading channel.

And also the graph represents the bit error rate versus signal to noise ratio of two different values of channel co-efficient. The signal to noise ratio will decreased as compared to the direct transmission channel. The channel coefficient is one, it is nearly equal to the direct transmission i.e. Rayleigh fading channel. If the channel coefficient is two, it is nearly equal to the co-operative transmission i.e. frequency fading.



Figure 5.3: Sum rate vs SNR

In the figure 5.3 the graph plotted between the sum-rate and the source power present in the frequency selective fading channel.

Conclusion:

The existing one applied with cognitive radio network and selected relay selection to reduce the interference and improve thesecondary rate. With this parameter evaluation the power usage is high so cost of the system increased. Consider this criterion we change the network and apply to WSN, it achieves more effective work for PU and SU's strategies.

Spectrum sharing networks with distributedAF relaying to improve the secondary rate and reduce the interference on the primary. In the asymptote of large *n* (number of relays) the optimal power strategy for the secondary source and relays was found, achieving a secondary rate proportionally to log *n*.

The half-duplex rate loss was reduced and the scaling of secondary rate was enhanced by the introduction of the Alternating Relay Protocol. The trade-off between the secondary rate and the interference on the primary was characterized. The secondary rate can achieve the growth rate log *n*.

References

[1] B¨olcskei.H, Nabar.R, Oyman.O, and Paulraj.A, “Capacity scaling laws in MIMO relay networks,” IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication., vol. 5, no. 6, pp. 1433–1444, June 2006.

[2] Chen.D,Azarian.K, and Laneman.J, “A case for amplify-forward relaying in The block-fading multiple-access channel,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 54, No. 8, pp. 3728–3733, Aug. 2008.

[3] Ghasemi.A and E. Sousa.S, “Fundamental limits of spectrum-sharing in Fading environments,” IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 649–658, Feb. 2007.

[4] Gastpar.M, “On capacity under receive and spatial spectrum-sharing constraints,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 53, no. 2, pp. 471–487, Feb. 2007.

[5] Mietzner.J, Lampe.L, and Schober.R, “Distributed transmit power allocation for multi hop cognitive-radio systems,” IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication., vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 5187–5201, Oct. 2009

[6] Lee.J, H. Wang, J. Andrews, and D. Hong, “Outage probability of cognitive relay networks with interference constraints,” IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication., vol. 10, no. 2, pp. 390–395, Feb. 2011.

[7] Le´veˆque.O, and Tse.D, “Hierarchical cooperation achieves Optimal capacity scaling in ad hoc networks,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 53, No. 10, pp. 3549–3572, Oct. 2007.

[8] Scaglione.A and Hong.Y, “Opportunistic large arrays: cooperative Transmission in wireless multihop adhoc networks to reach far distances,” IEEE Trans. Signal Process., vol. 51, no. 8, pp. 2082–2092, Aug. 2003.

[9] Zhao.G, Ma, G. Li.J,Wu.T, Kwon.Y, A. Soong, and “Spatial spectrum holes for cognitive radio with relay-assisted directional transmission,” IEEE Trans. Wireless Communication., vol. 8, no. 10, pp. 5270–5279, Oct. 2009.