

Green approach of *in - situ* Chemical Immobilization of Lead in metal - Contaminated Soils of NCT of Delhi Using Coal Fly Ash

**¹Mamta Chhabra Sharma, ²Reena Saxena, ³Sandeep K Sharma
and ⁴Suneeti Saxena Singh**

^{1,2,3}*Department of Chemistry, ⁴Project assistant*
^{1,2,4}*Kirori Mal College, University of Delhi, Delhi – 110007*
³*Rajdhani College, University of Delhi, New Delhi – 110015*

Abstract

The ubiquitous nature of heavy metals, their toxicity in trace quantities, and their tendency for bioaccumulation in the food chain make it pertinent to develop schemes to immobilize metals from wastewater and soils. Remedial measures required to clean up metal contaminated sites are often complex and economically unsustainable. Green techniques like *in - situ* immobilization of the metal ions e.g., Pb, Zn and Cd by green amendments like coal fly ash is promising and economically feasible technique. In this connection a bench scale investigation has been carried out to access the potential use of the amendments for immobilizing these metal ions in severely contaminated sites in Delhi. Parallel experiments have been carried out in columns with unamended and amended soil samples. Results have indicated that metal content in percolating waters are drastically diminished when amendments are admixed with soils.

Contaminated sites are the legacy of a long period of industrialization involving unconsidered production and handling of hazardous substances and unregulated dumping of heavy metal wastes. Metal contamination needs to be characterized on varying temporal and spatial scales. Keeping this object in mind, soil used for this study was collected from a Pb contaminated site in an industrial area of Naraina in the NCT of Delhi. EPA Method 3051 is commonly regarded as a regulatory alternative to Method 3050. This method is a rapid and accurate dissolution technique for soil metal ions. This technique is time saving and energy efficient. No acid fumes are generated. For all these reasons the above technique can be considered a green technique.

Key Words: Green Chemistry, soil matrix, amendments, immobilization, geochemical stabilization, coal fly ash (CFA)

Introduction

Green Chemistry is a pulling together of tools, techniques and technologies that can help chemists in research and production to develop more eco-friendly and efficient products and processes. The definition of green chemistry as ‘the utilization of a set of principles that reduces or eliminates the use or generation of hazardous substances in the design, manufacture and application of chemical products’, is now widely accepted. Keeping this object in mind the current investigation involving heavy metals and their remediation techniques has been undertaken.

Heavy metals are often problematic environmental pollutants, with well-known toxic effects on living systems. Nevertheless, because of certain useful physical and chemical properties, some heavy metals, including mercury, lead, and cadmium are intentionally added to certain consumer and industrial products such as batteries, switches, circuit boards, and certain pigments. Usage patterns of heavy metals in products have varied over the years. The problems of soil pollution with heavy metals and organic pollutants are subject of investigation in all countries of Asia including India. Their correct solution requires the application of very precise analytical methods and reproducibility of the different pollutants determination in soil and the definition of reliable criteria for the estimation of the pollution degree that are compatible with the concrete conditions. Unfortunately, reliable analytical techniques and adequate sampling of media containing potential releases from waste disposal sites are only decades old, and so meaningful long term data on the fate of heavy metals in wastes do not exist. The rapid and accurate determination of heavy metals in environmental matrices such as soil has led to the development and continuous improvement of various analytical methods concerning both sample digestion and the choice of most appropriate instrumental techniques to obtain exhaustive chemical information in shortest time possible. At present, there are various official methods which are applying different acid mixtures and microwave heating systems. In this report we wish to compare these open flask hot plate and microwave assisted closed flasks methods when applied to Delhi soils.

The bioavailability of heavy metals in soil depends on their concentration in the soil solution and on the release of these ions from the solid soil phase (Krishnamurti, 1999). Depending on sewage-sludge characteristics and heavy metal content, application of sewage sludge may increase or decrease the soluble heavy metal fraction in soil and may affect their transfer into the food chain (Moreno et al., 1997). Reducing the heavy metals solubility and bioavailability without removing them from contaminated soils is one measure that could weaken detrimental heavy metal impacts on the environment (Ma and Rao, 1997). Immobilization can be achieved by adding natural and synthetic additives such as phosphate minerals, Fe and Mn oxides, aluminosilicates, and coal fly ash (Mench et al., 1998).

Within the frame of a comprehensive laboratory investigation aimed at identifying low cost metal immobilizing agents such as certain industrial waste products, the

authors explored the possibility of using coal fly ash. The effectiveness of green amendment, coal fly ash in immobilizing metals has been demonstrated for heavily contaminated soils lifted from industrial areas of Delhi by undertaking initial batch studies. Laboratory experiments have been underway for over a year now in columns containing soils mixed with CFA and the as received soil as controls. The Pb, Zn and Cd contents of the eluates are determined periodically as well as pH and conductivity. The results so far demonstrate that heavy metal content in the leachates drastically reduced upon addition of CFA. (Mench1998)

Materials and Methods

Site Characterization

The soil used for this study was collected from a Pb contaminated site in an industrial area of Naraina and Mayapuri in the NCT of Delhi. Industrial activities, which included a recycling operation for lead batteries, industrial activities, which included paints and recycling operation for lead batteries, have all contributed to the contamination of this site.

The total Pb concentrations in the soil ranged from 1875 to 6625 mg kg⁻¹. Lead concentration decreased with soil depth, with the majority of the Pb present near the soil surface (0–20 cm).

Soil analysis

Experimental procedures

Soil samples were collected from the top 20 cm at the industrial sites at Narayana. They were collected from a location where high concentrations of Pb are present. They were air-dried, sieved through a 2-mm stainless steel sieve and stored at room temperature. The soil sample was thoroughly mixed to ensure uniformity.

Metal Concentration

They were then digested using the microwave digestion procedure (USEPA Method 3051) for total Pb concentration using Scientific microwave *Anton Paar Multiwave 3000*. The clean soil sample was collected from Himalayan region. Concentrations of Pb, in the extracts were analyzed with AAS (acetylene air flame) (*Perking Elmer A Analyst -100*) with addition calibration.

Electrochemical properties

Electric conductivity (EC) and pH were measured in water suspensions and in 0.01 M CaCl₂. (*Elico CM 180* and *Elico LI 127*)

The Pb contents and pH in the clean and contaminated soils were 165 and 6625 mg kg⁻¹, and 7.5 and 8.11, respectively.

Clay content

The clay content was determined by sedimentation analysis using a hydrometer after dispersion in sodium polyphosphate.

Analytical procedure for remediation

Batch test leaching for CFA amendment

10 g air dried soil was mixed with the amendment (for CA1, 1g CFA and for CA2, 2.5g CFA) according to the factorial design and left at room temperature for two weeks to equilibrate at humidity level corresponding to 50% of the soil water holding capacity. Such samples were prepared in triplicate along with samples without amendment. These samples were then filled into 250 mL PE bottle and mixed with 110 mL and water acidified to pH 4 using HNO₃ at a liquid to solid ratio (L/S) 10L kg⁻¹. Samples were shaken for 24 h using an orbital shaker. pH and conductance were measured in unfiltered samples. The treated and untreated samples were leached with 400-500 ml (560-750 mm annual rainfall) of artificial rain water under a saturated flow regime, collecting successive leach fractions of 25 ml using a fraction collector. Leachates were filtered through 0.45 µm membrane filters. The supernatant was analyzed for metals as described above.

Result and Discussion

Green Analytical Technique

To adequately restore or protect soil ecosystems there is a great need to characterize soils suspected or presumed to be contaminated with heavy metals. Metal contamination needs to be characterized on varying temporal and spatial scales. Keeping this object in mind, soil used for this study was collected from a Pb contaminated site in an industrial area of Narayana in the NCT of Delhi. To properly assess metal health hazard in soils, several sample preparation methods have been evaluated in the past to calculate soil screening levels for the soil-plant-human pathways. Acid digestion and thermal decomposition steps can result in analyte losses, incomplete recoveries, and/or sample contamination. EPA digestion method 3051a (microwave, HNO₃-HCl, total-recoverable) widely applicable for adapting the prescription-based method to create a performance-based method and permit newer technological implementations such as microwave heating (Lorentzen and Kingston, 1996). The technique provides rapid, safe, and efficient digestion and is not susceptible to losses of volatile metals (Hewitt and Reynolds, 1990). It has thus been adopted by the EPA (EPA Methods 3051 and 3051a) to extract metals from sludge, soil or sediment (EPA, 1995b; 1997). EPA Method 3051 is commonly regarded as a regulatory alternative to Method 3050 (EPA, 1995b; Lorentzen and Kingston, 1996). It is a rapid and accurate dissolution technique for soil metal ions. This technique is time saving and energy efficient. No acid fumes are generated. For all these reasons the above technique can be considered a green technique.

Selected chemical properties of the collected surface soil (0-20 cm) are listed in Table 1. The soil is very sandy, with a pH of 8.11, which is within the range typical of Delhi soils. Lead was the main contaminant with a concentration of 6625 mg kg⁻¹, which exceeds the critical level for industrial soils (1,400 mg kg⁻¹). Generally, Pb, was concentrated on the surface soil (0-20 cm) and their concentrations decreased with soil depth. However, a substantial amount of Pb (>2,000 mg kg⁻¹) was found at

depths below 30 cm. In the long run, it is possible that the metals may leach downward to the subsurface soil (Cao et al., 2003, Chen M. et. al 1999, Chen X. et. al., 1997, Cotter-Howells J. 1996a, Cotter-Howells J, 1996b). For comparison the lead concentration using conventional hot plate method has also been given in the table 1. Results indicate that the conventional hot plate methods do not give a complete estimation of metal ions in soil. There is loss of material, acid fumes are generated.

Green Amendment for Soil Remediation

Soil amelioration with materials similar to natural soil constituents (*e.g.* oxides, organic matter) can reduce metal mobility, while contributing to the recovery of soil quality. For the soil stabilization to be successful, amendments that can adsorb, complex, (co)precipitate contaminants, or a combination thereof, should be selected. Soil treatment application *in situ* also requires that chosen amendments have no reverse effects on soil properties and biota. Soluble contaminants are subject to migration with soil water, uptake by plants or aquatic organisms, or loss due to volatilization into the atmosphere. In recent years, attention has focused on the development of *in situ* (in place) immobilization methods that are generally less expensive and disruptive to the natural landscape, hydrology, and ecosystems than are conventional excavation, treatment, and disposal methods. *In situ* immobilization of metals using inexpensive amendments such as waste by-products (*e.g.*, coal fly ash) are promising alternatives to current remediation methods. This technique relies on a fundamental understanding of the natural geochemical processes governing the speciation, migration, and bioavailability of metals in the environment. In polluted soils, metals can be dissolved in solution, held on inorganic soil particles, complexed with organic soil components, or precipitated as pure or mixed solids. Metals in soil may be associated with various phases that are reactive, semi-reactive or non-reactive. The risk to the environment from contaminated soil cannot be assessed by simply considering the total amount of potentially toxic metals within the soil because these metals are not necessarily completely mobile or bioavailable. The main goal of *in situ* remediation techniques is to reduce the fraction of toxic elements that is potentially mobile or bioavailable. Environmental mobility is the capacity for toxic elements to move from contaminated materials to any compartment of the soil or groundwater. Bioavailability refers to the fraction of a contaminant that can be taken into any biological entity, be it plant, earthworm, or human. Depending on the chemical form in which a contaminant occurs, it may range from being totally bioavailable to less bioavailable. All additives in this case caused a reduction in the heavy metal ions lability, ranging from 95% to 100% compared to the control.

Remediation using CFA

The pH of the solutions after amendments has been presented in table 2. The results of the bench scale studies have been tabulated in table 3 and presented in Fig. 1. Several studies have demonstrated coal fly ash (CFA) to efficiently reduce trace element mobility in soil (Gorman *et al.*, 2000; Ciccu *et al.*, 2002). Coal fly ash is capable of immobilizing Pb, Cd, Cu and Zn effectively from aqueous solutions. Among the three metals studied, the metals sorbed by the ash decreased in the order: Pb > Cd > Zn.

Significant reductions in the leaching of Cd by 89% and Pb by 100% were observed in the soil treated with CFA alone. 85% reduction was observed for Zn. Although an exact mechanism of element retention by CFA is unclear, the main reasons are believed to be (I) an increase in pH causing the precipitation of insoluble phases and (II) an increase in a specific surface area, promoting metal sorption via surface complexation, cation exchange reactions or both. This suggests that immobilization is fundamentally related to the neutralization of the soils and to a decreased aggressivity of the leach solution by strongly alkaline fly ash. The initial attack of the leach solution solution is able to solublize the metals that once in solution precipitate in the form of hydroxides in as the liquid phase becomes alkaline. Metal sorption by the ash was probably due to ion exchange between metal ions in the aqueous solutions and Ca^{2+} on the surfaces of calcite and gypsum in the ash, which resulted in the formation of calcite-gypsum-like minerals.

Table 1: Selected physicochemical properties of the contaminated and control soil samples*

REFEREN CE SOIL	pH ^a	Conductance (μS)	OM ^b	Sand	Silt	Clay	Pb _T ^c (mg kg ⁻¹)	Zn _T ^c (mg kg ⁻¹)	Cd _T ^c (mg kg ⁻¹)
Contaminat ed sample NARA	8.23	0.42	2.72	76.5	5	18.5	6625 1352	17730 5435	402 207
Controlled sample HIM	7.55	0.23	3.51	77.5	7.5	15	165 63	704 352	15 3.5

* Data represent an average of twelve replicates with a standard deviation.

^a pH was determined with a 1:1 ratio of soil/water.

^b Organic matter.

^c Total concentration,

Concentrations given in red colored font have been determined using hot plate method

Table 2: pH of soil after treatment.

SOIL	CA0	CA1	CA2
NARA (0-10cm)	8.25	8.55	8.62
NARA (10-20cm)	8.18	8.53	8.60
NARA (20-30cm)	8.15	8.42	8.65
HIM (top soil)	7.55	8.15	8.03


CA0 – no CFA amendment

CA1 – 1g CFA

CA2 – 2.5 g CFA

Table 3: Pb, Zn and Cd concentrations in Bench leachates after CFA amendment after 2 weeks.

TREATMENT	LEACHATE CONCENTRATION		
	Pb ($\mu\text{g L}^{-1}$)	Zn (mg L^{-1})	Cd (mg L^{-1})
CA1	ND	2479.5	35.5
CA2	ND	2328.2	39.1

**Figure 1**

Conclusion

To properly assess metal health hazard in soils, out of the several sample preparation methods evaluated to calculate soil screening levels for the soil-plant-human pathways, EPA method 3051b is commonly regarded as a regulatory alternative to Method 3050. It is a green analytical procedure as very less amounts of reactants are required. It is less time consuming, energy saving and no acid fumes are generated.

The stabilization of soils contaminated with Pb, Zn and Cd (soil NARA) as assessed by the bench experiment significantly reduced the leaching of all analyzed elements. The treatment efficiency decreased in the following order: Pb > Cd > Zn in soil. Even if stabilization does not alter the contamination level of soil, it reduces the risk contaminants pose to human health and the environment by modifying the element mobility and availability, and thus allowing a step towards a non-toxic environment. By reducing contaminant mobility (migration potential) the risk class of a site is also reduced. The stabilization method applied at moderately contaminated sites offers a considerably lower cost alternative to conventional remediation techniques while delivering environmentally acceptable results and should therefore be more appealing to the public.

Acknowledgement

The authors want to acknowledge **Department of Science and Technology** for providing the financial assistance in completing this work.

References

- [1] Cao, X., L.Q. Ma, M. Chen, D. Hardison, and W. Harris. **2003**. Lead transformation and distribution in Florida shooting range soils. *Sci. Total Environment*. 307:179 -189.
- [2] Chen M, Ma LQ, Harris WG, Hornsby A. **1999**. Background concentrations of 15 trace elements in Florida surface soils. *J. Environ. Qual.* 28(4): 1173-81.
- [3] Chen, M. and L.Q. Ma. 2001. Comparison of three aqua regia digestion methods for analyzing 16 elements in soils *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J.* 65:491-499.
- [4] Ciccu, R., Ghiani, M., Serci, A., Fadda, S., Peretti, R., Zucca, A. (2002). Heavy metal immobilisation in the mining-contaminated soils using various industrial wastes. *Minerals Engineering*, 16: 187-192.
- [5] Cotter-Howells J. **1996**. Lead phosphate formation in soils. *Environmental Pollution* 93(1): 9-16.
- [6] Gorman, J.M., Sencindiver, J.C., Horvath, D.J., Singh, R.N., Keefer, R.F. (2000). Erodibility of fly ash used as a topsoil substitute in mineland reclamation. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 29: 805-811.
- [7] Hettiarachchi, G., G. Pierzynski, and M. Ransom. **2000**. In situ stabilization of soil lead using phosphorus and manganese oxide. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34:4614-4619.
- [8] Hewitt, A. D. and C. M. Reynolds (1990) Dissolution of metals from soils and sediments with a microwave-nitric acid digestion technique. *Atomic Spectroscopy*, 11:187-192.
- [9] Lorentzen Elke; Kingston H. M., "A Comparison of Microwave Assisted and Conventional Leaching Using EPA Method 3050B", *Analytical Chemistry*, vol. 68, 24, **1996**.

- [10] Mench, M., Vangroensveld., J., Lepp, N. M., Edwards, R. (1998): Physico-chemical aspects and efficiency of trace element immobilization by soil amendments, in Vangroensveld, J., Cunningham, S. D. (eds.): Metal-contaminated soils. *Springer, Berlin*, pp. 151–182.
- [11] Mench, M. J., Didier, V. L., Löffler, M., Gomez, A., Masson, P. (1994): A mimicked in-situ remediation study of metal-contaminated soils with emphasis on cadmium and lead. *J. Environ. Qual.* 23, 58–63.
- [12] Moreno, J. L., Garcia, C., Hernandez, T. , Ayuso, M. (1997): Application of composted sewage sludges contaminated with heavy metals to an agricultural soil. *Soil Sci. Plant Nutr.* 43, 565–573.
- [13] U.S.EPA Method 3051A Test Methods for evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods, 3rd edition, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste. *U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1994.*
- [14] U.S.EPA Method 3050B Test Methods for evaluating Solid Waste, Acid digestion of Sediments, Sludges and Soils, 3rd edition, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Solid Waste. U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C., 1986.