

**Some identities of symmetry for  
the degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials  
under symmetry group of degree  $n$**

**Taekyun Kim**

*Department of Mathematics,  
Kwangwoon University, Seoul 139-701, S. Korea.*

**D. V. Dolgy**

*Hanrimwon, Kwangwoon University,  
Seoul 139-701, Republic of Korea.  
Institute of Natural Sciences,  
Far Eastern Federal University, Vladivostok 690950, Russia.*

**Lee-Chae Jang**

*Graduate School of Education,  
Konkuk University, Seoul 143-701, Republic of Korea.*

**Hyuck-In Kwon**

*Department of Mathematics,  
Kwangwoon University,  
Seoul 139-701, Republic of Korea.*

**Jong-Jin Seo**

*Department of Mathematics Applied Mathematics,  
Pukyong National University,  
Busan, Republic of Korea.  
Department of Mathematics,  
Kwangwoon University,  
Seoul 139-701, Republic of Korea.*

## Abstract

Recently, Kim-Kim Introduced some interesting identities of symmetry for  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under symmetry group of degree  $n$ . In this paper, we study the degenerate  $q$ -Euler polynomials and derive some identities of symmetry for these polynomials arising from the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

**AMS subject classification:** 11B68, 11S80, 05A19, 05A30.

**Keywords:** Identities of symmetry, degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomial, Symmetry group of degree  $n$ ,  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $p$  be a fixed odd prime number. Throughout this paper,  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  and  $\mathbb{C}_p$  will denote the ring of  $p$ -adic integers, the field of  $p$ -adic rational numbers and the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}_p$ . The  $p$ -adic norm  $|\cdot|_p$  is normalized as  $|p|_p = \frac{1}{p}$ . Let  $q \in \mathbb{C}_p$  be an indeterminate such that  $|1 - q|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ . The  $q$ -analogue of the number  $x$  is defined by  $[x]_q = \frac{1 - q^x}{1 - q}$ . Let  $f(x)$  be uniformly differentiable function on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Then the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is defined by Kim to be

$$\begin{aligned} I_q(f) &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) \mu_q(x + p^N \mathbb{Z}_p) \\ &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[p^N]_q} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x) q^x, \quad (\text{see [13]}). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

In [1], L. Carlitz considered  $q$ -analogue of Bernoulli numbers which are given by recurrence relation to be

$$\beta_{0,q} = 1, \quad q(q\beta_q + 1)^n - \beta_{n,q} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } n = 1 \\ 0, & \text{if } n > 1, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

with the usual convention about replacing  $\beta_q^n$  by  $\beta_{n,q}$ . He defined  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials as

$$\beta_{n,q}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} q^{lx} \beta_{l,q} [x]_q^{n-l}, \quad (\text{see [1, 13]}). \quad (3)$$

In [13], Kim proved that the Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials are represented as the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  which are given by

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x + y]_q^n d\mu_q(y) = \beta_{n,q}(x), \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (4)$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $\beta_{n,q} = \beta_{n,q}(0)$  are the Carlitz  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers.

In [2], L. Carlitz also introduced the degenerate Bernoulli polynomials which are given by the generating function to be

$$\frac{t}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}^*(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{5}$$

Note that  $\lim B_{n,\lambda}^*(x) = B_n^*(x)$ , where  $B_n(x)$  are ordinary Bernoulli polynomials (see [1-10]). When  $x = 0$ ,  $B_{n,\lambda}^* = B_{n,\lambda}^*(0)$  are called the degenerate Bernoulli numbers. Recently, Kim-Kim introduced (fully) degenerate Bernoulli polynomials which are derived from the  $p$ -adic invariant integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  as follows:

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x+y}{\lambda}} d\mu_q(x) = \frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}}}{(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} \quad (\text{see [7]}), \tag{6}$$

where  $\lambda, t \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|\lambda|_p \leq 1$ ,  $|t|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ , and

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_q(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} f(x) d\mu_1(x) = \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{p^N} \sum_{x=0}^{p^N-1} f(x).$$

The (fully) degenerate Bernoulli polynomials are defined by the generating function to be

$$\frac{\log(1 + \lambda t)}{\lambda(1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} - \lambda} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{x}{\lambda}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n,\lambda}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} \quad (\text{see [7]}). \tag{7}$$

Note that Kim's degenerate Bernoulli polynomials are slightly different from the Carlitz's degenerate Bernoulli polynomials.

From (6) and (7), we note that

$$\lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \frac{x+y}{\lambda} \right)_n d\mu_1(x) = B_{n,\lambda}(x) \quad (n \geq 0), \tag{8}$$

where  $(x)_0 = 1$ ,  $(x)_n = x(x-1) \cdots (x-n+1)$ ,  $(n \geq 1)$ .

In [16], Kim considered degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials which are given by the generating function to be

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}[x+y]_q} d\mu_q(y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \tag{9}$$

When  $x = 0$ ,  $\beta_{n,\lambda,q} = \beta_{n,\lambda,q}(0)$  are called (fully) degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers. Note that  $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \beta_{n,\lambda,q}(x) = \beta_{n,q}(x)$ ,  $(n \geq 0)$ .

In this paper, we give some identities of symmetry for the degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under symmetry group of degree  $n$  arising from the  $p$ -adic  $q$ -integral on  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

## 2. Identities of symmetry for the degenerate $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials

We assume that  $\lambda, t \in \mathbb{C}_p$  with  $|\lambda|_p \leq 1, |t|_p < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}$ . In this section, let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be positive integers. For  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j]_q} d\mu_{q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_n p^N]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}} \\
 & \quad \times \sum_{y=0}^{w_n p^N - 1} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j]_q} q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} y} \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_n p^N]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}} \sum_{k_n=0}^{w_n - 1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} (k_n + w_n y)} \\
 & \quad \times (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) (k_n + w_n y) + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j]_q}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

From (10), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l - 1} q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j} \\
 & \quad \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j]_q} d\mu_{q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 &= \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[w_1 \cdots w_n p^N]_q} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l - 1} \sum_{k_n=0}^{w_n - 1} \sum_{y=0}^{p^N - 1} q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} (k_n + w_n y) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j w_n} \\
 & \quad \times (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} w_j) (k_n + w_n y) + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} (\prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i) k_j]_q}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

It is easy to show that (11) is invariant under any permutation in the symmetry group of degree  $n$ . Therefore, by (11), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n$  be positive integers. Then, the following expressions

$$\frac{1}{[w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_{\sigma(l)}-1} q^{w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right) k_j} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [w_{\sigma(1)} w_{\sigma(2)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)} y + \sum_{j=1}^n w_j x + w_{\sigma(n)} \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j]}_q d\mu_q^{w_{\sigma(1)} w_{\sigma(2)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}(y)$$

are the same for any permutation  $\sigma$  in the symmetry group of order  $n$ .

It is not difficult to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[ w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 w_2 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q \\ &= [w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q \left[ y + w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right]_q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1}} \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From (12), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1} y + w_1 \cdots w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j]}_q d\mu_q^{w_1 w_2 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}{\lambda} \left[ y + w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right]}_q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}} d\mu_q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( 1 + \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q t \right)^{\frac{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}{\lambda} \left[ y + w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right]}_q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}} \\ & \times d\mu_q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}(y) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^m \beta_{m, \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left( w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.1 and (13), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.2.** For  $m \geq 0, w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned} & [w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q^{m-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_{\sigma(l)}-1} q^{\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_{\sigma(i)} \right) k_j w_{\sigma(n)}} \\ & \times \beta_{m, \frac{\lambda}{[w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q}, q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}} \left( w_{\sigma(n)} x + \frac{w_{\sigma(n)}}{w_{\sigma(1)}} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_{\sigma(n)}}{w_{\sigma(n-1)}} k_{n-1} \right) \end{aligned}$$

are the same for any permutation  $\sigma$  in the symmetry group of order  $n$ .

From (9), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \beta_{n,\lambda,q}(x) \frac{t^n}{n!} &= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + \lambda t)^{\frac{1}{\lambda}[x+y]_q} d\mu_q(y) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{[x+y]_q}{n}_{\lambda} d\mu_q(x) \lambda^n t^n \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \frac{[x+y]_q}{\lambda} \right)_n d\mu_q(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

By comparing the coefficients on the both sides of (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{n,\lambda,q} &= \lambda^n \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \frac{[x+y]_q}{\lambda} \right)_n d\mu_q(x) \\ &= \lambda^n \sum_{m=0}^n S_1(n, m) \lambda^{-m} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [x+y]_q^m d\mu_q(y) \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^n S_1(n, m) \lambda^{n-m} \beta_{m,q}(x). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where  $\beta_{m,q}(x)$  are called Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials.

Now, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} &\left[ y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \\ &= \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}} + q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} [y + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

By (15), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta_{m, \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left( w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right) \\
 &= \left( \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^m \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left( \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{-1} \left[ y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_q^l d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 &= \left( \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^m \sum_{l=0}^m S_1(m, l) [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^l \lambda^{-l} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left[ y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_q^l d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{17}$$

From (16), we can derive the following equation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \left[ y + w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right]_q^l d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^l \binom{l}{s} \left( \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{l-s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{l-s} q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\
 &\quad \times \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} [y + w_n x]_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}^s d\mu_{q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(y) \\
 &= \sum_{s=0}^l \binom{l}{s} \left( \frac{[w_n]_q}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q} \right)^{l-s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{l-s} q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\
 &\quad \times \beta_{s, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(w_n x).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{18}$$

By (17) and (18), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\beta_{m, \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left( w_n x + \frac{w_n}{w_1} k_1 + \cdots + \frac{w_n}{w_{n-1}} k_{n-1} \right) \\
 &= \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} S_1(m, p) \lambda^{m-p} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{s-m} [w_n]_q^{p-s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_{q^{w_n}}^{p-s} \\
 &\quad \times q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \beta_{s, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}}(w_n x).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{19}$$

From (19), we note that

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{m-1} \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} q^{w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \\
 & \quad \times \beta_{m, \frac{\lambda}{[w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q}, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} \left( w_n x + w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{k_j}{w_j} \right) \\
 & = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} S_1(m, p) \lambda^{m-p} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{s-1} [w_n]_q^{p-s} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^{p-s} \\
 & \quad \times q^{(s+1)w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \beta_{s, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) \\
 & = \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} S_1(m, p) \lambda^{m-p} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{s-1} [w_n]_q^{p-s} \beta_{s, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) \\
 & \quad \times \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} q^{(s+1)w_n \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^{p-s} \\
 & = \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} S_1(m, p) \lambda^{m-p} [w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}]_q^{s-1} [w_n]_q^{p-s} \beta_{s, q^{w_1 \cdots w_{n-1}}} (w_n x) \\
 & \quad \times K_{n, q^{w_n}}(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} | p - s, s),
 \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

where

$$K_{n, q}(w_1, \dots, w_{n-1} | i, t) = \prod_{l=1}^{n-1} \sum_{k_l=0}^{w_l-1} q^{(t+1) \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j} \left[ \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \left( \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq j}}^{n-1} w_i \right) k_j \right]_q^i. \tag{21}$$

Therefore, by (20) and (21), we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $m \geq 0$  and  $w_1, w_2, \dots, w_n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then the following expressions

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_{p=0}^m \sum_{s=0}^p \binom{p}{s} S_1(m, p) \lambda^{m-p} [w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}]_q^{s-1} [w_{\sigma(n)}]_q^{p-s} \beta_{s, q^{w_{\sigma(1)} \cdots w_{\sigma(n-1)}}} (w_{\sigma(n)} x) \\
 & \quad \times K_{n, q^{w_{\sigma(n)}}}(w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(n-1)} | p - s, s)
 \end{aligned}$$

are the same for any permutation  $\sigma$  in the symmetry group of order  $n$ .

Note that some identities of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials are studied by several authors (see [1-19]).



### Acknowledgements

This paper is supported by grant NO 14-11-00022 of Russian Scientific Fund.

### References

- [1] L. Carlitz,  *$q$ -Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **76** (1954), 332–350.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *Degenerate Stirling, Bernoulli and Eulerian numbers*, Utilitas Math., **15** (1979), 51–88.
- [3] Y. He, *Symmetric identities for Carlitz's  $q$ -Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2013** 2013:246, 10 pp.
- [4] D. S. Kim, T. Kim, *Some identities of symmetry for  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials under symmetric group of degree  $n$* , Ars Comb., **126** (2016), 435–441.
- [5] D. S. Kim, N. Lee, J. Na, K. H. Park, *Abundant symmetry for higher-order Bernoulli polynomials (I)*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **23** (2013), no. 3, 461–482.
- [6] D. S. Kim, N. Lee, J. Na, K. H. Park, *Identities of symmetry for higher-order Euler polynomials in three variables (I)*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **22** (2012), no. 1, 51–74.
- [7] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, J.-J. Seo, *Fully degenerate poly-Bernoulli numbers and polynomials*, Open Math., **2016**; 14: 545–556.
- [8] T. Kim, D. V. Dolgy, J. J. Seo, *Identities of symmetry for degenerate  $q$ -Euler polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **25** (2015), 577–582.
- [9] T. Kim, D. V. Dolgy, D. S. Kim, *Symmetric identities for degenerate generalized Bernoulli polynomials*, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), no. 2, 677–683.
- [10] T. Kim, *Symmetric identities of degenerate Bernoulli polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **18** (2015), no. 4, 593–599.
- [11] T. Kim, D. S. Kim, H.-I. Kwon, D. V. Dolgy, *Some identities of  $q$ -Euler polynomials under the symmetric group of degree  $n$* , J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9** (2016), no. 3, 1077–1082.
- [12] T. Kim *An identity of the symmetry for the Frobenius-Euler polynomials associated with the fermionic  $p$ -adic invariant  $q$ -integrals on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$* , Rocky Mountain J. Math., **41** (2011), no. 1, 239–247.
- [13] T. Kim,  *$q$ -Volkenborn integration*, Russ. J. Math. Phys., **9** (2002), no. 3, 288–299.
- [14] T. Kim, H.-I. Kwon, J.-J. Seo, *Identities of symmetry for degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials*, Proc. Jangjeon Math. Soc., **18** (2015), no. 4, 495–499.
- [15] T. Kim, *Some identities of the  $q$ -Euler polynomials of higher-order and  $q$ -Stirling numbers by the fermionic  $p$ -adic integral on  $\mathbf{Z}_p$* , Russ. J. Math. Phys., **16** (2009), no. 4, 484–491.

- [16] T. Kim, *On degenerate  $q$ -Bernoulli polynomials*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **53** (2016), no. 4, 1149–1156.
- [17] Y.-H. Kim, K.-W. Hwang, *Symmetry of power sum and twisted Bernoulli polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **18** (2009), no. 2, 127–133.
- [18] H. I. Kwon, T. Kim, J. J. Seo, *Some identities of symmetry for modified degenerate Frobenius-Euler polynomials*, Adv. Stud. Contemp. Math., **26** (2016), 299–305.
- [19] E.-J. Moon, S.-H. Rim, J.-H. Jin, S.-J. Lee, *On the symmetric properties of higher-order twisted  $q$ -Euler numbers polynomials*, Adv. Difference Equ., **2010** Art. ID 765259, 8 pages.