

# Fuzzy Incidence Graph Structures

**Dinesh T.**

*Department of Mathematics, Nehru Arts and Science College, Kanhangad  
Padannakkad P.O., Kerala - 671 314, India.*

## Abstract

The author introduced the concept of fuzzy incidence graph structure using the concept of fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -incidence in his Ph.D. thesis. Some more new concepts like fuzzy  $\psi_i$ - incidence tree, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cutpair etc. are introduced. Results related to these concepts are obtained.

**Keywords:** Fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence, fuzzy incidence graph structure, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ - incidence tree, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cutpair.

**Subject Classification :** 05C72, 05C05, 05C38, 05C40

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set introduced by L.A. Zadeh, in 1965, involves the concept of a membership function defined on a universal set. The value of the membership function lies in  $[0,1]$ . Fuzzy graphs were introduced by A. Rosenfeld [1].

The author in [2] introduced the concept of fuzzy incidence graph structure based on the concept of fuzzy  $\psi_i$ - incidence. Dinesh [3], Mordeson [4] and Mordeson and Mathew [5] discuss the concept of fuzzy incidence graph in detail. Sampathkumar [6] introduced the concept of a graph structure. This was extended by Dinesh and Ramakrishnan in [7] to fuzzy graph structures.

Here the extent to which a  $\rho_i$ - edge is  $\psi_i$ -incident with a vertex is also taken care of as the extent to which an edge is incident with a vertex was taken care of in [3]. The extent is represented as a membership function from  $V \times R_i$  to  $[0, 1]$ .

Essential preliminaries are given as per necessity. The concepts from Graph Theory are based on [8] and from Fuzzy Graph Theory on [9].

## 2. INCIDENCE GRAPH STRUCTURE AND FUZZY INCIDENCE GRAPH STRUCTURE

In [3], the concepts of fuzzy incidence and fuzzy incidence graph were discussed. These are generalized in this paper to graph structures and fuzzy graph structures.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $V$  be a non empty set. Then

$G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$  where  $R_i \subseteq V \times V, I_i \subseteq V \times R_i$ , is called an incidence graph structure,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**Definition 2.2.** Let  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$  be an incidence graph structure where  $R_i$  is a subset of  $V \times V$  and  $I_i$  is a set of ordered pairs of elements of  $V$  and  $R_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .  $(u_i v_i) \in R_i$  is an  $R_i$ -edge. If  $(u_i, (u_i, v_i))$  and  $(v_i, (u_i, v_i))$  are in  $I_i$ , then it is said to join  $u_i$  and  $v_i$  for any  $i$ . They are  $I_i$ -adjacent vertices and they are  $I_i$ -incident with  $(u_i, v_i)$ . The elements of  $I_i$  are termed  $I_i$ -pairs.

**Definition 2.3.** For an incidence graph structure

$G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$ , if  $(u_i, (u_i, v_i)), (v_i, (u_i, v_i)), (v_i, (v_i, w_i)), (w_i, (v_i, w_i))$  are in  $I_i$ , then  $(u_i, v_i)$  and  $(v_i, w_i)$  are  $I_i$ -adjacent edges.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $G$  be an incidence graph structure. An  $I_i$ -incidence subgraph structure  $H$  of  $G$  is itself an incidence graph structure having all of its vertices,  $R_i$ -edges and  $I_i$ -pairs in  $G$ .

**Definition 2.5.** In an incidence graph structure  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$ , a sequence

$u_0, (u_0, (u_0, u_1)), (u_0, u_1), (u_1, (u_0, u_1)), u_1, (u_1, (u_1, u_2)), (u_1, u_2), (u_2, (u_1, u_2)), u_2, (u_2, (u_2, u_3)), (u_2, u_3), (u_3, (u_2, u_3)), u_3, \dots, u_k$  is an  $R_i$ -walk. If the vertices are different, then the  $R_i$ -walk is an  $R_i$ -path. If the  $R_i$ -edges are different, then it is an  $R_i$ -trail.

We introduce a new term  $I_i$ -incidence trail here.

**Definition 2.6.** In an incidence graph structure  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$ , a sequence

$u_0, (u_0, (u_0, u_1)), (u_0, u_1), (u_1, (u_0, u_1)), u_1, (u_1, (u_1, u_2)), (u_1, u_2), (u_2, (u_1, u_2)), u_2, (u_2, (u_2, u_3)), (u_2, u_3), (u_3, (u_2, u_3)), u_3, \dots, u_k$  is an  $I_i$ -incidence trail if the  $I_i$ -pairs are distinct.

**Definition 2.7.** *If an  $R_i$ -walk in an incidence graph structure  $G$  is closed, then it is called an  $R_i$ -cycle provided its vertices are distinct.*

**Definition 2.8.** *If all the pairs of vertices in an incidence graph structure  $G$  are joined by an  $R_i$ -path, then it is said to be  $R_i$ -connected.*

**Definition 2.9.** *An  $R_i$ -connected incidence graph structure  $G$  having no  $R_i$ -cycles is an  $R_i$ -tree.*

**Definition 2.10.** *An incidence graph structure  $G$  having no  $R_i$ -cycles is an  $R_i$ -forest.*

**Definition 2.11.** *In an incidence graph structure  $G$ , an  $R_i$ -edge is said to be an  $R_i$ -bridge if the removal of it  $R_i$ -disconnects  $G$ .*

In a similar way, we can define an  $R_i$ -cut vertex.

**Definition 2.12.** *In an incidence graph structure  $G$ , a vertex is said to be an  $R_i$ -cutvertex if the removal of it  $R_i$ -disconnects  $G$ .*

In a similar way, we introduce a new concept, namely,  $I_i$ -cutpair. This is similar to the cutpair defined in [3].

**Definition 2.13.** *In an incidence graph structure  $G$ , a  $\psi_i$ -pair is said to be an  $I_i$ -cutpair if the removal of it  $R_i$ -disconnects  $G$ .*

Fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -incidence is defined in [2] as stated below.

**Definition 2.14.** [2] *Let  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k)$  be a graph structure and  $\tilde{G} = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k)$  (where  $\mu$  and  $\rho_i$  are fuzzy subsets of  $V$  and  $R_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  respectively) be a fuzzy graph structure of  $G$ . Let  $\psi_i : V \times R_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be such that  $\psi_i(v_j, e_l) \leq \mu(v_i) \wedge \rho_i(e_l) \forall v_j \in V, e_l \in R_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . Then  $\psi_i$  is the fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -incidence of  $\tilde{G}$ .*

We call this fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -incidence as fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence now onwards, as it is more appropriate.

### 3. FUZZY INCIDENCE GRAPH STRUCTURE

The concept of fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -incidence graph structure introduced in [2] is modified and restated here. We rename it as a fuzzy incidence graph structure.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, V \times R_1, V \times R_2, \dots, V \times R_k)$ . Let  $\tilde{G} = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$  where  $\mu : V \rightarrow [0, 1]$ ,  $\rho_i : R_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  and  $\psi_i : V \times R_i \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be fuzzy subsets of  $V, R_i$  and  $V \times R_i$  respectively with  $\psi_i(v_j, e_l) \leq \mu(v_j) \wedge \rho_i(e_l)$   
 $\forall v_j \in V, e_l \in R_i$  and  $\rho_i(e_l) \leq \mu(v_l) \wedge \mu(v_2) \forall v_1, v_2 \in V$ . Then  $\tilde{G}$  is called a fuzzy incidence graph structure.

Note that a fuzzy graph structure is itself a fuzzy incidence graph structure with fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence taking only two values 0 and 1.

We introduce the concepts of  $\rho_i$ -edge,  $\psi_i$ -pair,  $\rho_i$ -path and  $\rho_i$ -connectedness in a fuzzy incidence graph structure in the same way the concepts like edge, path and connectedness are introduced in a fuzzy graph in [8] and in a fuzzy incidence graph in [3].

In all the coming discussions, by  $\tilde{G}$ , we mean a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$  of the incidence graph structure  $G = (V, R_1, R_2, \dots, R_k, I_1, I_2, \dots, I_k)$ ,  $I_i \subseteq V \times R_i$  unless otherwise stated.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(x, y) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i)$  in  $\tilde{G}$ . Then  $(x, y)$  is a  $\rho_i$ -edge of the fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  and if  $(x, (x, y)), (y, (x, y)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i)$ , then  $(x, (x, y))$  and  $(y, (x, y))$  are  $\psi_i$ -pairs,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

**Definition 3.3.** If  $(x, y)$  is a  $\rho_i$ -edge, but either  $(x, (x, y))$  or  $(y, (x, y))$  is not a  $\psi_i$ -pair, then it is a non  $\psi_i$ -incident  $\rho_i$ -edge.

**Definition 3.4.** A sequence

$v_0, (v_0, e_1), e_1, (v_1, e_1), v_1, (v_1, e_2), e_2, (v_2, e_2), v_2, \dots, v_{n-1}, (v_{n-1}, e_n), e_n, (v_n, e_n), v_n$  of vertices,  $\rho_i$ -edges and  $\psi_i$ -pairs in  $\tilde{G}$  which are distinct except possibly for  $v_0 = v_n$  such that  $(v_{j-1}, v_j)$  is a  $\rho_i$ -edge  $e_j$ , is a  $\rho_i$ -path.

$\rho_i$ -connectedness in  $\tilde{G}$  is defined as follows.

**Definition 3.5.** Two vertices  $v_j$  and  $v_l$  joined by a  $\rho_i$ -path in a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  are said to be  $\rho_i$ -connected.

**Definition 3.6.** The  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength of a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  is defined to be equal to

$$\wedge \{ \psi_i(v_j, e_l) \mid (v_j, e_l) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \}.$$

$\rho_i$ -cycle, fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -cycle,  $\rho_i$ -tree, fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -tree,  $\rho_i$ -forest, fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -forest etc. can be defined similar to fuzzy cycle, tree, fuzzy tree, forest, fuzzy forest etc. defined in a

fuzzy incidence graph. Fuzzy  $\psi_i$ - incidence cycle, fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree and fuzzy  $\psi_i$ - incidence forest may also be defined.

**Definition 3.7.** *The fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  is a  $\rho_i$ - cycle iff  $(\text{supp}(\mu), \text{supp}(\rho_1), \text{supp}(\rho_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\rho_k), \text{supp}(\psi_1), \text{supp}(\psi_2), \text{supp}(\psi_k))$  is an  $R_i$ -cycle.*

**Definition 3.8.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -cycle iff  $(\text{supp}(\mu), \text{supp}(\rho_1), \text{supp}(\rho_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\rho_k), \text{supp}(\psi_1), \text{supp}(\psi_2), \text{supp}(\psi_k))$  is an  $R_i$ -cycle and there does not exist a unique  $(x, y) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i)$  such that  $\rho_i(x, y) = \wedge\{(u, v) | (u, v) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i)\}$ .*

**Definition 3.9.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle iff it is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -cycle and there exists no unique  $(x, (y, z)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i)$  such that  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) = \wedge\{\psi_i(u, (v, w)) | (u, (v, w)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i)\}$ .*

**Definition 3.10.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a  $\rho_i$ -tree iff  $(\text{supp}(\mu), \text{supp}(\rho_1), \text{supp}(\rho_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\rho_k), \text{supp}(\psi_1), \text{supp}(\psi_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\psi_k))$  is an  $R_i$ -tree. It is a  $\rho_i$ -forest iff  $(\text{supp}(\mu), \text{supp}(\rho_1), \text{supp}(\rho_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\rho_k), \text{supp}(\psi_1), \text{supp}(\psi_2), \dots, \text{supp}(\psi_k))$  is an  $R_i$ -forest.*

**Definition 3.11.**  *$\tilde{H} = (\nu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  is a fuzzy incidence subgraph structure of  $\tilde{G}$  if  $\nu \subseteq \mu, \tau_i \subseteq \rho_i, \sigma_i \subseteq \psi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . It is a fuzzy incidence spanning subgraph structure if  $\mu = \nu$ .*

**Definition 3.12.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -tree iff it has a fuzzy incidence spanning sub graph structure  $\tilde{F} = (\mu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  which is also a  $\tau_i$ -tree such that  $\forall (u, v) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i) \setminus \text{supp}(\tau_i), \rho_i(u, v) < \tau_i^\infty(u, v)$ .*

*It is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -forest iff  $\tilde{G}$  has a fuzzy incidence spanning subgraph structure  $\tilde{F} = (\mu, \tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  which is also a  $\tau_i$ - forest such that  $\forall (u, v) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i) \setminus \text{supp}(\tau_i), \rho_i(u, v) < \tau_i^\infty(u, v)$ .*

**Definition 3.13.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree iff it has a fuzzy incidence spanning subgraph structure  $\tilde{F} = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  which is also a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ - tree such that for every  $(u, (v, w)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \setminus \text{supp}(\sigma_i), \psi_i(u, (v, w)) < \sigma_i^\infty(u, (v, w))$ .*

*$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest iff it has a fuzzy incidence spanning subgraph structure which is also a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ - forest  $\tilde{F} = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  such that  $\forall (u, v) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \setminus \text{supp}(\sigma_i), \psi_i(u, v) < \sigma_i^\infty(u, v)$ .*

Many results proved in Mordeson and Nair [8] and in Dinesh [3] may be extended to fuzzy incidence graph structures.

Some of them are discussed below.

**Theorem 3.1.**  *$\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest iff in any  $\rho_i$ -cycle of  $\tilde{G}$ , there is  $(x, (y, z))$  such that  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i^\infty(x, (y, z))$  where*

*$\tilde{G}' = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi'_1, \psi'_2, \dots, \psi'_k)$  is the fuzzy incidence subgraph structure obtained by deletion of  $(x, (y, z))$  from  $\tilde{G}$ .*

**Proof:** The result is clearly true if there are no  $\rho_i$ -cycles.

Let  $(x, (y, z))$  be a  $\psi_i$ - pair in  $\tilde{G}$  which belongs to a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -cycle such that  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i^\infty(x, (y, z))$ .

Assume that  $(x, (y, z))$  is the one with the least value for  $\psi_i$  among all  $\psi_i$ -pairs in  $\text{supp}(\psi_i)$ .

Delete  $(x, (y, z))$ . The resulting fuzzy incidence subgraph structure is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest.

If there are other such  $\rho_i$ -cycles, remove the  $\psi_i$ - pairs in a similar manner. The  $\psi_i$ - pair thus deleted will be of lesser  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength than the previously deleted ones. After finishing the process, the remaining fuzzy incidence subgraph structure is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest  $\tilde{F}$ .

Thus there exists a  $\rho_i$ -path  $\tilde{P}$  from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$  with more  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength than  $\psi_i(x, (y, z))$  and not containing  $(x, (y, z))$ . If there are previously deleted  $\psi_i$ - pairs in  $\tilde{P}$ , then we can use  $\rho_i$ -path not through them with more  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength.

Conversely, if  $\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest and  $C$  any  $\rho_i$ -cycle, then there exists a  $\psi_i$ -pair  $(x, (y, z))$  of  $C$  not in  $\tilde{F}$  such that

$\psi_i(x, (y, z)) < \sigma_i^\infty(x, (y, z)) \leq \psi_i^\infty(x, (y, z))$  where  $\tilde{F}$  is the one mentioned in the definition for fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest.

**Theorem 3.2.** *If there is at most one  $\rho_i$ -path with the most  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength between any vertex and  $\rho_i$ -edge of fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$ , then  $\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest.*

**Proof:** Consider  $\tilde{G}$  in such a way that it is not a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest. Then by the theorem discussed earlier, there exists a  $\rho_i$ -cycle  $C$  in  $\tilde{G}$  such that  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) \geq \psi_i'(x, (y, z))$  for every  $\psi_i$ -pair  $(x, (y, z))$  of  $C$ .

Therefore  $(x, (y, z))$  is the  $\rho_i$ -path with the highest  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$ . Suppose  $(x, (y, z))$  is the  $\psi_i$ - pair with the lowest  $\psi_i$  in  $C$ . What remains is a

$\rho_i$ - path with the highest  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$ . This is a contradiction. Hence  $\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest.

Other results on fuzzy graph structures may be similarly proved in fuzzy incidence graph structures. Also we have the following results.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a  $\rho_i$ -cycle. Then  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle iff  $G$  is not a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree.*

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{G} = (\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi_1, \psi_2, \dots, \psi_k)$  be a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle. At least two  $\psi_i$ -pairs  $(x, (y, z))$  exist satisfying

$$\psi_i(x, (y, z)) = \wedge \{ \psi_i(u, (v, w)) : u \in V, (v, w) \in \text{supp}(\rho_i), (u, (v, w)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \}.$$

If  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  is a spanning fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree in  $\tilde{G}$ , then there exists  $u \in V, (v, w) \in R_i$  such that  $\text{supp}(\psi_i) \setminus \text{supp}(\sigma_i) = \{(u, (v, w))\}$ . So there does not exist a  $\rho_i$ - path in  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  between  $u$  and  $(v, w)$  of  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength greater than  $\psi_i(u, (v, w))$ . So  $\tilde{G}$  is not a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree.

Conversely, suppose that  $\tilde{G}$  is not a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence tree. Then it is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -cycle. For all  $(u, (v, w))$  in  $\text{supp}(\psi_i)$ , we have a fuzzy incidence spanning subgraph structure  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$  which also is a  $\rho_i$ - tree and  $\sigma_i(u, (v, w)) = 0$ ,  $\sigma_i^\infty(u, (v, w)) \leq \psi_i(u, (v, w))$  and  $\sigma_i(x, (y, z)) = \psi_i(x, (y, z)) \forall (x, (y, z)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \setminus \{(u, (v, w))\}$ .

Thus  $\wedge \{ \psi_i(x, (y, z)) \mid (x, (y, z)) \in \text{supp}(\psi_i) \}$  is not uniquely attained. Therefore  $\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycle.

#### 4. $\rho_I$ -CUTVERTEX, $\rho_I$ -BRIDGE AND $\psi_I$ -CUTPAIR

Similar to the  $\rho_i$ -bridge and  $\rho_i$ -cutvertex in fuzzy graph structures [10], they can be defined in fuzzy incidence graph structures.

**Definition 4.1.**  $(x, y)$  is a  $\rho_i$ -bridge in a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  if for some  $(u, v), \rho_i'^\infty(u, v) < \rho_i^\infty(u, v)$  for some  $u, v$ .

**Definition 4.2.**  $w$  is a  $\rho_i$ -cutvertex in a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$  if  $\rho_i'^\infty(u, v) < \rho_i^\infty(u, v)$  for some  $u \neq w \neq v$ .

A new concept, namely,  $\psi_i$ -cutpair, may similarly be defined.

**Definition 4.3.** In a fuzzy incidence graph structure  $\tilde{G}$ ,  $(x, (y, z))$  is a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair if  $\psi_i'^\infty(u, (v, w)) < \psi_i^\infty(u, (v, w))$  for some pair  $(u, (v, w))$  in  $\tilde{G}$ .

Results on  $\rho_i$ -bridges and  $\rho_i$ -cutvertices in fuzzy graph structures introduced by Dinesh and Ramakrishnan in [10], may be extended to similar concepts in fuzzy incidence graph structures. Further, the following results are also valid in fuzzy incidence graph structures.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i(x, (y, z))$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , then  $(x, (y, z))$  is a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair.*

**Proof:** Suppose  $(x, (y, z))$  is not a  $\psi_i$ -cut pair. Then  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) = \psi_i^{\infty}(x, (y, z))$  and  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) \leq \psi_i^{\infty}(x, (y, z))$ . So  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) \leq \psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z))$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i(x, (y, z))$ . Therefore  $(x, (y, z))$  is a  $\psi_i$ -cut pair.

**Theorem 4.2.** *If  $(x, (y, z))$  in  $\tilde{G}$ , is not the  $\psi_i$ -pair with the least value for  $\psi_i$  among all  $\rho_i$ -cycles, then  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i(x, (y, z))$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) \geq \psi_i(x, (y, z))$ . There exists a  $\rho_i$ -path from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$  which does not involve  $(x, (y, z))$  and has  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength greater than or equal to  $\psi_i(x, (y, z))$ .  $(x, (y, z))$  and the above  $\rho_i$ -path constitute a  $\rho_i$ -cycle and  $(x, (y, z))$  has the smallest  $\psi_i$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption. So  $\psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z)) < \psi_i(x, (y, z))$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $(x, (y, z))$  is a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair in  $\tilde{G}$ , then  $(x, (y, z))$  is not the  $\psi_i$ -pair with the least value for  $\psi_i$  among all  $\rho_i$ -cycles.*

**Proof:** If in a  $\rho_i$ -cycle,  $(x, (y, z))$  is the one  $\psi_i$ -pair with the least value for  $\psi_i$ , then any  $\rho_i$ -path containing it can be made a  $\rho_i$ -path without it with  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength greater than or equal to  $\psi_i$ -value of previously deleted  $\psi_i$ -pairs. So  $(x, (y, z))$  is not a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair. This is a contradiction to our assumption. Hence  $(x, (y, z))$  is not a  $\psi_i$ -pair with the least value for  $\psi_i$  among all  $\rho_i$ -cycles.

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $\tilde{G}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest, then the  $\psi_i$ -pairs of  $\tilde{F}$  (where  $\tilde{F}$  is as in the definition of fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest) are exactly the  $\psi_i$ -cutpairs of  $\tilde{G}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $(x, (y, z))$  be not in  $\tilde{F}$ . Then by definition,  $\psi_i(x, (y, z)) < \sigma_i^{\infty}(x, (y, z)) \leq \psi_i^{\prime\infty}(x, (y, z))$  where  $(\mu, \rho_1, \rho_2, \dots, \rho_k, \psi'_1, \psi'_2, \dots, \psi'_i, \psi'_{i+1}, \dots, \psi'_k)$  is the fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence spanning subgraph structure of  $\tilde{G}$  obtained by deleting the  $\psi_i$ -pair  $(x, (y, z))$ . It has  $\psi_i$ -pairs not in  $\tilde{F}$  since  $\tilde{F}$  is a fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence forest. It has no fuzzy  $\psi_i$ -incidence cycles. Therefore  $(x, (y, z))$  cannot be a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair of  $\tilde{F}$ .

Let  $(x, (y, z))$  be a  $\psi_i$ -pair in  $\tilde{F}$ . Suppose that  $(x, (y, z))$  is not a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair. Then there is a  $\rho_i$ -path  $\tilde{P}$  from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$  not containing  $(x, (y, z))$  which has  $\psi_i$ -incidence

strength greater than or equal to  $\psi_i(x, (y, z))$ . So some  $\psi_i$ -pairs not in  $\tilde{F}$  will be in  $\tilde{P}$  as  $\tilde{F}$  is a fuzzy  $\rho_i$ -forest. Any  $\psi_i$ -pair  $(u, (v, w))$  can be removed and a  $\rho_i$ -path  $\tilde{Q}$  may be found in  $\tilde{F}$ . This  $\tilde{Q}$  will have more  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength than  $\psi_i(u, (v, w))$ . Also  $\psi_i(u, (v, w)) \geq \psi_i(x, (y, z))$ . Therefore  $(x, (y, z))$  is not in  $\tilde{Q}$ . Replacing such  $(u, (v, w))$  by such  $\rho_i$ -path in  $\tilde{F}$  we will get a  $\rho_i$ -path in  $\tilde{F}$  from  $x$  to  $(y, z)$  not containing  $(x, (y, z))$ . We have a  $\rho_i$ -cycle in  $\tilde{F}$ . This is a contradiction to the assumption. Hence the  $\psi_i$ -pairs of  $\tilde{F}$  are the  $\psi_i$ -cutpairs of  $\tilde{G}$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let*

$\tilde{G}^* = (supp(\mu), supp(\rho_1), \dots, supp(\rho_k), supp(\psi_1), \dots, supp(\psi_k))$  *be a  $\rho_i$ -cycle in  $\tilde{G}$ . Then a  $\rho_i$ -edge is a  $\rho_i$ -bridge of  $\tilde{G}$  iff it is a  $\rho_i$ -edge common to two  $\psi_i$ -cut pairs.*

**Proof:** Let  $e$  be a  $\rho_i$ -bridge. Then there are  $\rho_i$ -edges  $f$  and  $g$  in such a way that  $e$  lies on every  $\rho_i$ -path with the greatest  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength between  $f$  and  $g$ . Therefore there exists only one  $\rho_i$ -path with the greatest  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength joining  $f$  and  $g$  involving  $e$ . Any  $\psi_i$ -pair on this  $\rho_i$ -path will be a  $\psi_i$ -cutpair since the removal of any one of them will  $\psi_i$ -disconnect the  $\rho_i$ -path and reduce the  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength.

Conversely, let  $e = (x, y)$  be common to the  $\psi_i$ -cut pairs  $(x, (x, y))$  and  $(y, (x, y))$ . Then they are not the  $\psi_i$ -cutpairs with the smallest value for  $\psi_i$ . The  $\rho_i$ -path from  $f$  to  $g$  not containing  $(x, (x, y))$  and  $(y, (x, y))$  has less  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength than  $\psi_i(x, (x, y)) \wedge \psi_i(y, (x, y))$ . Therefore the  $\rho_i$ -path with the most  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength from  $f$  to  $g$  is  $x, (x, (x, y)), (x, y), (y, (x, y)), y$ . Also the  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength of  $\rho_i$ -path with the most  $\psi_i$ -incidence strength from  $f$  to  $g$  is equal to  $\psi_i(x, (x, y)) \wedge \psi_i(y, (x, y))$ . Therefore  $e$  is a  $\rho_i$ -bridge.

## 5. CONCLUSION

As in the case of fuzzy incidence graph and fuzzy graph structure, the concept of fuzzy incidence graph structure has possible applications in various fields like electrical and electronic networks where not only the edges and vertices are important. The way in which they are connected to each other through various types of relations is also important. This may be of importance in social networks where various types of relations become relevant even between the same individuals.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Rosenfeld, A., Fuzzy Graphs, In: L.A. Zadeh, K.S. Fu, M. Shimura, Eds., Fuzzy Sets and Their Applications, 77-95, Academic Press, 1975.

- [2] Dinesh, T., Ph.D. thesis submitted to Kannur University, Kerala, India, 2012.
- [3] Dinesh, T., Fuzzy Incidence Graph - an Introduction, *Adv. Fuzzy Sets and Syst.*, 21(1), 2016,33-48.
- [4] Mordeson, J.N., Fuzzy Incidence Graphs, *Adv. Fuzzy Sets Syst.*, 22, 2016, 121-133.
- [5] Mordeson, J.N. & Mathew, S., Connectivity Concepts in Fuzzy Incidence Graphs, *Inform. Sc.*, 382-383, March 2017, 326-333.
- [6] Sampathkumar, E.- Generalized Graph Structures, *Bull. Kerala Math. Assoc.*, Vol 3, No.2(Dec 2006), 65-123
- [7] Dinesh, T. & Ramakrishnan, T.V., On Generalised Fuzzy Graph Structures, *Appl. Math. Sc.*, 5(4), 2011, 173-180.
- [8] Harary, F., *Graph Theory*, Narosa pub.,1995.
- [9] Mordeson, J.N. & Nair, P.S., *Fuzzy Graphs and Fuzzy Hypergraphs*, Physica-Verlag, 2000.
- [10] Dinesh, T. & Ramakrishnan, T.V., On Generalized Fuzzy Graph Structures - II, *Adv. Fuzzy Math.*, 6(1),2011, 5-12.