

Vague Soft Set Relation Mappings

K. A. Alzu’bi

*Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences,
The Hashemite University,
Zarqa 13133, Jordan.
E-mail: khaledaa@hu.edu.jo*

K. Alhazaymeh and Nasruddin Hassan*

*School of Mathematical Sciences,
Faculty of Science and Technology,
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia,
43600 UKM Bangi Selangor DE, Malaysia.
E-mail: nas@ukm.edu.my

Abstract

In this paper an attempt is made to extend some standard results in set theory on the basis of soft set relations. Vague soft set relation mappings and inverse vague soft set relation mappings are proposed, and some related properties are discussed.

AMS subject classification: 04A72.

Keywords: Soft set relation relation mapping, Vague soft set relation, Soft set, Vague Soft Set.

1. Introduction

Fuzzy set [1] was introduced as a mathematical tool to solve the problem and vagueness in everyday life. For uncertain data Molodtsov [2] introduced soft set and other researchers continued with fuzzy soft set [3–10], vague soft set [11, 12] and further extended to multi Q-fuzzy [13–18] and genetic algorithms [19, 20]. In this paper we define the concept of vague soft set relation mappings as extension to our earlier studies on vague soft sets [21–31]. We examine vague soft set relation mappings by proving some theorems.

Vague Soft Set Relation Mapping

In this section, we extend the concept of soft set mappings as proposed by Babitha [10] to that of vague soft set mappings followed by examples to illustrate the operations of the newly defined relations. We then propose a novel definition of inverse vague soft set relation mappings and discuss some related properties.

Definition 1.1. Let f be a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) .

1. The vague soft relation mapping induced by f , denoted by the notation f^{\rightarrow} is a mapping from (F, A) to (G, B) , that maps \mathfrak{N} to $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})$, where $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})$ is defined by $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N}) = \{f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \mid F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{N}\}$.
2. The inverse vague soft set relation mapping induced by f , denoted by the notation f^{\leftarrow} , is a mapping from (G, B) to (F, A) that maps T to $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$, where $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is defined by, $f^{\leftarrow}(T) = \{F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \mid f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in T\}$.

Example 1.2. Let

$$U = \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0 \rangle}, \frac{u_1}{\langle 3 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 9 \rangle} \right\},$$

$A = \{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}$, and $B = \{b_1, b_2\}$. Consider the vague soft set (F, A) and (G, B) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} F(a_1) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.1, 0.2 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.2, 0.6 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 0.4, 0.6 \rangle} \right\}, \\ F(a_2) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.7, 0.3 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.1, 0.3 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 0.3, 0.6 \rangle} \right\}, \\ F(a_3) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.1, 0.1 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.8, 0.9 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 0.3, 0.3 \rangle} \right\}, \\ f(a_4) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.4, 0.4 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.5, 0.5 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 0.7, 0.7 \rangle} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} G(b_1) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.6, 0.5 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.6, 0.8 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 0, 0 \rangle} \right\}, \\ G(b_2) &= \left\{ \frac{u_1}{\langle 0.4, 0.5 \rangle}, \frac{u_2}{\langle 0.9, 0.9 \rangle}, \frac{u_3}{\langle 1, 1 \rangle} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose a vague soft set function f from (F, A) to (G, B) is given by

$$f = \{F(a_1) \times G(b_1), F(a_2) \times G(b_1), F(a_3) \times G(b_2), F(a_4) \times G(b_2)\}.$$

- (1) If \mathfrak{N} be a vague soft set relation on (F, A) given by $\mathfrak{N} = \{F(a_1) \times F(a_2), F(a_3) \times F(a_2), F(a_4) \times F(a_1)\}$, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N}) = \{G(b_1) \times G(b_1), G(b_2) \times G(b_2)\}$.

(2) If T be a vague soft set relation on (G, B) given by $T = \{G(b_1) \times G(b_1), G(b_2) \times G(b_2)\}$, then $f^{\leftarrow}(T) = \{F(a_1) \times F(a_1), F(a_1) \times F(a_2), F(a_2) \times F(a_2), F(a_2) \times F(a_1), F(a_3) \times F(a_3), F(a_3) \times F(a_4), F(a_4) \times F(a_3), F(a_4) \times F(a_4)\}$.

Next, we will discuss some basic properties of vague soft set relation mappings and inverse vague soft set relation mappings.

Theorem 1.3. If f is a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) and $\mathfrak{R}, Q \in (F, A)$, then

1. $\mathfrak{R} \subset Q \Rightarrow f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.
2. $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) = f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cup f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.
3. $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$. If f is one-one, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) = f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

Proof.

(1) $\forall G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$, there exists $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2))$ by Definition 1.1 (1). Since $\mathfrak{R} \subset Q$, we have $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in Q$. Thus $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$. Hence $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

(2) $\forall G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q)$, there exists $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R} \cup Q$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2))$ by Definition 1.1 (1) where $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R}$ or $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in Q$. Thus $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}\mathfrak{R}$ or $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}Q$. Hence $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cup f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

Conversely, since $\mathfrak{R} \cup Q \supset \mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{R} \cup Q \supset Q$, we have $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) \supset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) \supset f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$ by (1). Thus $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) \supset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cup f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

Therefore $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cup Q) = f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cup f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

(3) Since $\mathfrak{R} \cap Q \subset \mathfrak{R}$ and $\mathfrak{R} \cap Q \subset Q$, we have $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$ by (1). Thus $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$.

Conversely, $\forall G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$, we have $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ and $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$. By Definition 1.1 (1), there exists $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2))$ and there exists $F((a_1)') \times F((a_2)') \in Q$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F((a_1)')) \times f(F((a_2)'))$. Thus $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) = f(F((a_1)')) \times f(F((a_2)')) = G(b_1) \times G(b_2)$, which implies that $f(F(a_1)) = f(F((a_1)'))$ and $f(F(a_2)) = f(F((a_2)'))$. If f is one-one, then $F(a_1) = F((a_1)')$ and $F(a_2) = F((a_2)')$. Thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) = F((a_1)') \times F((a_2)') \in \mathfrak{R} \cap Q$. By Definition 1.1 (1) $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q)$. Therefore $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q) \subset f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q)$. Hence if f is one-one, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R} \cap Q) = f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R}) \cap f^{\rightarrow}(Q)$. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.4. Let f be a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) , $T, S \in (G, B)$. Then

1. $T \subset S \Rightarrow f^{\leftarrow}(T) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.
2. $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) = f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cup f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.
3. $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S) = f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.

Proof.

- (1) $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$, $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in T$ by Definition 1.1 (2). Since $T \subset S$, we have $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in S$. Thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. Hence $f^{\leftarrow}(T) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.
- (2) $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S)$, $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in (T \cup S)$. By Definition 1.1 (2) $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in (T)$ or $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in (S)$. Thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ or $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(S)$ implies that $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cup f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cup f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. Conversely, since $T \cup S \supset T$ and $T \cup S \supset S$, we have $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) \supset f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ and $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) \supset f^{\leftarrow}(S)$ by (1). Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) \supset f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cup f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. Therefore $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cup S) = f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cup f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.
- (3) Since $T \cap S \subset T$ and $T \cap S \subset S$, we have $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ and $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(S)$ by (1). So $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(S)$.

Conversely, $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(S)$, thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ and $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. By Definition 1.1 (2), $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in T$ and $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in S$, i.e. $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in (T \cap S)$. Thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S)$. Hence $f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(S) \subset f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S)$. Therefore $f^{\leftarrow}(T \cap S) = f^{\leftarrow}(T) \cap f^{\leftarrow}(S)$. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.5. Let f be a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) , $\mathfrak{N} \in (F, A)$ and $T \in (G, B)$. We will have the following.

1. $f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})) \supset \mathfrak{N}$. If f is one-one, then $f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})) = \mathfrak{N}$.
2. $f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T)) \subset T$. If f is surjective, then $f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T)) = T$.

Proof.

- (1) $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{N}$, we have $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})$. By Definition 1.1 (2), $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N}))$. Hence $f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})) \supset \mathfrak{N}$. Conversely, $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N}))$, we have $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{N})$. By Definition 1.1 (2), there exists $F((a_1)') \times F((a_2)') \in \mathfrak{N}$ such that

$f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) = f(F((a_1)')) \times f(F((a_2)'))$, which implies that $f(F(a_1)) = f(F((a_1)'))$ and $f(F(a_2)) = f(F((a_2)'))$. If f is one-one, then $F(a_1) = F((a_1)')$ and $F(a_2) = F((a_2)')$. Thus $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) = F((a_1)') \times F((a_2)') \in \mathfrak{R}$. Thus if f is one-one, then $f^{\leftarrow}(f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})) = \mathfrak{R}$.

(2) By Definition 1.1, $\forall G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T))$, there exists $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2))$. Thus $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in T$. Hence $f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T)) \subset T$.

Conversely, $\forall G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in T$, if f is surjective, then there exists $F(a_1), F(a_2) \in (F, A)$ such that $G(b_1) = f(F(a_1))$ and $G(b_2) = f(F(a_2))$. Thus $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) = G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in T$, which implies that $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$. By Definition 1.1 (1), $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T))$. Therefore if f is surjective, then $f^{\rightarrow}(f^{\leftarrow}(T)) = T$. \blacksquare

Theorem 1.6. Let f be a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) , $\mathfrak{R} \in (F, A)$.

1. If f is surjective and \mathfrak{R} is reflexive, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is a reflexive vague soft set relation on (G, B) .
2. If f is bijective and \mathfrak{R} is anti-reflexive, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is an anti-reflexive vague soft set relation on (G, B) .
3. If \mathfrak{R} is symmetric, then $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is a symmetric vague soft set relation on (G, B) .

Proof.

- (1) $\forall G(b) \in (G, B)$, if f is surjective, then there exists $F(a) \in (F, A)$ such that $G(b) = f(F(a))$. If \mathfrak{R} is reflexive, then $F(a) \times F(a) \in \mathfrak{R}$, thus $G(b) \times G(b) = f(F(a)) \times f(F(a)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$, i.e. $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is a vague reflexive soft set relation on (G, B) .
- (2) $\forall G(b) \in (G, B)$, if f is bijective, then there exists $F(a) \in (F, A)$ such that $G(b) = f(F(a))$. If \mathfrak{R} is anti-reflexive, then $F(a) \times F(a) \notin \mathfrak{R}$, thus $G(b) \times G(b) = f(F(a)) \times f(F(a)) \notin f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$, i.e. $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is an anti-reflexive relation on (G, B) .
- (3) If $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$, then there exists $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R}$ such that $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2))$, which implies that $G(b_1) = f(F(a_1))$ and $G(b_2) = f(F(a_2))$. If \mathfrak{R} is symmetric, then $F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in \mathfrak{R}$, thus $G(b_1) \times G(b_2) = f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$. Therefore $f^{\rightarrow}(\mathfrak{R})$ is a symmetric vague soft relation on (G, B) . \blacksquare

Theorem 1.7. Let f be a vague soft set function from (F, A) to (G, B) , $T \in (G, B)$.

1. If T is reflexive, then $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is a reflexive vague soft set relation on (F, A) .

2. If T is anti-reflexive, then $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is an anti-reflexive vague soft set relation on (F, A) .
3. If T is symmetric, then $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is a symmetric vague soft set relation on (F, A) .

Proof.

- (1) $\forall F(a) \in (F, A), f(F(a)) \in (G, B)$. If T is reflexive, then $f(F(a)) \times f(F(a)) \in T$, which implies that $F(a) \times F(a) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ by Definition 1.1. Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is a reflexive vague soft set relation on (F, A) .
- (2) $\forall F(a) \in (F, A), f(F(a)) \in (G, B)$. If T is anti-reflexive, then $f(F(a)) \times f(F(a)) \notin T$, which implies that $F(a) \times F(a) \notin f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ by Definition 1.1. Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is an anti-reflexive vague soft set relation on (F, A) .
- (3) $\forall F(a_1) \times F(a_2) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$, then $f(F(a_1)) \times f(F(a_2)) \in T$. If T is symmetric, then $f(F(a_2)) \times f(F(a_1)) \in T$, which implies that $F(a_2) \times F(a_1) \in f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ by Definition 1.1. Thus $f^{\leftarrow}(T)$ is a symmetric vague soft set relation on (F, A) . ■

2. Conclusion

Throughout this paper we have made an attempt to widen the set theoretical aspect of vague soft sets. We define the vague soft set relation mappings and inverse vague soft set relation mappings are proposed, and some related properties are discussed. Ordering of a vague soft set is defined and we prove some set theoretical results based on it. To extend this work one could generalize these concepts to fuzzy soft sets so that problems regarding uncertainty could be solved more easily.

References

- [1] Zadeh, LA., 1965, “Fuzzy sets,” *Inform. Control.*, 8, pp. 338–353.
- [2] Molodtsov, DA., 1999, “Soft set theory-first results,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 37, pp. 19–31.
- [3] Maji, PK., Biswas, R., and Roy, AR., 2001, “Intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets,” *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9(3), pp. 677–692.
- [4] Maji, PK., Roy, AR., and Biswas, R., 2004, “On intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets,” *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 12(3), pp. 669–683.
- [5] Maji, PK., 2009, “More on intuitionistic fuzzy soft sets, Rough Sets, Fuzzy Sets,” *Data Mining and Granular Computing*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 231–240.
- [6] Maji, PK., Biswas, R., and Roy, AR., 2009, “Fuzzy soft sets,” *J. Fuzzy Math.*, 9(3), pp. 589–602.
- [7] Yang, XB., Lin, TY., Yang, JY., Li, Y., and Yu, D., 2010, “Combination of interval-valued fuzzy set and soft set,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 58(3), pp. 521–527.

- [8] Babitha, KV., and Sunil, JJ., 2011, “Soft set relations and functions,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 60, pp. 1840–1849.
- [9] Yang, HL., and Guo, ZL., 2010, “Kernels and closures of soft set relations, and soft set relation mappings,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 61(3), pp. 651–662.
- [10] Babitha, KV., and Sunil, JJ., 2011, “Transitive closures and orderings on soft sets,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 62, pp. 2235–2239.
- [11] Xu, W., Ma, J., Wang, S., and Hao, G., 2010, “Vague soft sets and their properties,” *Comput. Math. Appl.*, 59(2), pp. 787–794.
- [12] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2015, “Vague soft set relations and functions,” *J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems*, 28(3), pp. 1205–1212.
- [13] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Multi Q-fuzzy parameterized soft set and its application,” *J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems*, 27(1), pp. 419–424.
- [14] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Properties on the multi Q-fuzzy soft matrix,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1614, pp. 834–839. doi: 10.1063/1.4895310 .
- [15] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2015, “Multi Q-fuzzy soft set and its application,” *Far East J. Math. Sci.*, 97(7), 871–881.
- [16] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Q-fuzzy soft set,” *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 8(174), 8689–8695.
- [17] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Operations on Q-fuzzy soft set,” *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 8(175), 8697–8701.
- [18] Adam, F., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Q-fuzzy soft matrix and its application,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1602, 772–778.
- [19] Varnamkhasti, M.J., and Hassan, N., 2012, “Neurogenetic algorithm for solving combinatorial engineering problem,” *J. Appl. Math.*, Article ID 208489, 7 pages, doi:10.1155/2012/208489
- [20] Varnamkhasti, M.J., and Hassan, N., 2013, “A hybrid of adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system and genetic algorithm,” *J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems*, 25(3), 793–796.
- [21] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2012, “Possibility vague soft set and its application in decision making”, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 77(4), pp. 549–563.
- [22] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2012, “Interval-valued vague soft sets and its application,” *Adv. Fuzzy Syst.*, Article ID 208489, 7 pages doi:10.1155/2012/208489.
- [23] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2012, “Generalized vague soft set and its applications,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 77(3), pp. 391–401.
- [24] Hassan, N., and Alhazaymeh, K., 2013, “Vague soft expert set theory,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1522, pp. 953–958.
- [25] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2013, “Generalized vague soft expert set theory,” *AIP Conf. Proc.*, 1571, 970–974.

- [26] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2013, “Generalized interval-valued vague soft set,” *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 7(140), pp. 6983–6988.
- [27] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2013, “Possibility interval-valued vague soft set,” *Appl. Math. Sci.*, 7(140), pp. 6989–6994.
- [28] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Generalized vague soft expert set,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 93(3), pp. 351–360.
- [29] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Application of generalized vague soft expert set in decision making,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 93(3), pp. 361–367.
- [30] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Mapping on generalized vague soft expert set,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 93(3), pp. 369–376.
- [31] Alhazaymeh, K., and Hassan, N., 2014, “Vague soft multiset theory,” *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 93(4), pp. 511–523.